

---

**EXHIBITS IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR  
EXECUTIVE CLEMENCY**

**MICHAEL A. MORALES**

**JANUARY 27, 2006**

---

**VOLUME 2**

**Kenneth W. Starr, Esq. (Bar No. 58382)  
24255 Pacific Coast Highway  
Malibu, CA 90263  
Telephone: (310) 506-4621  
Fax: (310) 506-4266**

**David A. Senior, Esq. (Bar No. 108579)  
McBreen & Senior  
1880 Century Park East, Suite 1450  
Los Angeles, CA 90067  
Telephone: (310) 552-5300  
Fax: (310) 552-1205**

**Attorneys for MICHAEL A. MORALES**

# **Index of Exhibits in Support of Petition for Executive Clemency**

## **Volume 2**

### **Exhibit No.   Exhibit**

31.    Prior Commutations of Sentence
32.    Disciplinary, Classification and General Chronos of Michael Morales
33.    Letter from Michael Morales to His Family – April 6, 1981

## Executive Department

State of California

### COMPUTATION OF SENTENCE STANLEY WILLIAM FITZGERALD

Stanley William Fitzgerald was convicted on December 15, 1960, in the Superior Court of the State of California in and for the County of Nevada, of the offense of first degree murder.

Pursuant to the mandate of Article VII of the California Constitution and following the historic policy of California Governors in extreme penalty cases, I have carefully reviewed this case to determine if any cause existed for the exercise of executive clemency. This examination included a study of the transcript of trial testimony consisting of over 860 pages, the report submitted to me by the Trial Judge, the District Attorney of Nevada County, the Neuropsychiatric Committee at San Quentin, the Adult Authority, and the opinion of the California Supreme Court. In addition I personally conducted a clemency hearing on this matter on April 5, 1962.

The facts of this case are extremely unusual. Fitzgerald met George Bonn and Milton Young at a bar in San Francisco. Upon learning that they were planning to go to Reno on a Greyhound bus on a gambling excursion, Fitzgerald offered to drive them in a private car. They decided to leave the following morning at 6 a.m. because Fitzgerald had been drinking so heavily he did not trust himself to drive that night.

On August 3, 1960, at 6 a.m. Fitzgerald picked up George Bonn and Milton Young at their hotels. They drove to Auburn where they stopped for breakfast. Fitzgerald did not eat. Instead he drank a mixture of bourbon and coffee. At noon they stopped at a bar in Truckee where Fitzgerald consumed three glasses of bourbon. No food was consumed. Fitzgerald then drove the car off the highway onto a secluded area on the pretext of looking for a ranch where he was to close a deal to sell a tractor. There he produced a 22 caliber automatic and robbed them of their money and trousers. He then took a fifth of bourbon from Milton Young and ordered George Bonn to join him in drinking its contents. He told them he would leave the car on the highway with their clothes if they would give him four hours to make his escape good. Fitzgerald sat and drank with the robbery victims for approximately three hours until the bottle was emptied. Then George Bonn stepped behind Fitzgerald and smashed the whiskey bottle against his head while Milton Young grabbed his arm in an attempt to disarm him.

Fitzgerald, dazed but not unconscious, fired at Milton Young injuring him in the hand and leg and turned and fatally wounded George Bonn. Immediately after the

**Executive Department**

**State of California**

**PAGE TWO**

shooting, Fitzgerald rushed to Milton Young and told him he had no intention of killing anyone, he had only wanted to rob them. Fitzgerald placed the gun at his temple and stated he was going to kill himself. In an attempt to calm him, Milton Young told him it was self defense and that he would give a false description to confuse the police. Before fleeing from the scene, Fitzgerald removed his neck tie and attempted to place a tourniquet on Milton Young's leg to stop the bleeding from the bullet wound.

From the foregoing evidence it is apparent that Fitzgerald's conduct was not deliberate nor premeditated. The testimony at the trial showed a total absence of any intention to commit murder. Fitzgerald was intoxicated when George Bonn attempted to disarm him by smashing the bottle over his head. The fact that he contemplated suicide after learning that George Bonn was probably dead, and then tried to assist Milton Young by applying a tourniquet, is strong evidence of a lack of any pre-conceived plan to commit a homicide. A careful check of his past record reveals that Fitzgerald had never committed any violent acts prior to this homicide. All of his previous arrests have been for bad checks charges. Fitzgerald has been an alcoholic since he was nineteen years old. The evidence in this case shows that he was on an alcoholic binge when the tragic death of George Bonn occurred.

Under present California law the punishment for a homicide which occurs during the perpetration of a robbery is death or life imprisonment with a possibility of parole after seven years.

Therefore, in rendering its verdict that the punishment for Fitzgerald be fixed at death, the jury in this case could not legally recommend that the penalty for this offense be fixed at life without possibility of parole nor was this alternative punishment presented to the jury for its consideration.

In reaching my decision in this matter I am strongly impressed by the fact that Judge Vernon Stoll, who presided at this trial and accordingly heard all the evidence in this case, has recommended that Fitzgerald be granted a commutation.

Because Fitzgerald has twice been convicted of issuing checks without sufficient funds, I referred this matter to the California Supreme Court for their recommendation pursuant to Article VII Section 1 of the California

# Executive Department

State of California

PAGE THREE

Constitution. On April 16, 1962, Chief Justice Phil S. Gibson advised me that a majority of the Justices of the Supreme Court recommended a commutation to imprisonment for life without possibility of parole.

I am keenly aware of the necessity of upholding and preserving the due administration of justice, and of the great respect which must be accorded to the decisions of our courts and our juries, however, I am equally mindful that I am under a sworn duty to uphold the Constitution and to exercise the Governor's clemency powers in all proper cases.

Under all the circumstances of this case, considering the unusual nature of the tragic occurrence, and bearing in mind that he was intoxicated when the victim sought to disarm him by breaking a bottle over his head, and that the evidence shows that there was no premeditation or deliberation, nor any preconceived plan or intention to kill, I have concluded that the interests of justice will best be served by granting a limited commutation to life without possibility of parole as recommended by the Trial Judge Vernon Stoll and a majority of the Justices of the California Supreme Court.

NOW, THEREFORE, in view of the foregoing considerations I, Edmund G. Brown, Governor of the State of California, pursuant to the authority vested in me by the Constitution and statutes of the State of California, do hereby grant to Stanley William Fitzgerald, San Quentin Prison No. A-43608, a commutation of sentence from death to life imprisonment without possibility of parole.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto  
set my hand and caused the  
Great Seal of the State of  
California to be affixed this  
*twenty-third* day of  
*April* A.D.  
Nineteen Hundred and Sixty-two.

*Edmund G. Brown*  
Governor of California

ATTEST

*James W. Jones*  
Secretary of State



**Executive Department**  
State of California

COMMUTATION OF SENTENCE

I have received an application for a Commutation of Sentence on behalf of Alfred Harrison, San Quentin No. 51870, who was convicted of the crime of Murder, First Degree, in the Superior Court of Los Angeles County on March 22, 1932, and sentenced to be executed at San Quentin Penitentiary on Friday, May 5, 1933.

Shortly before the scheduled date of execution I received a request from Hon. William R. McKay, Judge of the Municipal Court of Los Angeles County, who at the time of the conviction of Alfred Harrison was a deputy district attorney in charge of the prosecution of Alfred Harrison, for a hearing in order that he might present to me evidence and facts substantiating an appeal for a commutation of sentence. In accordance with the request of Hon. William R. McKay, I had a hearing in my office at Sacramento on August 21, 1933. Those present at the hearing were Joseph H. Stephens, member of the Board of Prison Terms and Paroles, Donald McClure, Deputy District Attorney, who assisted in the prosecution of Alfred Harrison, and Hon. William R. McKay.

At the hearing evidence was produced by Hon. William R. McKay and Donald McClure disclosing that on the 24th of September, 1931, Alfred Harrison, in company with one Homer Rogers, was in the act of robbing a service station attendant at 1885 East Vernon Avenue. During the perpetration of this robbery, the service station attendant, Clarence Edward Harrison, was shot and killed. Homer Rogers was apprehended shortly after the commission of the offense and was brought to trial on the charge of murder. At the time of the trial of Homer Rogers, Harrison, who had apparently left the state, could not be located. Because of the lack of substantial evidence, which could only be supplied by Alfred Harrison, the co-partner of Rogers, Homer Rogers was acquitted. Later Alfred

**Executive Department**  
State of California

Harrison was apprehended and indicted by the Grand Jury for the crime of Murder. Alfred Harrison, while he was being returned to California, made a confession of the crime to the police officer accompanying, and because of such confession he was convicted of the crime of first degree murder without recommendation.

It appears from the investigation that has been made by the District Attorney's office in Los Angeles and of police officers in charge of the case that during the afternoon of September 24, 1931, the date of the crime, Alfred Harrison was drinking to a great extent - in fact was considerably under the influence of intoxicating liquor. Shortly after leaving a pool hall on 46th Street in Los Angeles, Alfred Harrison was met by Homer Rogers, who discussed the matter of obtaining more liquor, which they did. It appears as though Homer Rogers suggested that they stage a hold-up and continued to goad and lead Harrison on. They drove to the above mentioned service station; Homer Rogers handed Harrison a gun and told him to attempt the hold-up. It was during the attempt that Alfred Harrison for some reason or another fired the gun and the unfortunate result occurred.

The evidence at the trial seems to be clear that the gun was taken by Rogers, who being questioned as to why he had taken it, stated it was for protection. There is no doubt but that the gun remained in Rogers' possession from the time he took it out of the 56th Street house until he handed it to the drunken Alfred Harrison immediately before the shooting. The testimony of Andrew Baxter and Ruel Willis Bigelow is fully in accord with the above mentioned facts.

The connection and the efforts and acts of Homer Rogers in connection with this crime were never presented at the trial of Alfred Harrison,

## Executive Department

State of California

which in my mind would have led the jury to have taken a different view as to the punishment to be recommended, in view of the fact that Rogers was the prime mover and cause of the robbery and the later death.

I have received a letter of recommendation from Hon. William R. McKay, Judge of the Municipal Court, who acted as prosecuting attorney in the case of Alfred Harrison, which I am quoting herewith:

"In Chambers  
Municipal Court  
City of Los Angeles  
William R. McKay, Judge.

February 2, 1934.

Honorable James Rolph, Jr.,  
Governor of the State of California,  
State Capitol,  
Sacramento, California.

My dear Governor:

You will remember that I have had some considerable correspondence with you relative to the case of Alfred Harrison, who is under sentence of death at San Quentin Prison on February 9th, following his conviction of murder of the first degree in Los Angeles County some two years since. A perusal of the file in this case will clearly indicate the reason why I have interested myself in the same, and have heretofore petitioned your Excellency for a Commutation of Sentence.

At the time of the conviction of Harrison, I was a deputy in the office of the District Attorney of Los Angeles County, and am thoroughly familiar with the facts presented at that time. Harrison, and one Homer Rogers, were originally charged with the murder for which Harrison was convicted. Rogers was acquitted. Harrison, however, was convicted. Honorable Ruben Schmidt, Judge of the Superior Court of Los Angeles County; former Deputy District Attorney Donald McClure of Los Angeles County, who assisted in the prosecution of Harrison; the police officers, Detectives Lieutenant Clark and Conway; as well as myself, feel that commutation should be granted and have so indicated. I am advised that District Attorney Fitts has heretofore written to you stating that there will be no objection on his part with regard to any disposition you may make in this case. I am firmly of the opinion that Harrison is deserving of this consideration and most respectfully recommend that a commutation be granted.

In closing I might state that Rogers, following his acquittal in the case in which Harrison was convicted, later

## Executive Department

State of California

was convicted of the crime of murder in another case and is now undergoing a life sentence at San Quentin.

Demands of justice, in my opinion, will best be served by a commutation of the sentence of Harrison, and I most respectfully urge your consideration of this matter.

With kindest regards and best wishes for your continued success, I beg to remain

Very truly yours,

(Signed) William H. McKay  
702 Hall of Justice."

I have also received a letter of recommendation from Honorable Ruben S. Schmidt, Judge of the Superior Court of Los Angeles County, who presided at the trial, which I am quoting herewith:

"Chamber of  
The Superior Court  
Los Angeles, California  
Ruben S. Schmidt, Judge

October 27, 1933.

Honorable James Rolph, Jr.,  
Governor of California,  
Sacramento, California.

In re People v. Alfred Harrison  
under sentence of death, San  
Quentin, Nov. 3/33.

My dear Governor:

Some months ago as Judge of the Superior Court of this County, upon the recommendation of the jury that tried the case, I imposed judgment of the death penalty on the above named defendant Alfred Harrison, to whom you have granted reprieves, the last of which I am informed expires November 3rd. J. Harvey Hearn, Esq., counsel for Mr. Harrison, states that application has been made to you to commute the death penalty to life imprisonment.

At the time the case was tried, the court attaches, as well as myself, believed that the jury would recommend life imprisonment, but this they did not do.

In view of the many verdicts of first degree murder throughout the state where life imprisonment has been recommended, and the exceedingly few verdicts in which the

## Executive Department

State of California

death penalty has been imposed, I cannot see any reason why you should not commute the sentence of Mr. Harrison to life imprisonment.

With very kindest personal regards, I am

Yours very truly,

(Signed) Ruben S. Schmidt."

I have also received a letter from Honorable Baron Fitts, District Attorney of Los Angeles County, in which he states that he has no objection to any course taken in this appeal, which reads as follows:

"COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES  
Office of the District Attorney  
Los Angeles, California

January 9, 1934.

Honorable James Rolph, Jr.,  
Governor of California,  
Sacramento, California.

My dear Governor Rolph:

In the matter of Alfred Harrison, charged with and convicted of murder, in which case the death penalty was inflicted by the jury, I am quite certain that letters from the Judge and arresting officers setting forth the facts, to-wit; Harrison, a negro, was not the prime mover at the time of the robbery was instigated; Rogers, the leader was acquitted, though later convicted on another charge and is now serving life. Most certainly if commutation is granted in this case it should be without the condition of parole or pardon at any future time. He should be committed to prison for the rest of his life.

Under all the circumstances in this case this office has no objection to any course you desire to take to the end that justice be fairly dealt.

Most cordially yours,

(Signed) Baron Fitts  
District Attorney."


In view of the above mentioned recommendations and the fact that Homer Rogers, the instigator and prime mover in the crime which resulted

**Executive Department**  
State of California

in the death of Clarence Edward Harrison, is now serving a life sentence in the state penitentiary, and I believe that Alfred Harrison should not receive a greater punishment than Homer Rogers, and I believe that the sentence of Alfred Harrison should be commuted from death to life imprisonment, Provided that Alfred Harrison does not apply for, receive, or attempt to obtain his release from the penitentiary, and provided further that he remain within the confines of San Quentin Penitentiary for the remainder of his natural life.

NOW, THEREFORE, I, James Rolph, Jr., Governor of the State of California, pursuant to the authority vested in me by the Constitution and Statutes of said State, do hereby grant a Commutation of Sentence from death to life imprisonment subject to the above mentioned conditions, to Alfred Harrison, San Quentin No. 51870.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set  
my hand and have caused the Great Seal  
of the State of California to be affixed  
this 15th day of February, A. D. 1934.



\_\_\_\_\_  
Governor.

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Secretary of State.

## Executive Department

State of California

COMMUTATION OF SENTENCE

There has been submitted to this office an application for a commutation of sentence from death to life imprisonment on behalf of WILLIAM A. YOUNG, San Quentin No. 08410, who was convicted of the crime of murder in the first degree in Los Angeles County, on August 19, 1940.

Under date of April 26, 1941 the Advisory Pardon Board received the following recommendation from Honorable Pierson H. Hall, Judge of the Superior Court, Los Angeles County:

"Reference is made to your letter of April 26th, 1941, advising that the application for commutation of sentence from death to life imprisonment filed by William A. Young has been referred to you, and that inasmuch as I was the Trial Judge you desire my recommendation.

"The trial was fairly conducted. I do not believe that any of the witnesses for the state perjured themselves or gave false testimony. From hearing the evidence, the killing was in my judgment deliberate murder; not however for gain, nor yet for revenge, but committed at the dictates of a mind which could not be classified as insane, yet which had a distorted sense of values.

"I recommend commutation. Certainly not on account of the reasons advanced by the applicant but solely because I am not convinced that the taking of this defendant's life by society will do any good."

Subsequently I submitted this application to the Supreme Court for its consideration, and under date of October 7, 1941 I received the following message from Chief Justice Phil S. Gibson:

Executive Department

-2-

State of California

"A majority of the Justices of the Supreme Court recommend that executive clemency be granted by you to William A. Young, San Quentin prisoner No. 65410, to the extent of commuting his sentence from death to life imprisonment."

In view of the foregoing favorable recommendations, and after careful study of this application, which indicates the killing may have been committed by this applicant while in the heat of passion and with a minimum of premeditation, I feel it my duty to commute his death sentence to life imprisonment.


WILLIAM A. YOUNG, Governor of the State of California, pursuant to the authority vested in me by the Constitution and the Statutes of the said State, do hereby commute the sentence of WILLIAM A. YOUNG, San Quentin No. 65410, from death to life imprisonment.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the Great Seal of the State of California to be affixed this 13th day of October, A. D. Nineteen Hundred and Forty-one.

*Cullen D. Olson*  
Governor of California

*James F. ...*  
Secretary of State

*By Charles ...*  
Deputy Secretary of State



Executive Department  
State of California

COMBUTATION OF SENTENCE

Edward Wesley Brown, 25 year old Negro, San Quentin No. A-3735, was convicted in the Superior Court of the State of California in and for the County of Sacramento of the crimes of Kidnaping for the Purpose of Robbery, Rape, and Two Counts of Robbery on May 3, 1946. As the punishment for the crime of Kidnaping for the Purpose of Robbery, Edward Wesley Brown was sentenced to death. Edward Wesley Brown is scheduled to be executed at San Quentin prison on Friday, May 16, 1947.

In accordance with my practice in capital cases, I have reviewed the reports of the State and county officials who have knowledge of the case. There is absolutely no doubt of the guilt of the defendant. Everyone agrees to that fact. It was an atrocious crime committed against a good woman and with no extenuating circumstances. Nobody but a depraved individual unfit to associate with other members of society could have committed the offense.

Defendant, at the point of a gun, abducted his victim, compelled her to go to a secluded spot and there raped her, and robbed her of her car and handbag. He also struck her a severe blow on the face with his fist. The jury could have fixed his punishment for the kidnaping for robbery at death or life imprisonment without the benefit of parole. It fixed his punishment at death. This it had the right to do.

However, in the history of the kidnaping law under which he was convicted, there never has been a comparable case where the defendant has actually been executed, although many have been convicted and sentenced to imprisonment for life.

Among the reports considered by me is one from the Honorable Dal M. Lemmon, who was Judge of the Superior Court of Sacramento County at the time of Edward Wesley Brown's conviction, reading as follows:

"You know the evidence in this case. There is no dispute as to the facts and not the slightest doubt as to the defendant's guilt. The conduct of the defendant was atrocious and under the law and the evidence the jury was warranted in attaching the death penalty to their verdict. I am unable, however, to approve of the infliction of the death penalty in this case.

"I am afraid that the fact the defendant is colored and the victim is white may have had too much to do with this penalty. In spite of the savage brutality of the defendant's acts I doubt whether it would have been agreed upon had the defendant been white. Furthermore, I am unable to agree that an offense of this nature merits the extreme penalty."

I also discussed the matter with the foreman of the trial jury who stated he was of the opinion that the trial Judge had made a

Executive Department  
State of California

Page 2

correct appraisal of the case and that in all probability if the defendant had been a white man instead of colored, the extreme penalty would not have been assessed. I do not believe that human life should be taken by the State under such conditions.

NOW, THEREFORE, I, Earl Warren, Governor of the State of California, pursuant to the authority vested in me by the Constitution and statutes of said State, without in any way condoning or minimizing the atrocious crime of the defendant, do hereby commute the sentence for the crime of Kidnaping for the Purpose of Robbery from death to life imprisonment without possibility of parole, the condition of this commutation being that Edward Wesley Brown be confined in the State penitentiary for the remainder of his natural life and without the benefit of parole at any time in the future; the respective sentences for the crimes of Rape and Two Counts of Robbery shall remain unaffected by this commutation.


IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the Great Seal of the State of California to be affixed this 14th day of May, A. D. Nineteen Hundred and Forty-seven.

Governor of California

ATTEST:

*Charles W. Jackson*  
Secretary of State

*B. J. Charney*  
Deputy Secretary of State



## Executive Department

State of California

### COMPUTATION OF SENTENCE

Ewell Danielly, San Quentin No. A-6005, was convicted by a jury of the crime of Murder First Degree, without recommendation, on October 12, 1946, in the Superior Court of the State of California in and for the City and County of San Francisco. He is scheduled to be executed on Friday, June 3, 1949.

In accordance with my practice in capital cases, I have reviewed the reports of the State and county officials who have knowledge of the case.

Danielly and the deceased intermarried in January, 1944, and separated in September, 1945. In February, 1946, in San Francisco, Mrs. Danielly obtained an interlocutory decree of divorce. However, before the final decree of divorce was granted, the parties apparently effected a reconciliation.

About 12:30 A.M. on October 13, 1946, Jack Earl and Sydney Harmon, friends of Mrs. Danielly, had emerged from the home of Elva Sam at 2208 Pine Street, San Francisco, where deceased, since her separation from Danielly, was a roomer, to go to a restaurant. As they arrived at the car, which Earl and Harmon had parked west of the house, in which Mrs. Danielly had already entered, Danielly, whose car was parked on Pine Street opposite the home of Mrs. Sam, approached them from across Pine Street with a gun in his hand. Elva Sam was standing on the sidewalk near the car. She had known Danielly for more than two years and recognized him in his car. After approaching Harmon, whom he also pushed aside as he did Earl, Danielly went to the left side of the car in which his wife was sitting and said to her "I told you I was going to do this." She replied, "Yes," upon which he shot her in the head. He then turned to Elva Sam and said "That goes for you too" and shot her. She fell to the pavement. Earl and Harmon ran from the scene, as they testified, to get the police.

Danielly was charged with the murder of his wife and with assault upon his wife's friend, Mrs. Elva Sam, with intent to commit murder. To each charge he pleaded not guilty and not guilty by reason of insanity. Upon trial of the general issue a jury found that he was guilty of each offense and that the murder was of the first degree. The verdict upon the murder count was silent as to penalty. The issue raised by Danielly's plea of not guilty by reason of insanity was tried before the same jury which had tried the general issue; that jury disagreed and was discharged. Another jury was selected; the issue of Danielly's sanity was retried; and the jury found that Danielly was sane at the time of the commission of both offenses. Danielly appealed from the ensuing judgments; which imposed, respectively, the death sentence and imprisonment for the term prescribed by law, and from an order denying him a new trial. Danielly's principal contention was that the trial court erred to his prejudice in refusing to admit, in the trial of his plea of not guilty, evidence which he contended, would have tended to establish that he acted in a "heat of passion" and, hence, to show lack of deliberation and premeditation and malice aforethought, essential elements of the crimes of which he was convicted.

His counsel endeavored to show by lay and expert testimony that

## Executive Department

State of California

Page 2

Danielly was "highly unstable, highly emotional," and suffered from a "nervous disturbance." It is concerning the sustaining of objections to this proffered testimony that the principal argument for reversal of the conviction was made.

Danielly, at the age of 18, in 1934, enlisted in the United States Navy. He was, at that time, apparently a completely normal youth. He served his country honorably for eleven years and three months. He was wounded in action on August 18, 1944, by the explosion of an enemy anti-personnel bomb. After preliminary hospitalization in Italy, for approximately thirty days, Danielly was returned to the United States. He spent about thirty days in a naval hospital on Long Island, was granted a thirty-day furlough transfer in order that he could see his wife in San Francisco, and at the end of his furlough he reported to the Oak Knoll Naval hospital. He was awarded sixty per cent disability rating and discharged on September 7, 1945. His official medical record shows: "Report of medical survey, U. S. Naval Hospital, San Leandro, California, date July 24, 1945, Diagnosis: psychoneurosis neurasthenia. Summary of case history: Patient is a 29 year old, married, negro, COK, USN, with eleven years and three months prior service with combat in the entire Mediterranean area. Patient was wounded by bomb fragments in the invasion of Southern France, sustaining fracture of right ulna and multiple wounds in the right side of back, and chest, and left arm. On 19 March, 1944, he was readmitted to U. S. Naval Hospital, Oakland, California, diagnosis No Disease, Convalescent leave, disposition. He was then complaining of nervousness, tremors, sweating, irritability, pain and weakness, in the right forearm and hand. The area about the ulnar-scar was hypalgnesia and hypesthetic and there was some restriction of motion. A neuropsychiatric consultant found that he had 'combat fatigue' and he was sent to duty 15 December 1944. He was admitted to U. S. Naval Dispensary, Hunters Point, San Francisco, California on 23 April 1945, D. U. Fatigue Combat, because of episodic nervousness, following cramps, and diarrhea. On 25 April, 1945, he was transferred to U. S. Naval Receiving hospital and on 17 May 1945, to U. S. Naval Hospital, Oakland, California, and on 28 May 1945, to this activity. At this activity, patient complained of tension, irritability, insomnia, easy startle, battle dreams, anxious expectation, headache, backache, pain in chest anorexia and nausea. There is no family or previous personal history of neuropsychiatric disorder. Physical and routine laboratory examinations were negative. Neurological examination revealed gross tremors of head and hands, sweating, tachycardia, dilated pupils. X-ray of the chest revealed multiple small bomb fragments in the thoracic wall. On admission patient was tense, anxious, hyperactive, irritable and nervous. There was mild autonomic instability. Under treatment, patient improved subjectively except for continued tension, poor morale drive and pain in the foot. On 21 July 1945, diagnosis was changed to 'psychoneurosis neurasthenia' by reason of error. It is the opinion of the board that Danielly's continued neurotic symptoms unfit him for further service; that maximum hospital benefits have been received; that he will, if discharged adjust to his preenlistment level. If discharged,

## Executive Department

Page 3

### State of California

he is not likely to be a menace to himself or to others and is not likely to become a public charge. He is considered fully competent to be discharged into his own custody. Present condition: unfit for service. Probable future duration: permanent. Recommendation: That he be discharged from the U. S. Naval Service."

The California Supreme Court on January 25, 1949, affirmed the judgment of conviction and the imposition of the death penalty by a four to three decision. Speaking for the majority of the court, Mr. Justice Schauer stated:

"That by the standards of law the defendant has not been rendered insane and that he is still legally accountable for his actions seems reasonably certain. But that he is a victim of war in the sense that his original emotional stability and related ability to cope with the vicissitudes and demands of living in normal society have been to some extent impaired seems also reasonably certain. Under such circumstances, regardless of what compassion might suggest, we are bound by the law of this state to affirm the judgment of conviction; that law does not vest in us the power of commutation of sentence. Only in the Governor is that power reposed. . . ."

The dissenting opinion, written by Mr. Justice Edmonds, concurred in by Mr. Justice Carter and Mr. Justice Traynor, took the following position:

"A defendant who, because of mental disorders short of insanity, was incapable of premeditating and deliberating upon the commission of a homicide, cannot be guilty of a crime which by definition requires these mental elements. The evidence offered by Danielly concerning his mental status should have been received for the limited purpose of tending to prove whether or not he shot his wife or Elva Sam with either a specific intent or deliberation and premeditation, and the adverse ruling constitutes prejudicial error.

"For these reasons, in my opinion, the judgment and the order denying a new trial should be reversed and the cause remanded for a new trial."

Honorable Melvyn I. Cronin, Judge of the Superior Court of the City and County of San Francisco, trial Judge in this case, on March 24, 1949, wrote me the following letter:

"As the judge who presided at the trial in the above case, I desire to submit to you a request for commutation of sentence from death to life imprisonment.

"It is my belief that the ends of justice should be amply served if such commutation were granted by you. I base this upon my first-hand knowledge of the case, the evidence submitted, the manner in which the trial was conducted, the closeness of the main question involved on appeal and the express language used in the majority opinion affirming the judgment.

"It is well to recall just what happened during this trial. Though this, no doubt, was first-degree murder for which the

## Executive Department

State of California

Page 4

defendant should receive commensurate punishment, nevertheless there are extenuating circumstances which lead me to believe that the imposition of death would not be just or fair.

"As Judge Presiding, I ruled against the admission of any evidence bearing on unsoundness of mind, feeling that those matters were entirely within the issue raised on the plea of 'Not Guilty by Reason of Insanity.' Evidence as to the defendant's nervous and unstable condition was offered on the trial of his plea of 'Not Guilty,' but was excluded by me as not being relevant or proper. This position was upheld by the Supreme Court by a 4-3 vote.

"However, I desire to point out that when that testimony was presented to the same twelve jurors sitting to determine the issue of 'Not Guilty by Reason of Insanity' they disagreed and were therefore discharged by me. Consequently, it is apparent that if all this information which was subsequently introduced in evidence giving the entire picture surrounding the defendant's background, his previous sickness and disability, and his condition at the time of the murder were before them in the trial on the 'Not Guilty' issue, the jury would not have returned a verdict of 'Guilty' of First Degree Murder Without Recommendation.' I am satisfied that they would have found him guilty but I am equally satisfied that they would have recommended life imprisonment.

"Further, at the time of discharge of the jury, having the entire case before me, I indicated to the District Attorney that if the defendant pleaded guilty after the necessary preliminaries were arranged, the sentence that I would impose would be life imprisonment.

"Ordinarily such indication on the part of the trial judge would be taken advantage of by defendant, but for some reason or another in this instance it was not.

"It seems to me that in light of these matters alone that the defendant's sentence should be commuted."

Honorable Edmund C. Brown, District Attorney of the City and County of San Francisco, on March 14, 1949, wrote the following letter:

"It is the opinion of this office that the Governor should commute the sentence of the above named inmate from death to life imprisonment.

"It was a cold-blooded, vicious murder, but the evidence in the case indicates that the man had had some mental disturbances while in the service. These did not constitute legal insanity, but I do believe that the Governor should exercise executive clemency in this case."

Vincent J. Mullins, former Assistant District Attorney of the City and County of San Francisco, who prosecuted the case in the trial court, wrote me on April 29, 1949, as follows:

"I believe I am safe in saying that both Judge Cronin and myself

# Executive Department

State of California

Page 5

felt from a first hand knowledge of the facts in the case as evolved in the trial, not only of the not guilty plea, but on the two subsequent trials on the question of insanity, that there may have been a certain instability in Danielly's make up as a result of his service-incurred injuries. For these reasons and others which I have outlined in detail in the report which the Investigator from the Department of Justice will submit, I find myself in complete agreement with the inference suggested in the opinion of the Supreme Court that this may very well be a fitting and proper case for the exercise of your executive clemency."

While there is no question of Danielly's legal sanity or accountability for these offenses, in view of the foregoing recommendations it is my conclusion, after a very careful study of all the circumstances, including the opinions of the Supreme Court of California, and the further fact that Danielly has no previous criminal record, that the interests of justice would be served by commuting this sentence to life imprisonment.

NOW, THEREFORE, I, Earl Warren, Governor of the State of California, pursuant to the authority vested in me by the Constitution and statutes of said State, hereby commute the sentence of Ewell Danielly, San Quentin No. A-6005, from death to life imprisonment.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the Great Seal of the State of California to be affixed this 1st day of June, A. D. Nineteen Hundred and Forty-nine.

Governor of California

ATTEST:

*James R. Jordan*  
Secretary of State

## Executive Department

State of California

### COMMUTATION OF SENTENCE

Following the historic policy of California Governors in all extreme penalty cases, I have carefully studied the reports submitted by the District Attorney, Trial Judge, Attorney General's Investigator, Neuropsychiatric Examining Committee, the majority and dissenting Opinions of the Supreme Court of California, and the majority and dissenting Opinions of the Supreme Court of the United States, in the case of John Russell Crooker, Jr., who was convicted in 1955 of the crime of Murder, First Degree, which occurred in Los Angeles County on December 9, 1955. Additionally, I have received special consultative advice from eminent psychiatric authorities.

The record clearly shows and I personally believe that Crooker is guilty of Murder of the First Degree; but his crime was caused by passion and emotional factors, and was not one committed for gain or perpetrated by cruel and sadistic methods. His psychiatric reports reflect some mental illness which undoubtedly contributed to his emotional blow-up. I also feel that had he pleaded guilty his life would probably have been spared, and in this connection I am impressed with the sentiments expressed by the Trial Judge, the Honorable Stanley Mosk, who stated in a letter to the Governor on January 9, 1957, that he would not object to a commutation of sentence from death to life imprisonment, and that

"\* \* \* this defendant's crime arose out of a relationship with the deceased under a set of circumstances that would not likely happen again. He is an intelligent young man of some cultural attainment and if personality defects could be cured or contained, he could in the distant future become rehabilitated and become a constructive member of society."

I have also considered Crooker's age, education, intelligence and general circumstances; the fact that he had never previously been in trouble, had no prior arrests, never exhibited any criminal tendencies, and had been honorably discharged from the Navy in 1946.

I am mindful of the considerations of orderly law enforcement, of even-handed justice, and of the great respect that must be accorded to the decisions of our courts and juries. And although I conducted a personal hearing in this case I do not intend thereby to establish a policy in that respect. Each future case will be processed and

Executive Department

State of California

PAGE TWO

treated as an individual matter. But under the circumstances of this case, I have concluded that the interests of society and justice will be best served by granting to John Russell Crooker, Jr., San Quentin No. A-34965, a commutation of sentence from death to life imprisonment without possibility of parole.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have here-  
unto set my hand and caused  
the Great Seal of the State  
of California to be affixed  
this *fourteenth* day  
of January, A.D. Nineteen  
Hundred and Fifty-nine.

*Arnold G. Kern*  
Governor of California

ATTEST:

*James W. Jordan*  
Secretary of State



## Executive Department

State of California

COMMUTATION  
JAMES MICHAEL MERKOURIS

James Michael Merkouris, San Quentin No. A-49230, was convicted of two counts of first degree murder on July 24, 1938, in the Superior Court of Los Angeles County. An earlier conviction had been set aside by the Supreme Court. He is now scheduled to be executed on January 22, 1960.

Pursuant to my policy in all condemned cases, I have carefully considered the entire record, including the transcript of trial testimony of over 5,000 pages, investigation reports submitted by the Department of Justice and the Adult Authority, the several Supreme Court decisions, and the great volume of psychiatric testimony bearing upon the only real issue of the case--the question whether this man is sane or insane. Upon request, I conducted a personal hearing in this case on January 14, 1960.

Over a period of four years and in several hearings Merkouris has alternately been held sane, then insane and committed to a mental hospital, and finally again sane and condemned to death. The evidence is in hopeless conflict. The proof of mental illness and insanity is at least as strong and convincing as that of sanity. The ultimate determination of guilt and sanity was sustained by the California Supreme Court only by a four-to-three vote of the justices. In this confused state of the record I have been much persuaded by the fact that the trial judge, who made the finding that the defendant was sane, has recommended to me that executive clemency by way of commutation be exercised in this case. The Supreme Court of California has also recommended in favor of executive clemency.

Merkouris was charged with killing his former wife, Despina Forbes and her second husband, Robert Forbes, on September 28, 1934. At the commencement of his first trial in 1934, evidence was presented indicating that he was legally and medically insane, and unable to stand trial. Nonetheless he was tried by jury, convicted of first degree murder, and given the penalty of death. In the face of this penalty, the defendant insisted upon withdrawing his plea of not guilty by reason of insanity although advised that to do so would leave no alternative except imposition of the death sentence.

Upon automatic review by the California Supreme Court the conviction was reversed. A four-to-three majority of the court held that as a matter of law there had been

## Executive Department

State of California

PAGE TWO

shown enough evidence to require a hearing on Merkuris' sanity and ability to stand trial.

In September 1956 a sanity trial was held as ordered by the Supreme Court. Extensive neuropsychiatric testimony was presented indicating that Merkuris was psychotic, schizophrenic with paranoid tendencies, all superimposed upon a condition of epilepsy manifested by grand mal and petit mal seizures. During the trial the defendant was so unruly, loud, profane, and ungovernable that he had to be physically restrained by deputy sheriffs and eventually had to be confined, bound in leg irons, in a soundproof glass booth. On September 13, 1956, the jury found him insane and he was committed to the State Hospital at Atascadero.

In August 1957 Merkuris was certified as having regained sanity and was returned to Los Angeles for re-trial. His counsel again advised the court that despite the certification the defendant's bizarre conduct clearly showed that he was still insane. The court was asked to declare itself "in doubt" as to the defendant's sanity and to order a trial of that question under applicable sections of the Penal Code. The court then received extensive testimony from eight psychiatrists, whose opinions were in complete conflict as to whether he was sane or insane. The trial judge then ordered him to stand trial for the second time.

On July 24, 1958, Merkuris was again found guilty of first degree murder and given the death penalty. In a separate court trial on the issue of sanity, 14 psychiatrists gave their opinions. Again the conflict was irreconcilable. Some found him sane; some said he malingered; others held him psychotic, paranoid, and legally and medically insane. Although some of the experts who pronounced him sane expressed doubt whether Merkuris was epileptic at all, independent tests administered at San Quentin have definitely proved that he is epileptic with grand and petit mal seizures. He had been medically discharged from the Army in 1945 and awarded a 100% disability pension because of this condition. The evidence of compulsive, violent, and dangerously psychotic behavior is spread overwhelmingly throughout the entire record. Nonetheless, the trial judge found him to be legally sane.

On September 10, 1959, the second conviction was affirmed by the Supreme Court of California by another

## Executive Department

State of California

PAGE THREE

decision divided four-to-three. Associate Justice Peters dissenting in a strongly worded opinion, in which he was joined by the Chief Justice and Justice Traynor, argued that the trial judge should have held another hearing on the question of present insanity.

Considering this most unique and puzzling trial history, the record leaves grave and substantial doubt whether Merkouris was sane and fully responsible for his crime. This doubt is increased by the fact that 15 qualified and respected expert psychiatrists were honestly unable to agree on the subject. A serious question is therefore presented to the Governor whether, in the face of such doubt, it is moral and just for the State to proceed with execution, or whether executive clemency should be exercised in the limited form of a commutation of sentence from death to life imprisonment without possibility of parole.

On November 12, 1959, Superior Judge Lewis Drucker, who presided over the trial, and who himself made the finding that Merkouris was sane gave me the following written recommendation:

"I cannot help but feel that a person should not suffer the penalty of death when the Supreme Court of this State is divided, 4 to 3, in affirming a judgment imposing the death penalty."

Since Merkouris has been convicted of more than one felony, I submitted his case to the Supreme Court of California for its recommendation pursuant to Article VII Section 1 of the Constitution. On January 19, 1960, Chief Justice Phil S. Gibson wrote to me as follows:

"The application for executive clemency submitted by James Michael Merkouris (San Quentin No. A-49230) has been considered by the members of the Supreme Court, and a majority of the Justices recommends commutation of death sentence to life imprisonment without possibility of parole."

NOW, THEREFORE, In view of the grave and still unresolved question of this defendant's sanity, in view of the written recommendation of the trial judge that executive clemency be exercised, and in view also of the favorable recommendation of the members of the Supreme Court of California, I, Edmund G. Brown, Governor


Executive Department

State of California

PAGE FOUR

of the State of California, pursuant to the authority vested in me by the Constitution and statutes of California, do hereby grant to James Michael Mercuris, San Quentin No. A-49236, a commutation of sentence from death to life imprisonment without possibility of parole.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand and caused the Great Seal of the State of California to be affixed this twenty-first day of January, A.D. Nineteen Hundred and Sixty.



*Amelia G. Brown*  
Governor of California

*William M. Jordan*  
Secretary of State

By *Ed Vogel*  
Deputy

**EXHIBITS IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR  
EXECUTIVE CLEMENCY**

**MICHAEL A. MORALES**

**Exhibit 32: Disciplinary, Classification and General Chronos  
of Michael Morales**

# **GENERAL CHRONOS**

\_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

ATTITUDES	Grade
Effort	<u>A</u>
Conduct	<u>A</u>
Cooperation	<u>A</u>
Cooperation	<u>A</u>

HIGH SCHOOL EDUCATION PROGRESS REPORT

GENERAL OBSERVATIONS: **Irvin Morales** continues his high standards of performance submitting 101 assignments of 4055 units, all correct for 100% (A). Included are spelling tests and vocabulary readings. He is unusually meticulous in his writing; thorough and artistic. Morales is advancing steadily in his studies.

SUBJECT		GRADE	UNITS	DATE (FROM/TO)	18 Contacts
Lang. Arts		A	5	7-1 to 9-30-86	<input type="checkbox"/> A.M. <input type="checkbox"/> P.M. <input type="checkbox"/> EVEN.
NAME	LAST	FIRST	NUMBER	INSTRUCTOR	
	Morales		C68801	RUSSO <i>[Signature]</i>	
DATE	9-30-86	4C20	INSTITUTION S.O.		

CHRONO SHEET—WORK REPORTS

\_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

**HIGH SCHOOL EDUCATION PROGRESS REPORT**

CDC-128-D

**HIGH SCHOOL EDUCATION PROGRESS REPORT**

CDC-128-D

ATTITUDES	Grade
Effort	./.....
Conduct	./.....
Cooperation	./.....
Cooperation	./.....

**GENERAL OBSERVATIONS:** TEACHER ABSENCES, LOCKDOWN AND OTHE FACTORS  
 HAVE AFFECTED INMATE MORALES' INVOLVEMENT IN THE EDUCATION PROGRAM.  
 NO ASSIGNMENTS WERE TURNED IN. MORALES SCORE:30-238 IN THE CASAS  
 25 EXAM. IN THEHIGH SCHOOL RANGE.

SUBJECT	GRADE	UNITS	DATE (FROM/TO)	<input type="checkbox"/> A.M.
LANG. ARTS	NONE	NONE	1/1/87 to 3/31/87	<input type="checkbox"/> P.M.
				<input type="checkbox"/> EVEN.
NAME	LAST	FIRST	NUMBER	INSTRUCTOR
	MORALES		C-68801	S.E. RUSSO

DATE 3/31/87 5-D-30 INSTITUTION SAN QUENTIN

Lined area for general observations.

**HIGH SCHOOL EDUCATION PROGRESS REPORT**

CDC-128-D

ATTITUDES	Grade
Effort	A
Conduct	A
Cooperation	A
Cooperation	A

**GENERAL OBSERVATIONS:**

Because of lockdowns, teacher absence and other factors inmate Morales has elected to postpone his studies. Morales prefers an ongoing productive activity. Lack of recent continuity in education has redirected his interests at present. In Math completed 28 assignments, earning 1885 points, equal to 2 high school credits.

SUBJECT	GRADE	UNITS	DATE (FROM/TO)	<input type="checkbox"/> A.M.
Math	A	2	4-1-87 / 6-30-87	<input type="checkbox"/> P.M.
NAME	LAST	FIRST	NUMBER	INSTRUCTOR
Morales			C-68801	S.E. Russo

DATE 6-30-87 5-0-30 INSTITUTION SQ

STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
CDC-108 (Rev. 5/88)

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

CDC NUMBER: **C68801**

**CDC 128-C**

**MORALES, MICHAEL**

CSP, San Quentin  
EB3 00000000092S

**ANNUAL TB CHRONO  
TB SKIN TESTING**

INMATE TB ALERT CODE **32**

**DISTRIBUTION**

- CENTRAL FILE:
- MEDICAL FILE:
- INMATE:

DATE: 04-30-2005

J. Barone, RN, PHN, BSN

SIGNATURE

NAME and NUMBER

MORALES, Michael A.

C-68801

3-EB-92 ✓

CDC-128-C

Allow double showers x 1 week.

Orig. Central File  
Health Record  
Block Sergeant  
Inmate



T. Martin, D.O.  
Staff Physician

DATE

8-10-04 (T: 10-27 04 pjc)

San Quentin

~~MEDICAL - PSYCHIATRIC - DENTAL~~

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

CDC NUMBER: C68801

CDC 128-C

MORALES, MICHAEL

CSP, San Quentin  
EB3 00000000092S

ANNUAL TB CHRONO  
TB SKIN TESTING

DISTRIBUTION

- CENTRAL FILE:
- MEDICAL FILE:
- INMATE:

INMATE TB ALERT CODE 32

DATE: 04-26-2004

\_\_\_\_\_  
C. Schneider, RN, PHN, BSN ✓  
SIGNATURE

---

State of California

Department of Corrections  
CDC-128-B


---

**No: C-68801**

**Name: MORALES**

---

Your case was reviewed for compliance to Deputy Director's memorandum DD 97-03 dated 6/11/03. This directive is an update to CCR Title 15, section 3173.1, Visiting Restrictions with Minors. It was noted in the file that you meet the restrictions based on a Police Report that verifies the age of the victim of which you were convicted for PC-187 and PC 261.2. Based on the directive, you are prohibited from having contact or non-contact visits with minors.



---

L. Carrillo  
Correctional Counselor I

cc: Inmate  
C-File  
Visiting Lt.

**DATE: 04/20/04**

**INFORMATIONAL - VISITATION REVIEW**


State of California

Department of Corrections  
CDC-128-B

No: C 68801

Name: NAME MORALES

On 12-18-03 ICC reviewed your file to determine if any Visiting Restrictions were warranted per Director's Directive 97-03 dated 6-11-03. After review of your central file and case factors( Current and Prior), ICC determined to prohibit you from contact visiting with all minors

  
L. CARRILLO  
Correctional Counselor I

cc: C-File  
Visiting Lt.

DATE: 12-18-03

INFORMATIONAL - VISITATION REVIEW

---

---

---

---

CDC NUMBER: C68801

CDC 128-C

MORALES, MICHAEL

CSP, San Quentin  
EB3 0000000092S

ANNUAL TB CHRONO  
TB SKIN TESTING

DISTRIBUTION

CENTRAL FILE:   
MEDICAL FILE:   
INMATE:

INMATE TB ALERT CODE 32

DATE: 04-29-2003

C. Schneider, RN, PHN, BSN 

SIGNATURE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

NAME and NUMBER **MORALES, C-68801**

3EB92

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS  
CDC 128 B (8-87)

Per Senate Bill (SB) 1242, San Quentin State Prison will conduct "MANDATORY DNA TESTING" of all Condemned inmates. The DNA test will consist of Blood Specimens, Saliva Sample, Thumb Print Impressions, and Palm Print Impressions.

1. Are you willing to submit to DNA testing? YES NO

2. For what reason are you refusing to submit to the mandatory DNA testing?  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_


Note: Per Penal Code, Section, 298.1, staff will use reasonable force to collect DNA specimens from any inmate who refuses to comply with SB 1242.

Interviewer's name: Van Blarson Date: 10/28/02 Time: 0700

Manager authorizing use of force: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Time: \_\_\_\_\_

Original: Central File  
cc: Inmate  
Counselor  
Writer

  
Correctional Sergeant  
San Quentin Condemned

DATE October 24, 2002 INFORMATIONAL CHRONO CSP-SQ

-----

MORALES , MICHAEL

CDC NUMBER:

CDC 128-C

CSP, San Quentin
EB3 00000000092S

**ANNUAL TB CHRONO  
TB SKIN TESTING**

**DISTRIBUTION**

CENTRAL FILE:   
MEDICAL FILE:   
INMATE:

INMATE TB ALERT CODE

DATE:

SIGNATURE

NAME and NUMBER

MORALES

C-68801

3-EB-92 CDC-128-B (Rev. 4/74)

On April 06, 2000, the following items were placed on disposition as disallowable and/or expendable property per San Quentin Institutional Procedure #54030. The disposition 15 day period has expired, therefore the items were disposed of in accordance with institutional procedure. The items disposed of were as follows: 1-Dinner open (U.A.), 1-Coffee single serv (U.A.), 1-Soup no cup (U.A.), 2-Meats need refrig (U.A.), 1-Candy no seal (NTP).

Original: Central File  
cc: Unit Sgt.  
Writer  
Inmate

  
R. Jack, Correctional Officer Pos #595  
Property Officer - Second Watch  
East Block Cond. Row II/AD-SEG

DATE

April 25, 2000

CSP-SQ

GENERAL CHRONO

**CHRONO SHEET—GENERAL**

MORALES , MICHAEL

CDC NUMBER:

CDC 128-C

CSP, San Quentin
EB3 00000000092L

**ANNUAL TB CHRONO  
TB SKIN TESTING**

**DISTRIBUTION**

CENTRAL FILE:    
MEDICAL FILE:    
INMATE:  

INMATE TB ALERT CODE

DATE:



SIGNATURE

NAME: MORALES

NUMBER: C-68801

CELL 3-EB-92

CDC 128-B (Rev. 4/74)

*not in cell #3*

**UCC 120 DAY REVIEW NOTICE**

You are being notified to appear for your 120 day U.C.C. Review hearing. If you decline to participate in the review process your case will be reviewed in absentia. Committee will consider your refusal to participate as an indication that there are no issues you request to discuss or problems with your current program placement.

I decline to appear at the 120 day review \_\_\_\_\_

Inmate Signature

I request to appear at the 120 day review \_\_\_\_\_

Inmate Signature

Inmate declined to appear and refused to sign.

*[Signature]*  
9/4/98 CSP-SQ

GENERAL CHRONO INFORMATIONAL

9/2/98

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

MORALES , MICHAEL

CDC NUMBER: C68801

CDC 128-C

San Quentin State Prison
EB3 00000000092L

**TB CHRONO  
TESTING/EVALUATION**

**DISTRIBUTION**  
CENTRAL FILE:   
MEDICAL FILE:   
INMATE:

INMATE TB ALERT CODE 32

DATE:  
08-11-1998

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
T. Stepanek, P.H.N.  
MEDICAL SIGNATURE

NAME: MORALES

NUMBER: C-68801

CELL 3-EB-92

CDC 128-B (Rev. 4/74)

**UCC 120 DAY REVIEW NOTICE**

You are being notified to appear for your 120 day U.C.C. Review hearing. If you decline to participate in the review process your case will be reviewed in absentia. Committee will consider your refusal to participate as an indication that there are no issues you request to discuss or problems with your current program placement.

I decline to appear at the 120 day review \_\_\_\_\_  
Inmate Signature

I request to appear at the 120 day review \_\_\_\_\_  
Inmate Signature

Inmate declined to appear  
and refused to sign.  


5/5/98

**GENERAL CHRONO INFORMATIONAL**

**CSP-SQ**

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

NAME: MORALES

NUMBER: C-68801

CELL 3-EB-92

CDC 128-B (Rev. 4/74)


**UCC 120 DAY REVIEW NOTICE**

You are being notified to appear for your 120 day U.C.C. Review hearing. If you decline to participate in the review process your case will be reviewed in absentia. Committee will consider your refusal to participate as an indication that there are no issues you request to discuss or problems with your current program placement.

I decline to appear at the 120 day review \_\_\_\_\_  
**Inmate Signature**

I request to appear at the 120 day review \_\_\_\_\_  
**Inmate Signature**

Inmate declined to appear  
and refused to sign.

  
\_\_\_\_\_

1/6/98

**GENERAL CHRONO INFORMATIONAL**

**CSP-SQ**

---

---

---

---

---

---

Name and Number Morales C-68801

CDC 128-B (rev.4/74)

3-92

You are being notified to appear for your 120 day UCC review hearing. Should you choose not to appear before the committee, your case will be reviewed in absentia, and your decision not to participate will be viewed as an indication that you are satisfied with your current program placement, and that there are no issues you wish to discuss with the committee.

I wish to appear before UCC  \_\_\_\_\_  
I choose not to appear before UCC  \_\_\_\_\_

Inmate indicated he did not wish to appear, and declined to sign. X *[Signature]*

Date 9-2-97. 120 Day UCC review notice

GENERAL CHRONO

NAME and NUMBER MORALES


C-68801

3-EB-92

CDC-128-B (Rev. 4/74)

On 08-21-97, while passing out legal mail, I discovered postage stamps in an envelope addressed to Condemned inmate MORALES, C-68801, housed in cell 3-EB-92. The letter was sent from David A. Senior, McBreen & Senior, 1801 Century Park East, 26th Floor, Los Angeles, Ca., 90067. The postage stamps, totaling 40(forty) ea., 32¢ stamps for a total value of \$12.80, in and of themselves are not contraband, but because they were the sole content of the envelope, they do not meet the criteria for confidential correspondence. The envelope was re-sealed and sent to Facility Captain S. Petrakis for further disposition. Inmate MORALES is aware of this report.

Original: Central File  
cc: Facility Captain  
Inmate  
Writer

  
J. Holzman, Position #512  
Correctional Officer-Third Watch  
East Block Search and Escort  
San Quentin State Prison

DATE 08-21-97 (Unqualified- Confidential Mail)

GENERAL CHRONO

NAME and NUMBER

Morales C-68801

CDC 128-B (rev.4/74)

You are being notified to appear for your 120 day UCC review hearing. Should you choose not to appear before the committee for review, your case will be reviewed in absentia, and your decision not to participate in the review will be viewed as an indication that you are satisfied with your current program placement, and that there are no issues you wish to discuss with the committee.

I wish to appear before UCC

I choose not to appear before UCC

RJ Chraft, CCI

DATE 5-5-97 UCC 120 Day Review

GENERAL CHRONO

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

NAME: Morales NUMBER: 268801 CELL: 3E92

CDC 128-B (Rev. 4/74)

**UCC 120 DAY REVIEW NOTICE**

You are being notified to appear for your 120 day U.C.C. Review hearing. If you declined to participate in the review process your case will be reviewed in absentia. Committee will consider your refusal to participate as an indication that there are no issues you request to discuss or problems with your current program placement.


I decline to appear at the 120 day review

\_\_\_\_\_  
Inmate Signature

I request to appear at the 120 day review

\_\_\_\_\_  
Inmate Signature

Inmate declined to appear and refused to sign.



\_\_\_\_\_  
Ricardo Flores Brau, CCI  
CSP-SQ

DATE: 1 / 24 / 97 / 1997

GENERAL CHRONO INFORMATIONAL

NAME: MORALES NUMBER: 268801 CELL: 38892 CDC 128-B (Rev. 4/74)  
401 D

**UCC 120 DAY REVIEW NOTICE**

You are being notified to appear for your 120 day U.C.C. Review hearing. If you declined to participate in the review process your case will be reviewed in absentia. Committee will consider your refusal to participate as an indication that there are no issues you request to discuss or problems with your current program placement.

I decline to appear at the 120 day review

\_\_\_\_\_  
Inmate Signature

I request to appear at the 120 day review

\_\_\_\_\_  
Inmate Signature

DATE: 9/25, 1996

GENERAL CHRONO INFORMATIONAL

Ricardo Flores Brau  
Ricardo Flores Brau, CCI  
CSP-SQ

NAME and NUMBER

Morales  
Name

C-68801  
Number

3-90  
Cell

CDC-128-B (Rev. 4)

UCC 120 DAY REVIEW

Inmate was notified to appear for his 120 day U.C.C review hearing. He declined to participate in the review process. He was advised that he would be reviewed IN ABSENTIA and that staff views his refusal to participate as an indication that there are no problems with his current program placement and that there are no issues he wishes to discuss.

I decline to participate.

I wish to appear.

*IM refused to sign*  
Inmate Signature

DATE 5-28-96

*MSH CCI*  
Staff signature (Please PRINT and Initial)

INFORMATIONAL

CSP-SQ

GENERAL CHRON(

NAME and NUMBER Morales C-68801 3-92  
Name Number Cell

UCC 120 DAY REVIEW

Inmate was notified to appear for his 120 day U.C.C review hearing. He declined to participate in the review process. He was advised that he would be reviewed IN ABSENTIA and that staff views his refusal to participate as an indication that there are no problems with his current program placement and that there are no issues he wishes to discuss.

I decline to participate.

I wish to appear.

M. Morales  
Inmate Signature

L. Carl  
Staff signature (Please PRINT and Initial)

DATE 2-29-96

INFORMATIONAL

CSP-SQ

GENERAL CHRONO

NAME and NUMBER

Morales  
Name

C68801  
Number

3292  
Cell

CDC-128-B (Rev. 4/74)

UCC 120 DAY REVIEW

Inmate was notified to appear for his 120 day U.C.C review hearing. He declined to participate in the review process. He was advised that he would be reviewed IN ABSENTIA and that staff views his refusal to participate as an indication that there are no problems with his current program placement and that there are no issues he wishes to discuss.

I decline to participate.

I wish to appear.

Refuse to sign  
Inmate Signature

H. CARL  
Staff signature (Please PRINT and Initial)

DATE

10-2-95

INFORMATIONAL

CSP-SQ

GENERAL CHRONO

NAME and NUMBER: MORALES, MICHAEL

CDC-128-C

MASS TESTING 1995  
TB SKIN TESTING CHRONO  
Inmate TB Alert Code: 32




SQ: C68801  
H/U: EB3-92L

DISTRIBUTION:

Central File

Date: 04/25/95

  
Signature

MEDICAL-PSYCHIATRIC-DENTAL

---

NAME and NUMBER Morales C68801 3892  
Name Number Cell

CDC-128-B (Rev. 4/78)

UCC 120 DAY REVIEW

Inmate was notified to appear for his 120 day U.C.C review hearing. He declined to participate in the review process. He was advised that he would be reviewed IN ABSENTIA and that staff views his refusal to participate as an indication that there are no problems with his current program placement and that there are no issues he wishes to discuss.

I decline to participate.

I wish to appear.

Refuse to sign  
Inmate Signature

L. Carl  
Staff signature (Please PRINT and Initial)

DATE 6-5-95

INFORMATIONAL

CSP-SQ

GENERAL CHRONIC

NAME and NUMBER

MORALES

C-68801

3-EB-92

CDC-128-B (Rev. 4/74)

On 3-31-95, the following items were destroyed or donated per I.P. 215:

(1)-box of Soups, (Not Tamper Proof), (1)-Box of pencils, (Unauthorized).

Original: Central File

cc: Inmate  
Counselor  
Writer

DATE 3-31-95

  
V. Lawson  
Property Officer  
East Block Condemned Row II  
Second Watch

(128b Informational Chrono) CSP-SQ

GENERAL CHRONO

NAME and NUMBER Morales C68801 3592  
Name Number Cell

CDC-128-B (Rev. 4/7-

UCC 120 DAY REVIEW

Inmate was notified to appear for his 120 day U.C.C review hearing. He declined to participate in the review process. He was advised that he would be reviewed IN ABSENTIA and that staff views his refusal to participate as an indication that there are no problems with his current program placement and that there are no issues he wishes to discuss.

I decline to participate.

I wish to appear.

Morales  
Inmate Signature

R. Carl, L. Carl  
Staff signature (Please PRINT and Initial)

DATE 2-3-95

INFORMATIONAL

CSP-SQ

GENERAL CHRONO

NAME and NUMBER Morales C-68801 3E092  
Name Number Cell

CDC-128-8 (Rev. 4/74)

UCC 120 DAY REVIEW

Inmate was notified to appear for his 120 day U.C.C review hearing. He declined to participate in the review process. He was advised that he would be reviewed **IN ABSENTIA** and that staff views his refusal to participate as an indication that there are no problems with his current program placement and that there are no issues he wishes to discuss.

I decline to participate.

I wish to appear.

*Morales*  
Inmate Signature

*MS*  
Staff signature (Please PRINT and Initial)

DATE 10-94

INFORMATIONAL

CSP-SQ

GENERAL CHRONO

ANNUAL TB INTERVIEW CHRONO

NAME: Morales, Michael CDC#: C-68801 HOUSE: 38B92 CDC-128-C

CURRENT SYMPTOMS:

- COUGH
- FEVER
- NIGHT SWEATS
- CHILLS
- WEIGHT LOSS
- LOSS OF APPETITE
- FATIGUE

NO SYMPTOMS

LAST CXR DATE: 8-30-94

TB ALERT CODE: 32

CC: MEDICAL RECORD  
C-FILE  
INMATE.

DATE: 8/30/94

SAN QUENTIN STATE PRISON

*J. Stepanek P.H.N.*  
TONYA STEPANEK, P.H.N.

MEDICAL

NAME and NUMBER Morales C-68801 3EB92  
Name Number Cell

CDC-128-B (Rev. 4/74)

UCC 120 DAY REVIEW

Inmate was notified to appear for his 120 day U.C.C review hearing. He declined to participate in the review process. He was advised that he would be reviewed **IN ABSENTIA** and that staff views his refusal to participate as an indication that there are no problems with his current program placement and that there are no issues he wishes to discuss.

I decline to participate.

I wish to appear.

Refuses to sign  
Inmate Signature

M.H. CCI  
Staff signature (Please PRINT and Initial)

DATE 6-94

INFORMATIONAL

CSP-SQ

GENERAL CHRONO

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

NAME and NUMBER

MORALES  
Name

C-68801  
Number

3EB92  
Cell

CDC-128-B (Rev. 4/74)

UCC 120 DAY REVIEW

Inmate was notified to appear for his 120 day U.C.C review hearing. He declined to participate in the review process. He was advised that he would be reviewed **IN ABSENTIA** and that staff views his refusal to participate as an indication that there are no problems with his current program placement and that there are no issues he wishes to discuss.

I decline to participate.

I wish to appear.

Mike Morales  
Inmate Signature

MBH CCI  
Staff signature (Please PRINT and Initial)

DATE

2-4-94

INFORMATIONAL

CSP-SQ

GENERAL CHRONO

UCC 10-93  
120 DAY REVIEW

CDC 128 B 3EB92

NAME: MORALES

NUMBER: P-68801

Inmate was notified to appear for 120 day U.C.C. review hearing. He declined to participate in the review process. He was advised that he would be reviewed IN ABSENTIA and that staff views his refusal to participate as an indication that there are no problems with his current program placement and that there are no issues he wishes to discuss.

Mike Morales  
Inmate Signature

M. Harvey  
Staff signature

Date: 10-93

General Chrono

---

---

---

---

---


UCC 120 DAY REVIEW


CDC 128 B 3EB92

NAME: Morales

NUMBER: C-68801

Inmate was notified to appear for 120 day U.C.C. review hearing. He declined to participate in the review process. He was advised that he would be reviewed IN ABSENTIA and that staff views his refusal to participate as an indication that there are no problems with his current program placement and that there are no issues he wishes to discuss.

  
Inmate Signature

  
Staff signature

Date: 6-93

General Chrono

Four horizontal lines for data entry.


CDC-128-C

NAME and NUMBER: MORALES

C-68801

TB SKIN TESTING CHRONO  
Inmate TB Alert Code: 32

DISTRIBUTION:  
Central File  
Date: 05/03/93

  
Signature  
MEDICAL-PYSCHIATRIC-DENTAL

UCC 120 DAY REVIEW

CDC 128 B

3 E 92

NAME: *Morales*

NUMBER: *C 68801*

Inmate was notified to appear for 120 day U.C.C. review hearing. He declined to participate in the review process. He was advised that he would be reviewed IN ABSENTIA and that staff views his refusal to participate as an indication that there are no problems with his current program placement and that there are no issues he wishes to discuss.

*Referred to Sup*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Inmate Signature

*[Signature]*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Staff signature

Date: *10/1/92*

UCC 120 DAY REVIEW

NAME: *MORALES, M.*

*3 R 92*

CDC 128 B

NUMBER: *C-68801*

Inmate was notified to appear for his 120 day U.C.C. review hearing. He declined to participate in the review process. He was advised that he would be reviewed IN ABSENTIA and that staff views his refusal to participate as an indication that there are no problems with his current program placement and that there are no issues he wishes to discuss.

*[Handwritten Signature]*  
Inmate Signature

*[Handwritten Signature]*  
Staff Signature

Date: *5.30.92*

General Chrono

UCC 120 DAY REVIEW

NAME: *Morales, M.*

*3E92*

CDC 128 B

NUMBER: *C-68801*

Inmate was notified to appear for his 120 day U.C.C. review hearing. He declined to participate in the review process. He was advised that he would be reviewed IN ABSENTIA and that staff views his refusal to participate as an indication that there are no problems with his current program placement and that there are no issues he wishes to discuss.

*M. Morales*  
Inmate Signature

*[Signature]*  
Staff Signature


Date:

General Chrono

NAME and-NUMBER                      **MORALES    C-68801**

The Vietnam Veteran's Group of San Quentin hereby acknowledge, in appreciation, the monetary contribution made by Inmate MORALES, C-68801, to the 1991 Muscular Dystrophy Association's 1991 fund drive. Through donations, such as by Inmate MORALES, the 1991 MDA fund drive enjoyed great success. On behalf of "Jerry's Kids", the VVGSQ thank you for your contribution to this important and very worthwhile cause.

ORIGINAL: CENTRAL FILE  
              : Inmate

  
James K. Hecker,  
Chairman, V.V.G.S.Q.

  
Duane A. Nix,  
Sponsor, V.V.G.S.Q.

DATE            9/19/91

( LAUDATORY )

GENERAL CHRONO

NAME and NUMBER *Morales C-68801*

CDC-128-B

Inmate was notified to appear for his 120 day U.C.C. review hearing. He declined to participate in the review process. He was advised that he would be reviewed In Absentia and that Staff views his refusal to participate as an indication that there are no problems with his current program placement and that there are no issues he wishes to discuss.

*Refused*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Inmate Signature

*3-E-92*

*C/O T. Jackson III*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Staff Signature

Date:

General Chrono

MORALEZ C-6880  
NAME and NUMBER

3892  
CDC-128-B

Inmate was notified to appear for his 120 day U.C.C. review hearing. He declined to participate in the review process. He was advised that he would be reviewed In Absentia and that Staff views his refusal to participate as an indication that there are no problems with his current program placement and that there are no issues he wishes to discuss.

REFUSE TO SIGN  
Inmate Signature

[Signature]  
Staff Signature

Date: 6-10-91

General Chrono

NAME AND NUMBER

MORALES C-68801 1E101

CDC-128-B

Inmate was notified to appear for his 120 day U.C.C. review hearing. He declined to participate in the review process. He was advised that he would be reviewed In Absentia and that Staff views his refusal to participate as an indication that there are no problems with his current program placement and that there are no issues he wishes to discuss.

REFUSED TO SIGN  
Inmate Signature

[Signature]  
Staff Signature

Date: 2/17/91

General Chrono

NAME and NUMBER *Morales C-68801*

CDC-128-B

Inmate was notified to appear for his 120 day U.C.C. review hearing. He declined to participate in the review process. He was advised that he would be reviewed In Absentia and that Staff views his refusal to participate as an indication that there are no problems with his current program placement and that there are no issues he wishes to discuss.

*Mike Morales*  
Inmate Signature

*[Signature]*  
Staff Signature

Date: *10-2-90*

General Chrono

NAME and NUMBER MORALES C68801

CDC-128-B

Inmate was notified to appear for his 120 day U.C.C. review hearing. He declined to participate in the review process. He was advised that he would be reviewed In Absentia and that Staff views his refusal to participate as an indication that there are no problems with his current program placement and that there are no issues he wishes to discuss.

M Morales  
Inmate Signature

C/o JCSmith  
Staff Signature

Date:

General Chrono

NAME and NUMBER Morales, Michael

C-68801 Condemned Row 1-E-114 CDC-128-C

Mr. Morales, a condemned man, has been briefly interviewed by the undersigned psychologist at his cell on 6-21-90. (The purpose of this routine, 90-day, brief clinical interview was to assess adaptive functioning; it should not be construed as an attempt at an in depth, diagnostic interview.)

At interview Mr. Morales was friendly and cooperative. Mental status was relatively unremarkable; there was no evidence of psychosis, organicity, or serious psychological impairment in social functioning. Further, it did not appear that he was experiencing any significant psychological problems at that time. This informational report is required for compliance with paragraph 2-4237 of the manual of Standards for Adult Correctional Institutions.

cc: Health Record.  
Psych. Dept.  
Inmate  
DATE 6-21-90

M. Lyons PhD  
M. Lyons, Ph.D.  
Staff Psychologist  
San Quentin

  
P. Hicks M.D.  
Chief Psychiatrist  
XXXXXX MEDICAL-PSYCHIATRIC-DENTAL XXXXX

NAME and NUMBER Morales, Michael

C-68801

Condemned Row

1-E-114 CDC-128-C

Mr. Morales, a condemned man, has been briefly interviewed by the undersigned psychologist at his cell on 3-20-90. (The purpose of this routine, 90-day, brief clinical interview was to assess adaptive functioning; it should not be construed as an attempt at an in depth, diagnostic interview.)

At interview Mr. Morales was friendly and cooperative. Mental status was relatively unremarkable; there was no evidence of psychosis, organicity, or serious psychological impairment in social functioning. Further, it did not appear that he was experiencing any significant psychological problems at that time. This informational report is required for compliance with paragraph 2-4237 of the manual of Standards for Adult Correctional Institutions.

cc: Health Record.  
Psych. Dept.  
Inmate  
DATE 3-20-90

*M. Lynn P/D*  
M. Lyons, Ph.D.  
Staff Psychologist  
San Quentin

*Geiger for*  
P. Hicks M.D.  
Chief Psychiatrist  
~~XXXXXX~~ - PSYCHIATRIC ~~XXXXXX~~ DENTAL

GENERAL CHRONO

GENERAL CHRONO

NAME and NUMBER MORALES C68801

1E114

CDC-128-B

Inmate was notified to appear for his 120 day U.C.C. review hearing. He declined to participate in the review process. He was advised that he would be reviewed In Absentia and that Staff views his refusal to participate as an indication that there are no problems with his current program placement and that there are no issues he wishes to discuss.

*Mike Morales*  
Inmate Signature

*C10 J. Horn*  
Staff Signature

DATE 1/31/90

GENERAL CH

0-1007

NAME and NUMBER MORALES C-68801 1/EB/114

CDC-12816 Rev. 4/74

On August 24, 1989, at approximately 1050 hours, I was assigned to escort inmate MORALES, C-68801, sole occupant of 1/EB/114, from East Block exercise yard #1 to a legal visit. Inmate MORALES stated, "I don't want it." East Block condemned visiting was contacted and informed of inmate MORALES' refusal.

*D. O'Vitt*

D. OVITT  
Correctional Officer  
Position #557, 2/W  
East Block Condemned Housing Unit

Orig: Central File  
cc: Counselor  
Sgt. File  
Legal Affairs Coordinator  
Inmate

DATE August 24, 1989 (REFUSAL OF LEGAL VISIT) S.Q.

GENERAL CHRONO

01008

NAME and NUMBER      MORALES      C-68801      1/EB/114

On August 24, 1989, at approximately 1050 hours, I was assigned to escort inmate MORALES, C-68801, sole occupant of 1/EB/114, from East Block exercise yard #1 to a legal visit. Inmate MORALES stated, "I don't want it." East Block condemned visiting was contacted and informed of inmate MORALES' refusal.

Orig: Central File  
cc: Counselor ✓  
Sgt. File  
Legal Affairs Coordinator  
Inmate

*D. Ovitt*      *GD*  
D. OVITT  
Correctional Officer  
Position #557, 2/W  
East Block Condemned Housing Unit

DATE      August 24, 1989      (REFUSAL OF LEGAL VISIT)      S.Q.      GENERAL CHRONO

01020

NAME and NUMBER *MORALES C68801*

*1E114*

CDC-128-B

Inmate was notified to appear for his 120 day U.C.C. review hearing. He declined to participate in the review process. He was advised he would be reviewed In Absentia and that Staff views his refusal to participate as an indication that there are no problems with his current program placement and that there are no issues he wishes to discuss.

*Mike Mordey*

Inmate Signature

*Y. D. Smith*

Staff Signature

DATE

*10-17-89*

GENERAL CHRONO

CHRONO

NAME and NUMBER *MORALES C68801*

*528105*

CDC-128-B

Inmate was notified to appear for his 120 day U.C.C. review hearing. He declined to participate in the review process. He was advised he would be reviewed In Absentia and that Staff views his refusal to participate as an indication that there are no problems with his current program placement and that there are no issues he wishes to discuss.

*Miki Morales*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Inmate Signature

*Ed. Smith*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Staff Signature

DATE *0.30.89*

GENERAL CHRONO

NAME and NUMBER MORALES C68801

5E36 CDC-128-3

Inmate was notified to appear for his 120 day U.C.C. review hearing. He declined to participate in the review process. He was advised he would be reviewed In Absentia and that Staff views his refusal to participate as an indication that there are no problems with his current program placement and that there are no issues he wishes to discuss.

Mike Morales  
Inmate Signature

C. D. Avert  
Staff Signature

DATE 2/15/89

GENERAL CHRONO

NAME and NUMBER **MORALES 68801**

**5E96**

CDC-128-B

Inmate was notified to appear for his 120 day U.C.C. review hearing. He declined to participate in the review process. He was advised he would be reviewed In Absentia and that Staff views his refusal to participate as an indication that there are no problems with his current program placement and that there are no issues he wishes to discuss.

*Mike Morales*  
Inmate Signature

*[Signature]*  
Staff Signature

DATE **6-8-87**

GENERAL CHRONO

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

NAME and NUMBER

MORALES C-68801

CDC-128-B (Rev. 4/74)

INMATE WAS NOTIFIED TO APPEAR FOR HIS 120 DAY U.C.C. REVIEW HEARING. HE DECLINED TO PARTICIPATE IN THE REVIEW PROCESS. HE WAS ADVISED HE WOULD BE REVIEWED IN ABSENTIA AND THAT STAFF VIEW HIS REFUSAL TO PARTICIPATE AS AN INDICATION THERE ARE NO PROBLEMS WITH HIS CURRENT PROGRAM PLACEMENT AND THAT THERE ARE NO ISSUES HE WISHES TO DISCUSS.

*Mike Morales*

INMATES SIGNATURE

*Clifford*

STAFFS SIGNATURE

DATE 3.2.88

GENERAL CHRONO

NAME and NUMBER

*Morales C-68801 5D30*

CDC-128-B (Rev. 4/78)

On this date, *5/18/87*, property which was confiscated from the above named inmate's property was disposed of as "Contraband", under the guidelines of "Institutional Procedure 215". Upon receipt of property, the inmate was made aware of the Confiscated Property as being unauthorized, and that he was to decide upon the disposition of such. The inmate was given a proper amount of time to decide and has failed to meet the time constraints provided. Therefore, this property is considered as being "Contraband" and has been disposed of accordingly.

CC: Central file  
Counselor  
Donner Section property file  
Inmate

  
Property Officer  
Donner Section, Unit III

DATE

*5/18/87*

GENERAL CHRONIC

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

NAME and NUMBER *Morales* *L-68801* *5030*

CDC-128-B (Rev. 4/77)

On this date, *4/28/87*, property which was confiscated from the above named inmate's property was disposed of as "Contraband", under the guidelines of "Institutional Procedure 215". Upon receipt of property, the inmate was made aware of the Confiscated Property as being unauthorized, and that he was to decide upon the disposition of such. The inmate was given a proper amount of time to decide and has failed to meet the time constraints provided. Therefore, this property is considered as being "Contraband" and has been disposed of accordingly.

CC: Central file  
Counselor  
Donner Section property file  
Inmate

*R. Smith*  
Property Officer  
Donner Section, Unit III

DATE *4/28/87*

GENERAL CHRONO

NAME and NUMBER  
DATE APRIL 13, 1987

(INFORMATIONAL)


GENERAL CHRONO CDC-128-B (Rev. 4/74)

NAME and NUMBER MORALES C68801

CDC-128-B (Rev. 4/74)

ON APRIL 13, 1987 THE ABOVE NAMED INMATE HOUSED AT SAN QUENTIN  
STATE PRISON WAS ISSUED THE FEBRUARY UP-DATED COPY OF TITLE 15  
PUBLISHED IN FEBRUARY 1987

DATE 04-14-82

  
GENERAL CHRONO

DATE APRIL 13, 1987

(INFORMATIONAL)

GENERAL CHRONO

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

NAME and NUMBER      MORALES      C-68801      5-D-30      CDC-128-B (Rev. 4/74)

On Friday May 22, 1987 at approximately 1030 Hrs., while working Position Number #567, in Donner Section. I conducted a routine Cell Search of INMATE MORALES, C-68801, solely assigned to Cell 5-D-30. I confiscated two(2) disposable razors. I disposed of them per Institutional Policy at the request of INMATE MORALES.

cc: Central File  
Counselor  
Unit Lt's File  
Inmate  
DATE 5/23/87

*Sgt D. J. Engler* (H)  
D.J. ENGLER  
CORRECTIONAL OFFICER

GENERAL CHRONO

TO: DIRECTOR  
FROM: [illegible]  
SUBJECT: [illegible]  
DATE: [illegible]

SEARCHED  
SERIALIZED  
INDEXED  
FILED  
APR 26 1987  
FBI - [illegible]

ON APRIL TWENTY SIX, 1987, AT APPROXIMATELY 11:00 A.M., WHILE WORKING POS. #863, DONNER SECTION, I CONDUCTED A ROUTINE SEARCH OF CELL 5-D-30, SOLELY ASSIGNED TO INMATE MORALES C-68801. DURING THE COURSE OF THIS SEARCH, I DISCOVERED THE FOLLOWING ITEMS, WHICH I CONFISCATED: ONE (1) TOENAIL CLIPPERS, ONE (1) FINGERNAIL CLIPPERS, ONE (1) EMPTY CAN AND ONE (1) TWEEZERS. THE ITEMS CONFISCATED WERE DELIVERED TO THE UNIT PROPERTY OFFICER FOR DISPOSITION.

NAME and NUMBER      MORALES      C-68801

CDC-128-B (Rev. 4/7)

On Sunday, April 26, 1987, at approximately 11:00 a.m., while working pos. #863, Donner Section, I conducted a routine search of cell 5-D-30, solely assigned to Inmate MORALES C-68801. During the course of this search, I discovered the following items, which I confiscated: One (1) toenail clippers, one (1) fingernail clippers, one (1) empty can and one (1) tweezers. The items confiscated were delivered to the Unit Property Officer for disposition.

This report is for your information and disposition.

cc: Central file  
Counselor  
Unit Lt.'s file  
Inmate

  
P.G. Leu  
Correctional Officer  
Donner Section, Unit III

DATE      4-26-87

GENERAL CHRONO

NAME and NUMBER

MORALES

C#68801

D

4-C-20

CDC-128-B (Rev. 4/74)

FOUND GUILTY : 3-7-86

CHARGE : DR#3005(c)-Being involved in physical altercation with an inmate.

COMMENT : No loss of behavior credits due to "S's" Condemned Status.  
: 10 days CTQ/credited time served.

ORIGINAL: CENTRAL FILE  
UNIT P.A.  
COUNSELOR  
INMATE

  
V. AMOS, LIEUTENANT  
PROGRAM UNIT III

DATE 3-7-86

SAN QUENTIN

GENERAL CHRONO

DATE

NAME and NUMBER C 68801 MORALES

CDC-128-B (Rev. 4)

Herewith waives his right to move to SHU II (Cond. Row I). It is understood that he may withdraw his waiver in writing to the Program Administrator of Unit #1. Upon receipt of his written request, his name will be placed on the bottom of the then existing seniority list for moving to SHU II (Cond. Row I).

Signed:

Declined to sign

Witnessed:

[Signature]

(WAIVER OF MOVE TO SHU II)

GENERAL CHRONO

Name & Number MORALES, Michael Angelo C-68801

IN CASE OF SERIOUS ILLNESS, ACCIDENT OR DEATH, I REQUEST THAT THE BELOW NAMED PARTY BE NOTIFIED:

Name John Morales Relationship Father

Address 1445 Cardinal, Lodi, Calif. 95240 209-334-2753

Name \_\_\_\_\_ Relationship \_\_\_\_\_

Address \_\_\_\_\_

DATE \_\_\_\_\_

*Michael Angelo Morales*  
Write Full Name

NOTICE IN CASE OF DEATH OR ILLNESS

*No change 2-9-94*  
*MJM CC1*

# **DISCIPLINARY**

RULES VIOLATION REPORT

NUMBER C-68801	NAME MORALES	RELEASE/BOARD DATE	INST. S.Q.	HOUSING NO. 4-C-20	LOG NO. III 2/86-139
VIOLATED RULE NO.(S) 3005 (c)	SPECIFIC ACTS Being involved in a physical assault on an inmate	LOCATION C-Section	DATE 2/21/86	TIME 11:40 a.m.	

CIRCUMSTANCES:  
On Friday, February 21, 1986, at approximately 11:40 a.m., while working Pos. #606, Carson Section Yard Gunman, I observed a fistfight on Yard #1 between inmates later identified as REILLY C-81001; MORALES C-68801; CHAVEZ C-31401; and PINHOLSTER C-87601.

I immediately sounded a whistle alarm, then ordered them to stop. The combatants ignored my command, at which time I pointed my state issue Mini-14 (Serial No. 184-64165) in their direction. All combatants then ceased fighting.

The combatants were removed from the yard by responding staff without further incident.

There was no weapon(s) involved in this incident.

*F. J. Ares c/o*

REPORTING EMPLOYEE (TYPED NAME AND SIGNATURE) F.J. ARES, Correctional Officer	ASSIGNMENT Pos. #606	RDO'S	DATE 2/21/86
CLASSIFICATION <input type="checkbox"/> ADMINISTRATIVE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SERIOUS	HEARING REFERRED TO:	SEGREGATED PENDING HEARING	
OFFENSE DIVISION (CIRCLE ONE) A B C <u>D</u> E F	<input type="checkbox"/> HO <input type="checkbox"/> SHO <input type="checkbox"/> SC <input type="checkbox"/> FC	LOC.	DATE
COPIES GIVEN INMATE	SUPPLEMENTS:		
CDC 115 BEFORE HEARING <i>[Signature]</i> STAFF MEMBER SIGNATURE DATE	STAFF MEMBER SIGNATURE DATE		
AFTER HEARING <i>[Signature]</i> 3/26/86 STAFF MEMBER SIGNATURE DATE	INCIDENT REPORT: STAFF MEMBER SIGNATURE DATE		

PLEA:  GUILTY  NOT GUILTY FINDINGS:  GUILTY  NOT GUILTY (EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT CONCLUSIONS AND ANY FACTORS IN AGGRAVATION)

FINDINGS: GUILTY; DENIES CHARGES

Procedural requirements/due process have been complied with as outlined above. S was advised that he will receive a copy of completed CDC-115 upon audit by the Chief Disciplinary Officer.

COMMENTS: S had no comments. Based on the submitted report, a finding of guilty is deemed appropriate for S violating a Division "D" offense.

S was counseled regarding future behavioral expectations. He was informed of these findings, disposition and appeal rights.

DISPOSITION:

GUILTY: 10 days CTQ, credit for time served. No behavioral credits assessed due to S Condemned status.

REFERRED:	ACTION BY: SIGNATURE <i>V. Amos</i>	SIGNATURE	DATE 3/7/86
<input type="checkbox"/> CLASSIFICATION: <input type="checkbox"/> BPT	V. AMOS, Lt.	SIGNATURE	
<input type="checkbox"/> PSYCH: <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER	<i>R.L. Martin</i>	SIGNATURE	
REASON:			
ACTION REVIEWED AND APPROVED:	<i>H.A. Salan</i>	SIGNATURE	3-25-86 DATE

SERIOUS RULES VIOLATION REPORT

NUMBER C-68801	NAME MORALES	VIOLATED RULE NO.(S) 3005 (c)	DATE 2/21/86	INSTITUTION San Quentin	LOG NO. III 2/86-139
STAFF ASSISTANT	<input type="checkbox"/> NEEDED <input type="checkbox"/> REQUESTED	ASSIGNED: <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO	DATE	ASSIGNMENT	RDO'S
NAME <u>DNMC</u>					

STAFF INVESTIGATOR:  NEEDED  REQUESTED  WAIVED BY INMATE: SIGNATURE: DNMC DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

ASSIGNED: TYPED NAME: \_\_\_\_\_ DATE: \_\_\_\_\_ ASSIGNMENT: \_\_\_\_\_ RDO'S: \_\_\_\_\_

REQUEST FOR POSTPONEMENT OF DISCIPLINARY HEARING:  
 I, MIA, request my hearing be postponed pending outcome of referral for Prosecution.

INMATE SIGNATURE AND DATE \_\_\_\_\_ , request my hearing be postponed pending outcome of referral for Prosecution.

Notice of outcome received \_\_\_\_\_ Disposition \_\_\_\_\_

I, \_\_\_\_\_ , revoke my request \_\_\_\_\_ for postponement.

WITNESSES REQUESTED AT HEARING (IF NOT PRESENT, EXPLAIN IN FINDINGS)

REPORTING EMPLOYEE  STAFF ASSISTANT  INVESTIGATIVE EMPLOYEE  OTHER  NONE

WITNESSES: (GIVE NAME AND NO./POS.)	NOT GRANTED		GRANTED	
	GRANTED	NOT GRANTED	GRANTED	NOT GRANTED
_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

INVESTIGATIVE REPORT: Investigative employees must interview the inmate charged, the reporting employee, and any others who have significant information, documenting the testimony of each person interviewed. Review of files, procedures and other documents may also be necessary.

*State Schuster 3/26/86*

2 3 Summary

CALIFORNIA STATE PRISON  
SAN QUENTIN

TO: DANIEL J. McCARTHY  
Director of Corrections

DATE: February 21, 1986  
LOG NO: 2/86 III 10

FROM: DAN VASQUEZ, Warden

SUBJECT: INCIDENT REPORT: Physical altercation. Four (4) inmates involved.

SYNOPSIS: On Friday, February 21, 1986, at approximately 11:40 a.m., Correctional Officer F.J. Ares observed a physical altercation between Inmates REILLY C-81001; MORALES C-68801; CHAVEZ C-31401; and PINHOLSTER C-87601. The incident was quelled with a whistle alarm and verbal order. This incident occurred on Carson Section Exercise Yard #1.

No staff injuries were sustained as a result of this incident.

Inmate MORALES sustained a cut to the upper lip, on the left side.

Inmate PINHOLSTER sustained several scrapes and scratches on his nose and forehead.

Inmate REILLY sustained a scratch under his right eye.

INMATES

INVOLVED: NAME: CHAVEZ, RAYMOND RUDY  
CDC #: C-31401  
RECEIVED CDC: 6/3/81  
RECEIVED SQ: 6/3/81  
OFFENSE: MURDER 1st  
COUNTY: TULARE  
PAROLE DATE: LIFE  
CUSTODY: MAX A  
CLASS SCORE: 96

NAME: MORALEZ, MICHAEL ANGELO  
CDC #: C-68801  
RECEIVED CDC: 6/30/83  
RECEIVED SQ: 6/30/83  
OFFENSE: MURDER 1st.  
COUNTY: VENTURA  
PAROLE DATE: CONDEMNED  
CUSTODY: MAX A  
CLASS SCORE: 96

NAME: REILLY, MARK ANTHONY  
CDC #: C-81001  
RECEIVED CDC: 2/9/84  
RECEIVED SQ: 2/9/84

OFFENSE: MURDER 1st. 2 cts.  
COUNTY: L.A.  
PAROLE DATE: CONDEMNED  
CUSTODY: MAX A  
CLASS SCORE: 96

NAME: PINHOLSTER, SCOTT LYNN  
CDC #: C-87601  
RECEIVED CDC: 5/2/78 RCC  
RECEIVED SQ: 6/13/84  
OFFENSE: MURDER 1st. 2 cts.; ROBB. 2cts.; BURG.  
COUNTY: L.A.  
PAROLE DATE: CONDEMNED  
CUSTODY: MAX A  
CLASS SCORE: 139

STAFF

INVOLVED: Correctional Sergeant H.L. Ross  
Correctional Officer F.J. Ares

SUMMARY: On Friday, February 21, 1986, at approximately 11:40 a.m., Officer Ares observed a fistfight on Carson Section Yard #1 between Inmates REILLY, MORALES, CHAVEZ and PINHOLSTER.

Officer Ares immediately sounded a whistle alarm, then ordered the inmates to cease fighting. The combatants ignored his command, at which time Officer Ares pointed his state issue Mini-14 in their direction. All combatants then ceased fighting.

Correctional Sergeant H.L. Ross then ordered responding staff to remove the combatants from the yard, which was effected without further incident.

ACTION  
TAKEN:

All appropriate administrative staff were notified of this incident.

Inmate MORALES was advised of his specific warning rights pursuant to the Miranda Decision by Correctional Officer M.D. Thompson, in the presence of and witnessed by Sergeant Ross. Subject stated that he understood his rights and chose to remain silent, but he refused to sign the Miranda Warning Notice Form.

Inmate CHAVEZ was advised of his specific warning rights pursuant to the Miranda Decision by Officer Thompson, in the presence of and witnessed by Sergeant Ross. Subject stated that he understood his rights and chose to remain silent, but he refused to sign the Miranda Warning Notice Form.

Inmate REILLY was advised of his specific warning rights pursuant to the Miranda Decision by Officer Thompson, in the presence of and witnessed by Sergeant Ross. Subject stated that he understood his rights and chose to remain silent. Subject did sign the Miranda Warning Notice Form.

Inmate PINHOLSTER was advised of his specific warning rights pursuant to the Miranda Decision by Officer Thompson, in the presence of and witnessed by Sergeant Ross. Subject stated that he understood his rights and chose to remain silent. Subject did sign the Miranda Warning Notice Form.

There were no weapons involved in this incident.

A Rules Violation Report (CDC-115) was issued, charging Inmate MORALES with violation of Director's Rule 3005 (c), Force and Violence, for the specific act of being involved in a physical assault on an inmate.

A Rules Violation Report (CDC-115) was issued, charging Inmate CHAVEZ with violation of Director's Rule 3005 (c), Force and Violence, for the specific act of being involved in a physical assault on an inmate.

A Rules Violation Report (CDC-115) was issued, charging Inmate REILLY with violation of Director's Rule 3005 (c), Force and Violence, for the specific act of being involved in a physical assault on an inmate.

A Rules Violation Report (CDC-115) was issued, charging Inmate PINHOLSTER with violation of Director's Rule 3005 (c), Force and Violence, for the specific act of being involved in a physical assault on an inmate.

These Rules Violation Reports will be processed in accordance with departmental policy and procedures.

You will be apprised of further developments in this matter by supplemental report.

Respectfully submitted,

DAN VASQUEZ, Warden

BY: *D.A. Jelach*  
E. MYERS  
Chief Deputy Warden

#### ATTACHMENTS

Reports by: Correctional Sergeant H.L. Ross  
Correctional Officer F.J. Ares  
Correctional Officer S. Crady  
Correctional Officer T. Edwards  
Correctional Officer M.D. Thompson

INTER-DEPARTMENTAL COMMUNICATION  
CALIFORNIA STATE PRISON AT SAN QUENTIN

TO: V. AMOS  
Correctional Lieutenant  
Pos. #55 2nd Watch  
C-Section, Unit III

DATE: February 21, 1986

FROM: H.L. ROSS  
Correctional Sergeant  
Pos. #142, 2nd Watch  
C-Section, Unit III

SUBJ: CDC-115 Supplement

RE: REILLY C-81001  
MORALES C-68801  
CHAVEZ C-31401  
PINHOLSTER C-87601

On Friday, February 21, 1986, at approximately 11:40 a.m., while working Pos. #142, Carson Section Second Watch Unit Sergeant, I responded to a whistle alarm on Carson Section Exercise Yard #1.

Upon arrival at the yard I was informed by Correctional Officer F.J. Ares, Yard Gunman, that he had observed a physical altercation between Inmates REILLY C-81001; MORALES C-68801; CHAVEZ C-31401; and PINHOLSTER C-87601. Officer Ares further stated that there did not appear to be a weapon involved in this incident.

I instructed responding staff to escort the aforementioned inmates to the front security area; and to the institution hospital as needed. This was accomplished without further incident.

At approximately 12:39 p.m., I witnessed Correctional Officer M.D. Thompson read Inmate MORALES his specific warning rights pursuant to the Miranda Decision. Subject stated that he understood his rights and chose to remain silent. Subject refused to sign the Miranda Warning Notice Form.

At approximately 12:40 p.m., I witnessed Officer Thompson read Inmate CHAVEZ his specific warning rights pursuant to the Miranda Decision. Subject stated that he understood his rights and chose to remain silent. Subject refused to sign the Miranda Warning Notice Form.

At approximately 12:55 p.m., I witnessed Officer Thompson read Inmate REILLY his specific warning rights pursuant to the Miranda Decision. Subject stated that he understood his rights and chose to remain silent. Subject did sign the Miranda Warning Notice Form.

At approximately 12:57 p.m., I witnessed Officer Thompson read Inmate PINHOLSTER his specific warning rights pursuant to the Miranda Decision. Subject stated that he understood his rights and chose to remain silent. Subject did sign the Miranda Warning Notice Form.

The aforementioned inmates were placed on CTQ in their assigned cells pending further investigation.

All appropriate administrative staff were notified of this incident.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Sgt H L Ross". The signature is written in a cursive, somewhat stylized font.

H.L. ROSS  
Correctional Sergeant  
Pos. #142, 2nd Watch  
C-Section, Unit III

INTER-DEPARTMENTAL COMMUNICATION  
CALIFORNIA STATE PRISON AT SAN QUENTIN

TO: H.L. ROSS  
Correctional Sergeant  
Pos. #142, 2nd Watch  
C-Section, Unit III

DATE: February 21, 1986

FROM: S. CRADY  
Correctional Officer  
Pos. #610, 2nd Watch  
Carson Section, Unit III

SUBJ: CDC-115 Supplement

RE: PINHOLSTER C-87601  
REILLY C-81001

On Friday, February 21, 1986, at approximately 11:40 a.m., while working Pos. #610, C-Section S&E, I responded to a whistle alarm on the Carson Section Exercise Yard #1.

Upon arrival at the yard I was instructed by Correctional Sergeant H.L. Ross to escort Inmate PINHOLSTER C-87601 to the front security area. I placed Inmate PINHOLSTER in mechanical restraints (handcuffs); escorted him to the front security area; placed him in a holding cell; and conducted an unclothed body search. During the course of this search I observed Subject to have several scrapes and scratches on his nose and forehead, and the back of his hands were inflamed. I placed Subject in mechanical restraints (handcuffs) and escorted him to the institution hospital. He was examined and medically cleared, at which time I escorted him back to C-Section.

At approximately 12:10 p.m., I placed Inmate REILLY C-81001, in mechanical restraints (handcuffs) and escorted him to the institution hospital. At the hospital he was checked for a scratch under his right eye, then medically cleared, at which time I escorted REILLY to his assigned cell.



S. CRADY  
Correctional Officer  
Pos. #610, 2nd Watch  
Carson Section, Unit III

INTER-DEPARTMENTAL COMMUNICATION  
CALIFORNIA STATE PRISON AT SAN QUENTIN

TO: H.L. ROSS  
Correctional Sergeant  
Pos. #142, 2nd Watch  
C-Section, Unit III

DATE: February 21, 1986

FROM: T. EDWARDS  
Correctional Officer  
Pos. #611, 2nd Watch  
Carson Section, Unit III

SUBJ: CDC-115 Supplement

RE: MORALES C-68801

On Friday, February 21, 1986, at approximately 12:10 p.m., while working Pos. #611, C-Section S&E, I placed Inmate MORALES C-68801, in mechanical restraints (handcuffs), then escorted him from the Carson Section front security area to the institution hospital.

At the hospital Inmate MORALES was treated for a cut on the upper lip, on the left side, then medically cleared. I then escorted Inmate MORALES to his assigned cell.

T. EDWARDS  
Correctional Officer  
Pos. #611, 2nd Watch  
Carson Section, Unit III

INTER-DEPARTMENTAL COMMUNICATION  
CALIFORNIA STATE PRISON AT SAN QUENTIN

TO: H.L. ROSS  
Correctional Sergeant  
Pos. #142, 2nd Watch  
C-Section, Unit III

DATE: February 21, 1986

FROM: M.D. THOMPSON  
Correctional Officer  
Pos. #601, 2nd Watch  
Carson Section, Unit III

SUBJ: CDC-115 Supplement

RE: REILLY C-81001  
MORALES C-68801  
CHAVEZ C-31401  
PINHOLSTER C-87601

On Friday, February 21, 1986, at approximately 12:39 p.m., I read Inmate MORALES C-68801, his specific warning rights pursuant to the Miranda Decision, in the presence of and witnessed by Correctional Sergeant H.L. Ross. Subject stated that he understood his rights and chose to remain silent. Subject refused to sign the Miranda Warning Notice Form.

At approximately 12:40 p.m., I read Inmate CHAVEZ C-31401, his specific warning rights pursuant to the Miranda Decision, in the presence of and witnessed by Correctional Sergeant H.L. Ross. Subject stated that he understood his rights and chose to remain silent. Subject refused to sign the Miranda Warning Notice Form.

At approximately 12:55 p.m., I read Inmate REILLY C-81001 his specific warning rights pursuant to the Miranda Decision, in the presence of and witnessed by Sergeant Ross. Subject stated that he understood his rights and chose to remain silent. Subject did sign the Miranda Warning Notice Form.

At approximately 12:57 p.m., I read Inmate PINHOLSTER C-87601, his specific warning rights pursuant to the Miranda Decision, in the presence of and witnessed by Sergeant Ross. Subject stated that he understood his rights and chose to remain silent. Subject did sign the Miranda Warning Notice Form.



M.D. THOMPSON  
Correctional Officer  
Pos. #601, 2nd Watch  
Carson Section, Unit III

INTER-DEPARTMENTAL COMMUNICATION  
CALIFORNIA STATE PRISON AT SAN QUENTIN

TO: H.L. ROSS  
Correctional Sergeant  
Pos. #142, 2nd Watch  
GSection, Unit III

DATE: February 21, 1986

FROM: F.J. ARES  
Correctional Officer  
Pos. #606, 2nd Watch  
Carson Section, Unit III

SUBJ: CDC-115 Supplement


RE: REILLY C-81001  
MORALES C-68801  
CHAVEZ C-31401  
PINHOLSTER C-87601

On Friday, February 21, 1986, at approximately 11:40 a.m., while working Pos. #606, Carson Section Yard Gunman, I observed a fistfight on Yard #1 between inmates later identified as REILLY C-81001; MORALES C-68801; CHAVEZ C-31401; and PINHOLSTER C-87601.

I immediately sounded a whistle alarm, then ordered them to stop. The combatants ignored my command, at which time I pointed my state issue Mini-14 (Serial No. 184-64165) in their direction. All combatants then ceased fighting.

The combatants were removed from the yard by responding staff without further incident.

There was no weapon(s) involved in this incident.

  
F.J. ARES  
Correctional Officer  
Pos. #606, 2nd Watch  
Carson Section, Unit III

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

STATE OF CALIFORNIA



MEDICAL REPORT OF UNUSUAL OCCURRENCE  
NEUMILLER HOSPITAL, SAN QUENTIN

NUMBER: C87601 NAME: PINHOLSTER NEW HOUSING LOCATION: 5C19 DATE: 2-21-86

TIME OF OCCURRENCE: 11:35a.m., 2-21-86 PLACE OF OCCURRENCE: C-Section yard

TIME SEEN: , 2-21-86 MODE OF ARRIVAL: Ambulatory

BRIEF RESUME IN PATIENT'S WORDS OF THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE UNUSUAL OCCURRENCE: "No comment"

NAME OF WITNESSES, SUMMARIES OF THEIR STORIES, INSOFAR AS AVAILABLE: Officer on the gun rail

NURSE'S HISTORY OF OCCURRENCE: Multiple bruises to face, apparently caused in an altercation

KNOWN ALLERGIES: None

COMMENTS OF MEDICAL OFFICER : Multiple bruises on face (nose, chin).

TREATMENT: Cleansing

DISPOSITION: Back to housing

SIGNATURE OF RN

SIGNATURE OF MEDICAL OFFICER

C. MC DONALD, RN

TAM BUI, M.D.

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

MEDICAL REPORT OF UNUSUAL OCCURRENCE  
NEUMILLER HOSPITAL, SAN QUENTIN

NUMBER: C68801 NAME: MORALES, MIKE NEW HOUSING LOCATION: 4C20 DATE: 2-21-86

TIME OF OCCURRENCE: 11:35a.m., 2-21-86 PLACE OF OCCURRENCE: C-Section yard

TIME SEEN: 11:40a.m., 2-21-86 MODE OF ARRIVAL: Ambulatory

BRIEF RESUME IN PATIENT'S WORDS OF THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE UNUSUAL OCCURRENCE: "No comment"

NURSE'S HISTORY OF OCCURRENCE: Small laceration left side upper lip caused in altercation

KNOWN ALLERGIES: None DATE OF LAST TETANUS: 1981

TIME MEDICAL OFFICER NOTIFIED: Present TIME MEDICAL OFFICER ARRIVED: Present

COMMENTS OF MEDICAL OFFICER: Deep laceration on the left side of the upper lip = 3cm

TREATMENT: Sutured with nylon #6 (will be removed in 4 days)

DISPOSITION: Back to housing

SIGNATURE OF R.N.



C. MC DONALD, RN

SIGNATURE OF MEDICAL OFFICER



TAM BUI, M.D.

NOTE: Tetanus tox. o/5 IM given.

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

STATE OF CALIFORNIA



MEDICAL REPORT OF UNUSUAL OCCURRENCE  
NEUMILIER HOSPITAL, SAN QUENTIN

NUMBER: C81001 NAME: REILLY OLD HOUSING LOCATION: 5C17 DATE: 2-21-86

TIME OF OCCURRENCE: Approximately 11:35a.m., 2-21-86

PLACE OF OCCURRENCE: C-Section yard TIME SEEN: 12:00 Noon, 2-21-86

MODE OF ARRIVAL: Ambulatory

BRIEF RESUME IN PATIENT'S WORDS OF THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE UNUSUAL OCCURRENCE: "I'm o.k."

NURSE'S HISOTRY OF OCCURRENCE: No injuries. Possibly involved in an altercation.

COMMENTS OF MEDICAL OFFICER: No injuries found

TREATMENT: No

DISPOSITION: Back to housing

SIGNATURE OF RN

P.G. DURAN, RN

SIGNATURE OF MEDICAL OFFICER

TAM BUI, M.D.

NAME and NUMBER

MORALES C-68801 1/EB/114

CDC-128-A (Rev. 4/74)

On January 17, 1990, inmate MORALES refused to comply with orders to stand for the 1715 hour institution count in violation of Institutional Procedure #37, which states as follows: "The 4:15 p.m. count will require all inmates to be standing inside and at the front of their respective cells with the cell lights on. Noncompliance will not be tolerated and may result in disciplinary action." Inmate MORALES received prior verbal counseling on standing count procedures January 16, 1990 to no avail.

Orig: Central File  
cc: Counselor  
Sgt. File  
Inmate

*S. D. Kluger*  
S. D. KLUGER  
Correctional Sergeant  
Position #133, 3/W  
East Block Condemned/SHU

DATE January 17, 1990 (REFUSAL TO STAND FOR COUNT) S.Q.

CUSTODIAL COUNSELING

**CHRONO SHEET—GENERAL**

RULES VIOLATION REPORT

NUMBER <b>C-68801</b>	NAME <b>MORALES</b>	RELEASE/BOARD DATE	INST. <b>S.Q.</b>	HOUSING NO. <b>1/EB/114</b>	LOG NO.
VIOLATED RULE NO.(S) <b>DR-3017</b>		SPECIFIC ACTS <b>REFUSAL TO STAND FOR COUNT</b>	LOCATION <b>E/B BAY</b>	DATE <b>1/18/90</b>	TIME <b>1800 Hrs.</b>

On January 18, 1990, at approximately 1800 hours, inmate MORALES, C-68801, sole occupant of cell 1/EB/114, refused to stand for the mandated 1615 hour institution count. Inmate MORALES received verbal warning on 1/16/90 and CDC-128-A on 1/17/90 for failure to stand for count.

REPORTING EMPLOYEE (TYPED NAME AND SIGNATURE) <b>J. B. WOPPERT, Correctional Officer</b>		ASSIGNMENT <b>Position #681</b>	RDO'S	DATE <b>1/18/90</b>
CLASSIFICATION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ADMINISTRATIVE <input type="checkbox"/> SERIOUS	HEARING REFERRED TO:		SEGREGATED PENDING HEARING	
OFFENSE DIVISION (CIRCLE ONE) A B C D E F	<input type="checkbox"/> HO <input type="checkbox"/> SHO <input type="checkbox"/> SC <input type="checkbox"/> FC	LOC. _____ DATE _____		
COPIES GIVEN INMATE	SUPPLEMENTS:			
CDC 115 BEFORE HEARING <i>[Signature]</i> <b>1-19-90</b> STAFF MEMBER SIGNATURE DATE	STAFF MEMBER SIGNATURE DATE			
AFTER HEARING <i>[Signature]</i> <b>1/24/90</b> STAFF MEMBER SIGNATURE DATE	INCIDENT REPORT: STAFF MEMBER SIGNATURE DATE			
PLEA: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GUILTY <input type="checkbox"/> NOT GUILTY	FINDINGS: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GUILTY <input type="checkbox"/> NOT GUILTY (EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT CONCLUSIONS AND ANY FACTORS IN AGGRAVATION)			

On January 22, 1990, inmate MORALES, C-68801, appeared before Hearing Officer, Correctional Lieutenant S. PETRAKIS, for adjudication of an administrative disciplinary report. MORALES stated that he was in satisfactory health, had received a copy of the report at least 24 hours prior, and was prepared to proceed with the hearing. The charge was read, and MORALES entered a plea of **GUILTY**. He made no statement.

**DISPOSITION:** MORALES was found **GUILTY** based on his admission of guilt coupled with reporting employee's written report. He is assessed CTQ x 5 days (1/23/90 through 1/27/90). Inmate MORALES was counseled, reprimanded and advised of appeal rights and procedure for appealing.

DISPOSITION:

REFERRED:	ACTION BY: SIGNATURE <i>[Signature]</i>	SIGNATURE	DATE <b>1-23-90</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> CLASSIFICATION: <input type="checkbox"/> BPT	<b>S. PETRAKIS, Correctional Lieutenant</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> PSYCH: <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER	SIGNATURE	SIGNATURE	
REASON:	ACTION REVIEWED AND APPROVED: <i>[Signature]</i> <b>R. A. NELSON, Associate Warden, Unit II</b>		
	SIGNATURE		DATE <i>[Signature]</i>

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

NAME and NUMBER

MORALES

Name

C68801

CDC No.

3E92

Cell No.

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS  
CDC 128 A (8-87)

On SUNDAY, DEC 8, 1996, you failed to stand for count. Your failure to stand for count violated CCR Title 15, §3274(1), DOM §52020.4.13, and SQP-52020.1-1, Section V A. The 1600 hour count (4:00 p.m.), requires that all inmates stand inside their cells with their cell lights on. Non-compliance will not be tolerated and may result in progressive disciplinary action. Inmate: MORALES was counseled on 12-8-96, and

  
A. CABREIROS

Position # 683

Correctional Officer-Third Watch  
East Block Condemned Row II/Ad-Seg  
San Quentin State Prison

Original: Central File  
cc: Counselor  
Author  
Inmate

DATE

12-8-96

(Refused to Stand for Mandatory 1600 Hours Count)

**CUSTODIAL COUNSELING**

**RULES VIOLATION REPORT**

99-3-EB-01-040

CDC NUMBER <b>C-68801</b>	INMATE'S NAME <b>MORALES</b>	RELEASE/BOARD DATE <i>[Signature]</i>	INST. <b>CSP-SQ</b>	HOUSING NO. <b>3-EB-92</b>	LOG NO.
VIOLATED RULE NO(S) <b>CCR §3005(b)</b>		SPECIFIC ACTS <b>OBSTRUCTING A PEACE OFFICER</b>	LOCATION <b>EAST BLOCK</b>	DATE <b>01-04-99</b>	TIME <b>1300 HOURS</b>

**CIRCUMSTANCES** *DELAY OF YARD RECALL*

On Monday, January 04, 1999, during the 1300 hours Yard recall, While working position #585, East Block 3rd tier officer, I observed inmate MORALES, C-68801, 3-EB-92, Intentionally delay yard recall. I gave you, in a loud voice, a courtesy notification of your specific sequence during yard recall. This notification provided you ample time to have complied with the allowable clothing worn for yard release and recall, (reference Memorandum dated 12/27/98, entitled AUTHORIZED ITEMS FOR ALL EXERCISE YARDS-CONFINED GRADE A AND GRADE B-ADMINISTRATIVE SEGREGATION-page 2 re: (During yard recall... into the housing unit). You made no attempt to exit the yard in a reasonable amount of time. You failed to prepare to exit the yard by being in your T-shirt, boxer under shorts, socks, tennis shoes and ready to enter the sallyport fully dressed and took an excessive amount of time to undress. You also intentionally delayed the recall process by meticulously and slowly folding your clothes as you removed "each" clothing item. Your actions combined with other inmate participants, delayed yard recall approximately 2 hours. Your actions willfully delayed Peace Officers in the performance of their duty.

Inmate MORALES is aware of this report.

REPORTING EMPLOYEE (Typed Name and Signature) <b>G. Polanco, Correctional Officer</b>		DATE <b>01-04-99</b>	ASSIGNMENT <b>Position #585</b>	RDO'S <b>W/T</b>
REVIEWING SUPERVISOR'S SIGNATURE <i>[Signature]</i>		DATE <b>1/13/99</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> INMATE SEGREGATED PENDING HEARING	
CLASSIFIED <input type="checkbox"/> ADMINISTRATIVE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SERIOUS	OFFENSE DIVISION: <b>F</b>	DATE <b>1/13/99</b>	CLASSIFIED BY (Typed Name and Signature) <b>G. M. Fuller, Correctional Lieutenant</b>	HEARING REFERRED TO <input type="checkbox"/> HO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SHO <input type="checkbox"/> SC <input type="checkbox"/> FC
<b>COPIES GIVEN INMATE BEFORE HEARING</b>				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CDC 115	BY: (STAFF'S SIGNATURE) <i>[Signature]</i>	DATE <b>1/14/99</b>	TIME <b>0900</b>	TITLE OF SUPPLEMENT <b>N/A</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> INCIDENT REPORT LOG NUMBER:	BY: (STAFF'S SIGNATURE) <i>[Signature]</i>	DATE <b>N/A</b>	TIME	BY: (STAFF'S SIGNATURE) <i>[Signature]</i>

**HEARING** On Saturday, February 06, 1999, Inmate MORALES, C-68801, refused to appear before Senior Hearing Officer G. M. Fuller, Correctional Lieutenant, for the adjudication of an Division "F" CDC-115, Log #99-3-EB-01-040. Subject stated his refusal to Correctional Officer S. Robinson. The offense was read and a plea of NOT GUILTY was entered on Subject's behalf. Subject did not request witnesses present at the hearing. An Investigative Employee was determined not to be necessary per CCR §3215(d)(1).

**FINDINGS:** Subject has been found **GUILTY** of violating CCR § 3005(b) based upon: The reporting employee statement that MORALES intentionally delayed yard recall. The contents of the written reports supports the charges. Subject was counseled regarding future behavioral expectations and was advised of these findings, disposition and appeal rights of this offense. Due process and procedure requirements have been met.

**DISPOSITION: GUILTY.**

**ASSESSED:** 10 days confinement to quarters (CQ) credit for time served from 1-5 to 1-15-99.

REFERRED TO <input type="checkbox"/> CLASSIFICATION <input type="checkbox"/> BPT/NAEA	ACTION BY: (TYPED NAME) <b>G. M. Fuller, Correctional Lieutenant</b>		SIGNATURE <i>[Signature]</i>	DATE <b>2-18-99</b>	TIME <b>1300</b>
REVIEWED BY: (SIGNATURE) <i>[Signature]</i>	DATE <b>2-16-99</b>	CHIEF DISCIPLINARY OFFICER'S SIGNATURE <i>[Signature]</i>		DATE <b>2/16/99</b>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> COPY OF CDC 115 GIVEN INMATE AFTER HEARING	BY: (STAFF'S SIGNATURE) <i>[Signature]</i>	DATE <b>2/19/99</b>	TIME <b>1100</b>		

STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
**SERIOUS RULES VIOLATION REPORT**

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

09-3-EB-01-040

CDC NUMBER C-68801	INMATE'S NAME MORALES	VIOLATED RULE NO(S). CCR §3005(b)	DATE 01-04-99	INSTITUTION CSP-SQ	LOG NO.
-----------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------------------	------------------	-----------------------	---------

REFERRAL FOR FELONY PROSECUTION IS LIKELY IN THIS INCIDENT  YES  NO

**POSTPONEMENT OF DISCIPLINARY HEARING**

<input type="checkbox"/> I DO NOT REQUEST my hearing be postponed pending outcome of referral for prosecution.	INMATE'S SIGNATURE	DATE
<input type="checkbox"/> I REQUEST my hearing be postponed pending outcome of referral for prosecution.	INMATE'S SIGNATURE M/A	DATE
DATE NOTICE OF OUTCOME RECEIVED	DISPOSITION	
<input type="checkbox"/> I REVOKE my request for postponement.	INMATE'S SIGNATURE	DATE

**STAFF ASSISTANT**

<input type="checkbox"/> REQUESTED	<input type="checkbox"/> WAIVED BY INMATE	INMATE'S SIGNATURE	DATE
<input type="checkbox"/> ASSIGNED	DATE	NAME OF STAFF	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NOT ASSIGNED	REASON DNMC PER CCR 3315 (1) (2)		

**INVESTIGATIVE EMPLOYEE**

<input type="checkbox"/> REQUESTED	<input type="checkbox"/> WAIVED BY INMATE	INMATE'S SIGNATURE	DATE
<input type="checkbox"/> ASSIGNED	DATE	NAME OF STAFF	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NOT ASSIGNED	REASON DNMC PER CCR 3315 (1) (1)		

EVIDENCE/INFORMATION REQUESTED BY INMATE:

**WITNESSES**

WITNESSES REQUESTED AT HEARING (IF NOT PRESENT, EXPLAIN IN FINDINGS)

REPORTING EMPLOYEE  STAFF ASSISTANT  INVESTIGATIVE EMPLOYEE  OTHER \_\_\_\_\_  NONE

WITNESSES (GIVE NAME AND TITLE OR CDC NUMBER)	GRANTED		NOT GRANTED		WITNESSES (GIVE NAME AND TITLE OR CDC NUMBER)	GRANTED		NOT GRANTED	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**INVESTIGATIVE REPORT:** Investigative Employees must interview the inmate charged, the reporting employee, and any others who have significant information, documenting the testimony of each person interviewed. Review of files, procedures, and other documents may also be necessary.

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> COPY OF CDC 115-A GIVEN INMATE	BY: (STAFF'S SIGNATURE) 	INVESTIGATOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE
		TIME 0906	DATE 1/11/99

**CLASSIFICATION**

7-8-83 SHU III Job CLASS MAXAR  
 Cond Refer ICC *J*

9-7-83 ICC Confirm Housing MAXAR  
 Cond Grade A Grade A *J*

1-12-84 UCC Retain Grade A Cond *J*

6-22-84 UCC Retain Grade A Cond *J*

10-10-84 UCC 120 day Review Retain Grade A Cond *J*

4-18-85 ICC Retain Grade A Cond *J*

1-24-86 UCC Retain Grade A Cond T.S.

6-5-86 UCC @ Carson Cond / Retain grade A T.S.

12-11-86 U.C.C. MAXAR, Cond, Grade A, NGD, F.G.P, CS. 90, CPP. *(Kilpatrick P.A.S.)*

4-14-87 UCC (Absence) 120 day Review. CPP Grade A *(Kilpatrick)*

8-26-87 UCC in absentia CPP / Grade A *(Kilpatrick)*

10-29-87 UCC in absentia CPP. MAXAR Grade A AB CC II @

3-2-88 UCC in absentia Retain MAXAR, Grade A. AB CC II @

3-21-88 interview for Grade A yard release subsequent to incident of 3-12-88

6-8-88 UCC in absentia CPP AB CC II @

10-24-88 UCC in Absentia. CPP *(Kilpatrick)*

2-15-89 UCC Absentia Max AR Grade A yd 1 CPP *(Kilpatrick)*

6-30-89 UCC Absentia Max AR Grade A yd 1 CPP *(Kilpatrick)*

10-17-89 UCC Absentia CPP *(Kilpatrick)*

2/6/89 UCC 120 day review in absentia Max AR CPP yd #1 Nreahui CC

6/29/90 (absentia rev) CPP Grade A yd #1 Larry CC

10/4/90 (absentia) CPP Grade A Larry CC

2/20/91: absentia rev CPP Grade A Larry CC

Right Side—Top Cover Sheet

**CUSTODY CLASSIFICATION — ASSIGNMENTS**

DATE	TYPE OF COMMITTEE	CUSTODY	CLASS SCORE	ASSIGNMENT	WORK GROUP	PRIV. LEVEL	COMMITTEE ACTION
6/19/91	UCC	absentia review		Grade A			L.D. Larry CCI
10/6/91	UCC	<del>absentia</del>		<del>Grade A</del>			<del>CPD</del> <i>W. M. C. C.</i>
2-5-92	UCC	MAXAR	59	Grade A	D, D	D	CPD Absentia 120 day review <i>W. M. C. C.</i>
4-3-92	UCC	MAXAR	59	Grade A	D, D	D	CPD Absentia <i>W. M. C. C.</i>
10/1/92	UCC 120 day review	MAXAR	59	Grade A	D1	D	(Absentia) CPD <i>W. M. C. C.</i>
2-3-93	UCC, 120 day review	MAXAR	59	Grade A	D	D	Absentia, CPD <i>W. M. C. C.</i>
6-2-93	UCC, 120 day review	MAXAR	59	Grade A	D	D	(Absentia) CPD, MS. <i>W. M. C. C.</i>
10-13-93	UCC, 120 day review	MAXAR	59	Grade A	D <sup>2</sup>	D	Absentia, CPD, MS. <i>W. M. C. C.</i>
2-9-94	UCC, 120 day review	MAXAR	59	Grade A	D <sup>2</sup>	D	Absentia, CPD, MS. <i>W. M. C. C.</i>
6-1-94	UCC, 120 day review	MAXAR	59	Grade A	D <sup>2</sup>	D	Absentia, CPD, MS. <i>W. M. C. C.</i>
10-5-94	UCC, 120	MAXAR	59	Grade A	D <sup>2</sup>	D	Absentia, CPD, MS. <i>W. M. C. C.</i>
8-21-95	UCC	MAXAR	59	Grade A	D <sup>2</sup>	D	In Absentia, CPD, 120 day <i>W. M. C. C.</i>
10-4-95	UCC-SC	MAXAR	59	Grade A-Cond	D <sup>2</sup>	D	CPD; Absentia, 120 day, Grade A-DC. <i>W. M. C. C.</i>
2-28-96	UCC	MAXAR	59	Grade A	D <sup>2</sup>	D	In Absentia (CPD) <i>W. M. C. C.</i>
6-5-96	UCC, 120	MAXAR	59	Grade A	D <sup>2</sup>	D	CPD, In Absentia <i>W. M. C. C.</i>
10-2-96	UCC 50	MAXAR	59	Grade A	D <sup>2</sup>	D	120 day rev, CPD absentia <i>W. M. C. C.</i>
1-29-97	UCC	MAXAR	59	GR A	D <sup>2</sup>	D	120 DAY REVIEW IN ABSENTIA, C.P.P. <i>W. M. C. C.</i>

COMMENTS

RAP SHEET PLACED IN FILE

CDC NUMBER

INMATE'S NAME

CII

FBI

BY:

BY:

DATE:

DATE

C-68701

*M. Morales*

**CUSTODY CLASSIFICATION — ASSIGNMENTS**

DATE	TYPE OF COMMITTEE	CUSTODY	CLASS SCORE	ASSIGNMENT	WORK GROUP	PRIV. LEVEL	COMMITTEE ACTION
5-21-97	UCC	MAX-AR	59	GR-A	D2	D	120 DAY REV. ABSENTIA- C.P.P. <i>Grant</i>
9-17-97	UCC	MAX AR	59	GR A	D2	D	120 DAY REVIEW INABSENTIA, C.P.P. <i>Jul</i>
1-14-98	UCC	MAX AR	59	GR-A	D2	D	UCC, 120 DAY REVIEW, INABSENTIA, C.P.P. <i>Jul</i>
5-13-98	UCC	MAX AR	59	GR-A	D2	D	UCC, 120 DAY REVIEW, INABSENTIA, C.P.P.
9-9-98	UCC	MAX AR	59	GR-A	D2	D	UCC, 120 DAY REVIEW, INABSENTIA, C.P.P. <i>25</i>
4-21-99	UCC	MAX AR	59	GR-A	D2	D	UCC, 120 DAY REVIEW, INABSENTIA, C.P.P. <i>Jul</i>
081999	UCC	MAX AR	59	GR A	D2	D	UCC, 120 DAY REVIEW, INABSENTIA, C.P.P. <i>Jul</i> 120 DAY REVIEW <i>Failed to appear</i> INABSENTIA, C.P.P. <i>UCC give 72 hour notice</i>
12-16-99	UCC	MAX AR	59	GR-A	D2	D	RETAIN A STATUS. DENY N.S. BASED UPON DISC. HX. C.P.P. <i>Jul</i>
4-6-00	UCC	MAX AR	59	GR-A	D2	D	UCC, 120 DAY REVIEW, INABSENTIA, C.P.P. <i>Jul</i>
8-9-00	UCC	MAX AR	59	GR-A	D2	D	UCC, 120 DAY REVIEW, INABSENTIA, C.P.P. <i>Jul</i>

COMMENTS

RAP SHEET PLACED IN FILE

CDC NUMBER

INMATE'S NAME

C68801 MORALES

CII

FBI

BY:

BY:

DATE:

DATE

CUSTODY CLASSIFICATION - ASSIGNMENTS

DATE	TYPE OF COMMITTEE	CUSTODY	CLASS SCORE	ASSIGNMENT	WORK GROUP	PRIV. LEVEL	COMMITTEE ACTION
10/18/00	SO JCC	MAX AR	59	GR A	D2	D	Controlled Contact VISITS. CPP YARD #1 <i>D. Wooten</i>
11-30-00	UCC	MAX AR	59	GR A	D2	D	UCC, 120 DAY REVIEW, INABSENTIA, C.P.P. 4-1 <i>26</i>
3/29/01	UCC	MAX AR	59	GR-A	D2	D	<i>CB</i> UCC, 120 DAY REVIEW, INABSENTIA, C.P.P.
7-19-01	UCC	MAX AR	59	GR A	D2	D	<i>CA</i> UCC, 120 DAY REVIEW, INABSENTIA, C.P.P.
11-8-01	UCC	MAX AR	59	GR A	D2	D	UCC, 120 DAY REVIEW, INABSENTIA, C.P.P. <i>CB</i>
3-7-02	UCC	MAX AR	59	GR A	D2	D	120 Day review placed on North Seg. list. K. Brandon Cpt (CA) yrd #1 OK in
6-27-02	UCC	MAX R	59	GR A	D2	D	UCC, 120 DAY REVIEW, INABSENTIA, C.P.P. <i>MC</i>
10-24-02	UCC	MAX R	59	GR A	D2	D	UCC, 120 DAY REVIEW, INABSENTIA, C.P.P. <i>MC</i>
2-20-03	UCC	MAX R	59	Grade A	D2	D	UCC, 120 DAY REVIEW, INABSENTIA, C.P.P. <i>MC</i>
6-19-03	UCC	MAX R	59	Grade A	D2	D	UCC, 120 DAY REVIEW, INABSENTIA, C.P.P. <i>MC</i>
1076-03	UCC	MAX R	59	Grade A	D2	D	UCC, 120 DAY REVIEW, INABSENTIA, C.P.P. <i>MC</i>

COMMENTS

RAP SHEET PLACED IN FILE

CDC NUMBER	INMATE'S NAME	<input type="checkbox"/> CII	<input type="checkbox"/> FBI
C-68801	MORALES	BY:	BY:
		DATE:	DATE:

CUSTODY CLASSIFICATION - ASSIGNMENTS

DATE	TYPE OF COMMITTEE	CUSTODY	CLASS SCORE	ASSIGNMENT	WORK GROUP	PRIV. LEVEL	COMMITTEE ACTION
12-18-03	SQIFCC	MAX R	59	Grade A	D2	D	Review of Visiting Restrictions w/ Minors (CDC memo dtd 6/11/03 DR 97/03); additional info required re: eye of victim. For this commitment crime from Stockton PD. Impose temp modification of visiting w/ minors to non-contact. Remove from North Sq list. <i>Deton</i>
4-8-04	SQIFCC	MAX R	59	Grade A	D2	D	Program Review; CPP <i>Deton, CDW(a)</i>
4-20-04		MAX R	59	Grade A	D2	D	Visiting Restriction Review Restricted from Minors 12805 <i>re re</i>
8-5-04	JCC	MAX R	59	Grade A	D2	D	JCC, 120 DAY REVIEW, INABSENTIA, C.P.P.
8-26-04	SQIFCC	MAX R	59	GRA	D2	D	Impose Visiting Restriction <i>Deton, CDW(a)</i>
12-16-04	JCC	MAX R	59	Grade A	D2	D	JCC, 120 DAY REVIEW, INABSENTIA, C.P.P. <i>N</i>
4/7/05	JCC-JCC	MAX R	59	Grade A	D2	D	JCC - Daily RPT FOR REVIEW FOR VISITING RESTRICTIONS. MEETS CURRENTLY FOR RPT. FOR 12/9/03 <i>Deton</i>
8-4-05	JCC	MAX R	59	Grade A	D2	D	JCC, 120 DAY REVIEW, INABSENTIA, C.P.P. <i>re</i>

COMMENTS

RAP SHEET PLACED IN FILE

CDC NUMBER

INMATE'S NAME

CII

FBI

BY:

BY:

DATE:

DATE:

C  
68801

MORALES

STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
CDC-108-A

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

NAME: MORALES

NUMBER: C68801

CELL 3-EB-092S

CDC 128-B-1 (Rev. 4/74)


***UCC 120 DAY REVIEW NOTICE***

You will be scheduled to appear for your 120 day Unit Classification Committee / Program Review hearing within 10 working days. If you decline to appear at your 120 day review, your case will be reviewed in absentia. The committee will consider your decline to participate in committee as an indication that you have no concerns regarding your current program placement or any issues you request to discuss. This notification is in accordance with CCR Title 15, section 3375 (e).

I request to appear at the 120 day review \_\_\_\_\_  
Inmate Signature

Inmate declined to appear  
and refused to sign.

I decline to appear at the 120 day review \_\_\_\_\_  
Inmate Signature

  
Staff Signature

07/29/05

NOTICE OF CLASSIFICATION HEARING

San Quentin State Prison

NAME: MORALES

NUMBER: C68801

CELL 3-EB-092S

CDC 128-B-1 (Rev. 4/74)

**UCC 120 DAY REVIEW NOTICE**

You will be scheduled to appear for your 120 day Unit Classification Committee / Program Review hearing within 10 working days. If you decline to appear at your 120 day review, your case will be reviewed in absentia. The committee will consider your decline to participate in committee as an indication that you have no concerns regarding your current program placement or any issues you request to discuss. This notification is in accordance with CCR Title 15, section 3375 (e).

I request to appear at the 120 day review  Mike Morales  
Inmate Signature

Inmate declined to appear and refused to sign.

I decline to appear at the 120 day review \_\_\_\_\_  
Inmate Signature

[Signature]  
Staff Signature

04  
05/01/05

**NOTICE OF CLASSIFICATION HEARING**

San Quentin State Prison

---

NAME: MORALES

NUMBER: C68801

CELL 3-EB-092S

CDC 128-B-1 (Rev. 4/74)


***UCC 120 DAY REVIEW NOTICE***

You will be scheduled to appear for your 120 day Unit Classification Committee / Program Review hearing within 10 working days. If you decline to appear at your 120 day review, your case will be reviewed in absentia. The committee will consider your decline to participate in committee as an indication that you have no concerns regarding your current program placement or any issues you request to discuss. This notification is in accordance with CCR Title 15, section 3375 (e).

I request to appear at the 120 day review \_\_\_\_\_  
Inmate Signature

Inmate declined to appear  
and refused to sign.

I decline to appear at the 120 day review \_\_\_\_\_  
Inmate Signature

  
Staff Signature

12/10/04

NOTICE OF CLASSIFICATION HEARING

San Quentin State Prison

---

NAME: MORALES

NUMBER: C68801

CELL 3-EB-092S

CDC 128-B-1 (Rev. 4/74)

**Institutional Classification Committee Program Review Notice**

You will be scheduled to appear before the Institutional Classification Committee hearing for a Program Review within 10 working days. This appearance may be included as your 120 day program review. Should you decline to appear at this review, your case will be reviewed by ICC in absentia. The committee will consider your option not to participate in committee as an indication that you have no concerns regarding your current program placement or any issues you request to discuss. This notification is in compliance with CCR Title 15, section 3375 (e).

**Mandatory ICC on 08/26/04**

  
L. CARRILLO, CCI

cc: Inmate  
C-File

08/20/04

**CLASSIFICATION HEARING NOTICE**

San Quentin State Prison

NAME: MORALES

NUMBER: C68801

CELL 3-EB-092S

CDC 128-B-1 (Rev. 4/74)

**UCC 120 DAY REVIEW NOTICE**

You will be scheduled to appear for your 120 day Unit Classification Committee / Program Review hearing within 10 working days. If you decline to appear at your 120 day review, your case will be reviewed in absentia. The committee will consider your decline to participate in committee as an indication that you have no concerns regarding your current program placement or any issues you request to discuss. This notification is in accordance with CCR Title 15, section 3375 (e).


I request to appear at the 120 day review

\_\_\_\_\_  
Inmate Signature

I decline to appear at the 120 day review

\_\_\_\_\_  
Inmate Signature

Inmate declined to appear and refused to sign.

  
Staff Signature

07/31/04

**NOTICE OF CLASSIFICATION HEARING**

San Quentin State Prison

OK old paper  
Fm Stanton

NAME: MORALES

NUMBER: C68801

CELL 3-EB-092S

CDC 128-B-1 (Rev. 4/74)

**Institutional Classification Committee Program Review Notice**

You will be scheduled to appear before the Institutional Classification Committee hearing for a Program Review within 10 working days. This appearance may be included as your 120 day program review. Should you decline to appear at this review, your case will be reviewed by ICC in absentia. The committee will consider your option not to participate in committee as an indication that you have no concerns regarding your current program placement or any issues you request to discuss. This notification is in compliance with CCR Title 15, section 3375 (e).

**Mandatory ICC on 04/08/04.**

  
L. CARRILLO, CCI

cc: Inmate  
C-File

04/02/04

**CLASSIFICATION HEARING NOTICE**

**San Quentin State Prison**

---

NAME: MORALES


NUMBER: C68801

CELL 3-EB-092S

CDC 128-B-1 (Rev. 4/74)

**Institutional Classification Committee Program Review Notice**

You will be scheduled to appear before the Institutional Classification Committee hearing for a Program Review within 10 working days. This appearance may be included as your 120 day program review. Should you decline to appear at this review, your case will be reviewed by ICC in absentia. The committee will consider your option not to participate in committee as an indication that you have no concerns regarding your current program placement or any issues you request to discuss. This notification is in compliance with CCR Title 15, section 3375 (e).

  
C. GRANT, CCI

cc: Inmate  
C-File

12/15/03

CLASSIFICATION HEARING NOTICE

San Quentin State Prison

---

NAME: MORALES

NUMBER: C68801

CELL 3-EB-092S

CDC 128-B-1 (Rev. 4/74)

**UCC 120 DAY REVIEW NOTICE**

You will be scheduled to appear for your 120 day Unit Classification Committee / Program Review hearing within 10 working days. If you decline to appear at your 120 day review, your case will be reviewed in absentia. The committee will consider your decline to participate in committee as an indication that you have no concerns regarding your current program placement or any issues you request to discuss. This notification is in accordance with CCR Title 15, section 3375 (e).


I request to appear at the 120 day review \_\_\_\_\_

Inmate Signature

I decline to appear at the 120 day review \_\_\_\_\_

Inmate Signature

Inmate declined to appear and refused to sign.

  
Staff Signature

10/10/03

**NOTICE OF CLASSIFICATION HEARING**

San Quentin State Prison

NAME: MORALES

NUMBER: C68801

CELL 3-EB-092S

CDC 128-B-1 (Rev. 4/74)

***UCC 120 DAY REVIEW NOTICE***

You will be scheduled to appear for your 120 day Unit Classification Committee / Program Review hearing within 10 working days. If you decline to appear at your 120 day review, your case will be reviewed in absentia. The committee will consider your decline to participate in committee as an indication that you have no concerns regarding your current program placement or any issues you request to discuss. This notification is in accordance with CCR Title 15, section 3375 (e).


I request to appear at the 120 day review \_\_\_\_\_

**Inmate Signature**

Inmate declined to appear  
and refused to sign.

I decline to appear at the 120 day review \_\_\_\_\_

**Inmate Signature**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**Staff Signature**

06/12/03

**NOTICE OF CLASSIFICATION HEARING**

**San Quentin State Prison**

NAME: MORALES

NUMBER: C68801

CELL 3-EB-092S

CDC 128-B-1 (Rev. 4/74)

***UCC 120 DAY REVIEW NOTICE***

You will be scheduled to appear for your 120 day Unit Classification Committee / Program Review hearing within 10 working days. If you decline to appear at your 120 day review, your case will be reviewed in absentia. The committee will consider your decline to participate in committee as an indication that you have no concerns regarding your current program placement or any issues you request to discuss. This notification is in accordance with CCR Title 15, section 3375 (e).


I request to appear at the 120 day review \_\_\_\_\_

**Inmate Signature**

Inmate declined to appear  
and refused to sign.

I decline to appear at the 120 day review \_\_\_\_\_

**Inmate Signature**



**Staff Signature**

02/14/03

**NOTICE OF CLASSIFICATION HEARING**

**San Quentin State Prison**

NAME: MORALES

NUMBER: C68801

CELL 3-EB-092L

**Unit Classification Committee Program Review Notice**

You will be scheduled to appear before the Unit Classification Committee hearing for a Program Review within 10 working days. This appearance will be included as your 120 day program review. Should you decline to appear at this review, your case will be reviewed by UCC in absentia. The committee will consider your option not to participate in committee as an indication that you have no concerns regarding your current program placement or any issues you request to discuss. This notification is in compliance with CCR Title 15, section 3375 (e).

I request to appear at the 120 day review \_\_\_\_\_  
Inmate Signature

Inmate declined to appear  
and refused to sign

I decline to appear at the 120 day review \_\_\_\_\_  
Inmate Signature

  
Staff Signature

10/21/02

**CLASSIFICATION HEARING NOTICE**

San Quentin State Prison

NAME: MORALES

NUMBER: C68801

CELL 3-EB-092L

CDC 128-B-1 (Rev. 4/74)

**Unit Classification Committee Program Review Notice**

You will be scheduled to appear before the Unit Classification Committee hearing for a Program Review within 10 working days. This appearance will be included as your 120 day program review. Should you decline to appear at this review, your case will be reviewed by UCC in absentia. The committee will consider your option not to participate in committee as an indication that you have no concerns regarding your current program placement or any issues you request to discuss. This notification is in compliance with CCR Title 15, section 3375 (e).


I request to appear at the 120 day review \_\_\_\_\_

**Inmate Signature**

Inmate declined to appear  
and refused to sign

I decline to appear at the 120 day review \_\_\_\_\_

**Inmate Signature**



**Staff Signature**

06/21/02

**CLASSIFICATION HEARING NOTICE**

San Quentin State Prison

**CHRONO SHEET—CLASSIFICATION**

NAME: MORALES

NUMBER: C68801

CELL 3-EB-092L

CDC 128-B-1 (Rev. 4/74)

**Unit Classification Committee Program Review Notice**

You will be scheduled to appear before the Unit Classification Committee hearing for a Program Review within 10 working days. This appearance will be included as your 120 day program review. Should you decline to appear at this review, your case will be reviewed by UCC in absentia. The committee will consider your option not to participate in committee as an indication that you have no concerns regarding your current program placement or any issues you request to discuss. This notification is in compliance with CCR Title 15, section 3375 (e).

I request to appear at the 120 day review

*Mike Morales*  
Inmate Signature

Inmate declined to appear  
and refused to sign

I decline to appear at the 120 day review

\_\_\_\_\_  
Inmate Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Staff Signature

02/28/02

GENERAL CHRONO INFORMATIONAL

San Quentin State Prison

NAME: MORALES

NUMBER: C68801

CELL 3-EB-092L

CDC 128-B-1 (Rev. 4/74)


**Unit Classification Committee Program Review Notice**

You will be scheduled to appear before the Unit Classification Committee hearing for a Program Review within 10 working days. This appearance will be included as your 120 day program review. Should you decline to appear at this review, your case will be reviewed by UCC in absentia. The committee will consider your option not to participate in committee as an indication that you have no concerns regarding your current program placement or any issues you request to discuss. This notification is in compliance with CCR Title 15, section 3375 (e).

I request to appear at the 120 day review \_\_\_\_\_  
Inmate Signature

I decline to appear at the 120 day review \_\_\_\_\_  
Inmate Signature

Inmate declined to appear  
and refused to sign



Staff Signature

11/05/01

GENERAL CHRONO INFORMATIONAL

San Quentin State Prison

NAME: MORALES

NUMBER: C68801

CELL 3-EB-092L


CDC 128-B-1 (Rev. 4/74)

**Unit Classification Committee Program Review Notice**

You will be scheduled to appear before the Unit Classification Committee hearing for a Program Review within 10 working days. This appearance will be included as your 120 day program review. Should you decline to appear at this review, your case will be reviewed by UCC in absentia. The committee will consider your option not to participate in committee as an indication that you have no concerns regarding your current program placement or any issues you request to discuss. This notification is in compliance with CCR Title 15, section 3375 (e).

I request to appear at the 120 day review \_\_\_\_\_  
Inmate Signature

I decline to appear at the 120 day review \_\_\_\_\_  
Inmate Signature

Inmate declined to appear  
and refused to sign  
  
Staff Signature

GENERAL CHRONO INFORMATIONAL

San Quentin State Prison

7-

NAME: MORALES

NUMBER: C68801

CELL 3-EB-092L

**Unit Classification Committee Program Review Notice**

You will be scheduled to appear before the Unit Classification Committee hearing for a Program Review within 10 working days. This appearance will be included as your 120 day program review. Should you decline to appear at this review, your case will be reviewed by UCC in absentia. The committee will consider your option not to participate in committee as an indication that you have no concerns regarding your current program placement or any issues you request to discuss. This notification is in compliance with CCR Title 15, section 3375 (e).

I request to appear at the 120 day review \_\_\_\_\_  
Inmate Signature

Inmate declined to appear  
and refused to sign

I decline to appear at the 120 day review \_\_\_\_\_  
Inmate Signature

  
Staff Signature

03/23/01

GENERAL CHRONO INFORMATIONAL

San Quentin State Prison

NAME: MORALES


NUMBER: C68801

CELL 3-EB-092L

CDC 128-B-1 (Rev. 4/74)

**Institutional Classification Committee Program Review Notice**

You will be scheduled to appear before the Institutional Classification Committee hearing for a Program Review to include your exercise yard assignment and visiting program. Notification per CCR Title 15, section 3375 (f)(1).



**M. Francis, CC II**

cc: Inmate  
C-File

8/7/00

**GENERAL CHRONO**

**San Quentin State Prison**

NAME: MORALES

NUMBER: C68801

CELL 3-EB-092L

**Unit Classification Committee Program Review Notice**

You will be scheduled to appear before the Unit Classification Committee hearing for a Program Review within 10 working days. This appearance will be included as your 120 day program review. Should you decline to appear at this review, your case will be reviewed by UCC in absentia. The committee will consider your option not to participate in committee as an indication that you have no concerns regarding your current program placement or any issues you request to discuss. This notification is in compliance with CCR Title 15, section 3375 (e).

I request to appear at the 120 day review \_\_\_\_\_

Inmate Signature

Inmate declined to appear  
and refused to sign

I decline to appear at the 120 day review



Inmate Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Staff Signature

11/20/00

GENERAL CHRONO INFORMATIONAL

San Quentin State Prison

---

**NUMBER and NAME : MORALES, C-68801**

CDC-128-A (Rev. 4/74)

Inmate Morales' CDC-812 reflects 2 enemies without supporting documentation. They are Ortega, C-78281 and Winchell, C-15473. A review of the file provides clear and adequate support for listing these inmates as enemies. Ortega is Morales' cousin. He participated in the murder for which Morales was sentenced to death. When questioned following the murder, Ortega led police to Morales and to the body of the murder victim. This is sufficient reason to conclude that Ortega and Morales may be enemies. Inmate Winchell was committed from San Joaquin County. Morales' murder victim was a young woman named Terri Winchell from San Joaquin County. It is reasonable to conclude that inmate Winchell is likely a relative of the victim. As of this date, Winchell is discharged from CDC. Winchell should remain listed on Morales' CDC-812.

  
R. Chraft  
Correctional Counselor I  
East Block

10/3/00

CSP San Quentin

Informational

General Chrono

This non-confidential form is used to document inmates/parolees or potential inmates who should be kept separate and inmates/parolees suspected of affiliation with a prison gang or disruptive group. If an inmate/parolee is identified with a prison gang, a Notice of Critical Information - Prison Gang Identification (Form CDC 812-A) shall also be completed. A form CDC 812-B shall be completed on Disruptive Group Affiliates. Indicate "NONE" under CDC number and/or group section if there are no enemies and/or gang concerns.

NONCONFIDENTIAL ENEMIES			DATE	DATE	DATE	DATE
CDC NUMBER	PRINT NAME	PRIMARY SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION	CURRENT LOCATION	CURRENT LOCATION	CURRENT LOCATION	CURRENT LOCATION
C-81001	REILLY T.Y.	RVR 2-21-80	SQ EB GR-A	SQ-DEA GR.A/EB#4	SQ-DEA GR.A EB 4	GR. A EB 4
C-87601	PINHOLSTER	"	SQ GR B AIL	SQ-DEA GR.B AC WA-S	SQ-DEA GR.B AC WA-S	GR. B AC WA-S
C-31401	CHAVEZ	"	PSP-SIU	PSP-SIU	PSP-SIU	PSP-SIU
C-78281	ORTEGA	CDX 128-B 10-3-00	CAL	MCSF-IV	MCSF-IV	MCSF-IV
C-15473	WINCHELL	"	DISCH.	DISCH	DISCH	DISCH

**DELETION OF PRIOR ENEMIES**

COMMENTS

**SUSPECTED GANG AFFILIATION**

GANG	TYPE OF AFFILIATION (MEMBER/ASSOCIATE/DROPOUT)	PRIMARY SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION	DATE
DISRUPTIVE GROUP LIL UNITY. SO. SIDE STOCKTON PRISON GANG	MEMBER	POR	6-14-83

COMMENT

**STAFF COMPLETING UPDATE**

PRINT NAME AND WRITE INITIALS	TITLE	INSTITUTION/REGION	DATE
CHRISTIAN [Signature]	CCI	SQ	10-3-00
L. CARRILLO [Signature] WL	CCI	SQ	12-17-03
L. CARRILLO [Signature] WL	CCI	SQ	4-7-04
L. CARRILLO [Signature] WL	CCI	SQ	8-24-04



**NOTICE OF CRITICAL CASE INFORMATION—SAFETY OF PERSONS**

This notice is to alert staff to information in subject's case record that is or may be critical to the safety of persons. References given must be considered in the classification, placement, transfer, assignment, and supervision of subject. Information/document in the file must support notations.

This form is a nonconfidential document. Do not enter confidential information on the form. Reference only should be given to confidential information/document.

(Ref: Classification Manual, chapter 400)

ENEMIES					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None known					
NAME	CDC No.	LAST KNOWN LOCATION OF ENEMIES		LOCATION OR IDENTITY OF SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS	STAFF PERSON MAKING ENTRY NAME TITLE INST-REGION
CHAVEZ, RAYMOND	C31401	PSP- CCI 4A SS SIV- CCI 4B DVI		CONF./CC-115 2-21-84	Wolmstrom CCI SQ
ORTEGA, R	C78281	CCI 4B SIV		812 of Ortega CONF-	↓ ↓
WINCHELL, R	C15473	SIV DISCHARGE		812 of Winchell CONF-	↓ ↓
GANG AFFILIATIONS					
<input type="checkbox"/> None known					
GROUP	MEMBER	ASSOCIATE	SUSPECT	LOCATION OR IDENTITY OF SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS	STAFF PERSON MAKING ENTRY NAME TITLE INST-REGION
El Unity Strickland					
ASSAULTS ON OTHERS					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None known					
VICTIM	CDC No.	LAST KNOWN LOCATION OF VICTIMS		LOCATION OR IDENTITY OF SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS	STAFF PERSON MAKING ENTRY NAME TITLE INST-REGION
VICTIM OR ASSAULT					
<input type="checkbox"/> None known					
ASSAILANTS	CDC No.	LAST KNOWN LOCATION OF ASSAILANTS		LOCATION OR IDENTITY OF SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS	STAFF PERSON MAKING ENTRY NAME TITLE INST-REGION
see CDC 115 2/21/86.					

2-3-93 M... CCI SQ  
update 11-4-87 HB CCI SQ

NAME **MORALES** NUMBER **C68801**

**NOTICE OF CRITICAL CASE INFORMATION—SAFETY OF PERSONS**

This notice is to alert staff to information in subject's case record that is or may be critical to the safety of persons. References given must be considered in the classification, placement, transfer, assignment, and supervision of subject. Information/document in the file must support notations.

*This form is a nonconfidential document. Do not enter confidential information on the form. Reference only should be given to confidential information/document.*

(Ref: Classification Manual, chapter 400)

ENEMIES					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None known <i>as of 7-1-83</i>					
NAME	CDC No.	LAST KNOWN LOCATION OF ENEMIES		LOCATION OR IDENTITY OF SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS	STAFF PERSON MAKING ENTRY NAME TITLE INST-REGION
					<i>VB Kelemun, CCI Psych Dept SQ</i>
GANG AFFILIATIONS					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None known <i>as of 7-1-83</i>					
GROUP	MEMBER	ASSOCIATE	SUSPECT	LOCATION OR IDENTITY OF SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS	STAFF PERSON MAKING ENTRY NAME TITLE INST-REGION
<i>Lil Unity (Stockton)</i>	<i>X</i>				
ASSAULTS ON OTHERS					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None known <i>as of 7-1-83</i>					
VICTIM	CDC No.	LAST KNOWN LOCATION OF VICTIMS		LOCATION OR IDENTITY OF SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS	STAFF PERSON MAKING ENTRY NAME TITLE INST-REGION
VICTIM OR ASSAULT					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None known <i>as of 7-1-83</i>					
ASSAILANTS	CDC No.	LAST KNOWN LOCATION OF ASSAILANTS		LOCATION OR IDENTITY OF SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS	STAFF PERSON MAKING ENTRY NAME TITLE INST-REGION

CONTINUED ON REVERSE SIDE

NAME *Morales*

NUMBER *C-68801*

RACE/ETHNIC STATUS (code one):  
 1-White 6-Japanese  
 2-Mexican descent 7-Filipino  
 3-Black 8-Hawaiian  
 7-Indian 9-Other

DATE FIRST RECEIVED CDC:  
 no day year  
 06 30 83

COUNTY: VENTU

BASE OFFENSE: MURDER 1st  
 (DATE)

BASE OFFENSE CODE: NUMBER:  
 P-187

MINIMUM RELEASE DATE:  
 no day year  
 DEATH

STATUS (code one):  
 1-New Commitment  
 2-PV-WNT  
 3-PV-RTC

RECEPTION CENTER:  
 PCC NPC  
 CPC CCC  
 RCW SQ  
 CIW

CALCULATION OF SCORE

**BACKGROUND FACTORS**

1. Total DSL Term  
 a) Sentence length ( )  
 b) Minus 1 year X 4 = 52

2. Stability  
 a) Under 26 yrs. at reception +2 = 54  
 b) Never married/common law or marriage not intact +2 = 55  
 c) Not high school graduate or GED +2 = 56  
 d) Not more than 6 months with one employer +2 = 57  
 e) No military or not honorable discharge +2 = 58

3. Prior Escapes  
 a) # walkaways/escapes X 4 = 59  
 b) # breached perimeter or escape is committing crime X 8 = 61  
 c) # escapes with force X 16 = 63

4. Holds and Detainers  
 a) # holds where new prison sentence, deportation likely X 6 = 65

5. Prior Sentences Served  
 a) # jail or county juvenile of 31+ days (limit to 3) X 2 = 67  
 b) # CYA, state level juvenile (limit to 3) X 2 = 69  
 c) # CDC, CPC, adult state-federal level (limit to 3) X 4 = 71

1) Total Background Factors Score + 73

Work Skills

**PRIOR INCARCERATION BEHAVIOR**

6. Unfavorable Prior Behavior  
 a) # serious or major disciplinary last incarcerated year X 4 = 7  
 b) Escape in last incarceration X 8 = 9  
 c) # physical assaults on staff X 8 = 11  
 d) # physical assaults on inmates X 4 = 13  
 e) # smuggling/trafficking drugs X 4 = 15  
 f) # possessing deadly weapons X 4 = 17  
 g) # inciting disturbance X 4 = 19  
 h) # cause serious injury/assault X 16 = 21

Total Unfavorable Points = +

7. Favorable Prior Behavior  
 a) Successfully completed last four months in any minimum custody or successful dorm living last incarceration -4 or -8 = 23  
 b) No serious or major IIS's last year of incarceration -4 = 24  
 c) Full time work/school/voc, above average program last incarcerated year -4 = 25

Total Favorable Credits = -

11) Net Incarceration Behavior Score Unfavorable minus Favorable = + or -

TOTAL COMBINED BACKGROUND FACTORS AND PRIOR INCARCERATION SCORE = 99

Counselor's signature: [Signature] cc: SA Supervisor's Signature: R Horne Date: 12/12/86

CLASSIFICATION STAFF REPRESENTATIVE ACTION

Institution Approved: SQ Cat: 1 CSR Last Name: Bradie P.T. 1 Exceptional Placement: DEA Date of Action: 12 12 86

\* Explain Exceptional Placement: Condemned

CDC NUMBER: (end in Col. 6) INMATE'S LAST NAME: (start in Col. 7)

C 68001 Morales INITIALS: MA YEAR OF BIRTH: 59

CDC 839 (Rev. 6/80)

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

NAME: MORALES

NUMBER: C68801

CELL 3-EB-092L

CDC 128-B (Rev. 4/74)

**Unit Classification Committee Program Review Notice**

You will be scheduled to appear before the Unit Classification Committee hearing for a Program Review within 10 working days. This appearance will be included as your 120 day program review. Should you decline to appear at this review, your case will be reviewed by UCC in absentia. The committee will consider your option not to participate in committee as an indication that you have no concerns regarding your current program placement or any issues you request to discuss. This notification is in compliance with CCR Title 15, section 3375 (e).

I request to appear at the 120 day review \_\_\_\_\_  
Inmate Signature

I decline to appear at the 120 day review  \_\_\_\_\_  
Inmate Signature

Inmate declined to appear  
and refused to sign

\_\_\_\_\_  
Staff Signature

7/28/00

GENERAL CHRONO INFORMATIONAL

San Quentin State Prison

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

NAME: MORALES

NUMBER: C68801

CELL 3-EB-092L

CDC 128-B (Rev. 4/74)

**Unit Classification Committee Program Review Notice**

You will be scheduled to appear before the Unit Classification Committee hearing for a Program Review within 10 working days. This appearance will be included as your 120 day program review. Should you decline to appear at this review, your case will be reviewed by UCC in absentia. The committee will consider your option not to participate in committee as an indication that you have no concerns regarding your current program placement or any issues you request to discuss. This notification is in compliance with CCR Title 15, section 3375 (e).

I request to appear at the 120 day review \_\_\_\_\_  
Inmate Signature

I decline to appear at the 120 day review \_\_\_\_\_  
Inmate Signature

Inmate declined to appear  
and refused to sign

\_\_\_\_\_  
Staff Signature

3/28/00

GENERAL CHRONO INFORMATIONAL

San Quentin State Prison

NAME: MORALES

NUMBER: C68801


CELL 3-EB-092L

CDC 128-B (Rev. 4/74)

**Unit Classification Committee Program Review Notice**

You will be scheduled to appear before the Unit Classification Committee hearing for a Program Review within 10 working days. This appearance will be included as your 120 day program review. Should you decline to appear at this review, your case will be reviewed by UCC in absentia. The committee will consider your option not to participate in committee as an indication that you have no concerns regarding your current program placement or any issues you request to discuss. This notification is in compliance with CCR Title 15, section 3375 (e).

I request to appear at the 120 day review

  
Inmate Signature

Inmate declined to appear  
and refused to sign

I decline to appear at the 120 day review

\_\_\_\_\_  
Inmate Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Staff Signature

12/10/99

GENERAL CHRONO INFORMATIONAL

San Quentin State Prison

NAME: MORALES

NUMBER: C68801 -

CELL 3-EB-092L

CDC 128-B (Rev. 4/74)

**UCC 120 DAY REVIEW NOTICE**

You are scheduled to appear for your 120 day U.C.C. review hearing. If you decline to participate in the review process your case will be reviewed in absentia. The committee will consider your refusal to participate as an indication that there are no problems with your current program placement or any issues you request to discuss.

I decline to appear at the 120 day review \_\_\_\_\_

Inmate Signature

I request to appear at the 120 day review \_\_\_\_\_

*Mike Morales*

Inmate Signature

*Subject failed to appear for scheduled UCC despite 72 advanced notice. [Signature]*

Inmate declined to appear and refused to sign.

\_\_\_\_\_

8/3/99

GENERAL CHRONO INFORMATIONAL

CSP-SQ

NAME: MORALES

NUMBER: C68801

CELL 3-EB-092L

CDC 128-B (Rev. 4/74)

**UCC 120 DAY REVIEW NOTICE**

You are scheduled to appear for your 120 day U.C.C. review hearing. If you decline to participate in the review process your case will be reviewed in absentia. The committee will consider your refusal to participate as an indication that there are no problems with your current program placement or any issues you request to discuss.

I decline to appear at the 120 day review \_\_\_\_\_

**Inmate Signature**

I request to appear at the 120 day review \_\_\_\_\_

**Inmate Signature**

Inmate declined to appear  
and refused to sign.



4/15/99

**GENERAL CHRONO INFORMATIONAL**

**CSP-SQ**

NAME: MORALES

NUMBER: C68801

CELL 3-EB-092L

**UCC 120 DAY REVIEW NOTICE**

You are being notified to appear for your 120 day U.C.C. Review hearing. If you decline to participate in the review process your case will be reviewed in absentia. Committee will consider your refusal to participate as an indication that there are no issues you request to discuss or problems with your current program placement.

I decline to appear at the 120 day review \_\_\_\_\_

**Inmate Signature**

Inmate declined to appear and refused to sign.

I request to appear at the 120 day review \_\_\_\_\_

**Inmate Signature**

*[Handwritten signature]*

12/9/98

GENERAL CHRONO INFORMATIONAL

CSP-SQ

**CHRONO SHEET** — 

CLASSIFICATION

Lined area for notes or additional information.

**UCC 120 DAY REVIEW**

CDC 128-G (Rev 2/69)

**NAME: MORALES**

**NUMBER: C68801**

**CELL: 3-EB-092S**

**CUSTODY: MAX-R**

**ASSIGNMENT: GRADE A**


**C/S: 59**

**WG: D2 PG: D**


**YARD: GR A EB 1**

Subject declined to appear before UCC for his 120 day program review, as a result his case was reviewed in absentia. He was afforded the opportunity to appear at UCC. He indicated to the committee recorder that he has no questions regarding his present program and no committee issues to discuss. The CDC 128-B 1 UCC Notice is noted. Therefore, committee elects to continue "S" in his present program. Next classification will be scheduled in 120 days .

**COMMITTEE MEMBERS:**

  
**J. LAWSON, FACILITY CAPT.(A)**  
Chairperson

**LT. M. BARKER**

  
**L. CARRILLO, CCI**

08/04/05

Classification: UCC

San Quentin



UCC 120 DAY REVIEW

CDC 128-G (Rev 2/69)


NAME: MORALES  
CUSTODY: MAX-R  
C/S: 59

NUMBER: C68801  
ASSIGNMENT: GRADE A  
WG: D2 PG: D

CELL: 3-EB-092S  
YARD: GR A EB 1

Subject declined to appear before UCC for his 120 day program review, as a result his case was reviewed in absentia. He was afforded the opportunity to appear at UCC. He indicated to the committee recorder that he has no questions regarding his present program and no committee issues to discuss. The CDC 128-B 1 UCC Notice is noted. Therefore, committee elects to continue "S" in his present program. Next classification will be scheduled in 120 days .

COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

  
R. BRAU, FACILITY CAPT.(A)  
Chairperson

LT. G. FULLER

  
L. CARRILLO, CCI

12/16/04

Classification: UCC

San Quentin

**CHRONO SHEET—CLASSIFICATION**

NAME: MORALES  
HOUSING: 3 EB 92  
ASSIGNMENT: GRADE-A

NUMBER: C-68801  
CLASSIFICATION SCORE: 59  
YARD: GRADE-A REINTEGRATED MIXED (R/M) III

CDC 128G (Rev. 2/69)  
WG/PG: D2/D  
CUSTODY: MAX-R

COMMITTEE SUMMARY: 120 DAY GRADE-A PROGRAM REVIEW, RETAIN GRADE-A, RESTRICT VISITS WITH MINORS PER DD97/03.


**Committee Comments:** Inmate Morales appeared before Unit-I, ICC for a 120-day program review. L. Carrillo, CCI, presented the case to ICC. ICC members were introduced and he was advised of the reasons for his appearance at ICC. He was afforded a CDC 128-B1, 72 hour due process notice of classification. Dr. Bencich, Clinical Psychologist, determined that there were no pending mental health issues and that he was ready to proceed with ICC. Morales is not a participant in the MHSDDS program and indicates he understands today's classification process. ICC inquired if he had any mobility, hearing or vision impairments. Morales indicated he had no medical impairments that would prevent him from participating in today's ICC.

Morales is being seen for his visitation restriction per DD97/03. Committee notes the ICC action of 12-18-03 directed the CCI to order police reports concerning his involvement with minors. At that time, ICC temporarily restricted his visits pending receipt of the police reports. ICC is now in possession of the police reports and has reviewed the reports. ICC notes the 17-year-old victim in the circumstances outlined in the reports. As a result of the reports and Instant Offense, ICC elects to restrict his visitation with minors per DD97/03. Morales was advised of committee decision and the reasons for the committee's decision. He indicated that he understood the committee's decision.

**Committee Action:** Following a review of the C-file, Arrest Reports and circumstances surrounding the Instant Offense, ICC elects to restrict visitation with minors per DD97/03. Morales was informed of the committee decision and of his right to appeal any part of committee's action. ICC was held in compliance with CCR, Title 15, Section 3375. **Next classification will be scheduled within 120 days.**

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: S. Petrakis, CDW (A); Dr. Bencich; Clinical Psychologist; R. Brau, CCII; J. Nunez, Facility Captain; Lt. G. Fuller; Sgt. A. Rygg, L. Carrillo, CCI, C. Grant, CCI

  
S. PETRAKIS  
Chief Deputy Warden (A)  
Chairperson

  
R. Brau  
Correctional Counselor II  
Committee Recorder

Date: 8-26-04

Classification: ICC

Institution: San Quentin

NAM: MORALES  
HOUSING: 3 EB 92  
ASSIGNMENT: GRADE-A

NUMBER: C-68801  
CLASSIFICATION SCORE: 59  
YARD: GRADE-A REINTEGRATED MIXED-I

CDC 128G (Rev. 2/69)  
WG/PG: D2/D  
CUSTODY: MAX-R

**ICC SUMMARY: 120 DAY PROGRAM REVIEW, CONTINUE PRESENT PROGRAM**

**COMMITTEE COMMENTS:** Inmate Morales appeared before Unit-I, ICC for a 120 day program review. ICC members were introduced and he was advised of the reasons for his appearance at ICC. He was afforded a CDC 128-B1, 72 hour due process notice of classification. Dr. Belavich, Clinical Psychologist, determined that there were no pending mental health issues and that he was ready to proceed with ICC. He is not a participant in the MHSDS, and indicates he understands today's classification process. Dr. Murdock inquired if he had any mobility, hearing, or vision impairment. He indicated he had no medical impairment that would prevent him from participating in today's ICC. Dr. Murdock determined that there are no ADA or DPP issues.


Morales was received in CDC on 6-30-83 from Ventura County. He was informed that it is anticipated that a new condemned facility will be built and functional in the year 2007. ICC is reviewing all condemned cases in preparation for the implementation of programs within the new condemned unit. During ICC, condemned inmates are being informed of general program guidelines, and a general assessment is made to determine the level at which an inmate may be able to program. The condemned program will continue to be patterned within the guidelines of the Thompson Consent Decree.

ICC notes prior Disruptive Groups affiliation with the Little Unity Southside Street Gang. The disciplinary history was reviewed. The CDC-812 is noted. The CDC-812C and confidential file are clear. ICC notes that Deputy Director's memo dated 6-11-03 (DD97/03) was reviewed and Morales is currently on non-contact visiting privileges with minors pending the receipt of the Stockton P.D. arrest report. Upon receipt of the arrest report he will be returned to ICC to evaluate the Visiting Restriction. Lt. G. Fuller indicates that Morales is not a behavioral problem in the unit. Morales indicates he goes to yard on regular bases and gets along with all inmates. ICC determined that Morales can function in a Reintegrated Mixed program setting.

**COMMITTEE ACTION:** Following a review of the C-file, case factors, disciplinary history, discussion with Morales and input from ICC members, ICC elects to continue him in his present program. He was explained the expectations while in the Grade-A Program and indicated he will continue to program within the Grade-A guidelines. He was advised of ICC's decision and of his right to appeal any part of classification action. ICC was held in compliance with CCR, Title 15, Section 3375. Next classification will be scheduled within 120 days.

**ICC MEMBERS:** M. LATTIMORE, AW (A)  
J. NUNEZ, FACILITY CAPTAIN (A)  
G. FULLER, LIEUTENANT  
STEIN, SERGEANT  
C. GRANT, CCI  
L. CARRILLO, CCI  
DR. MURDOCH, MEDICAL DOCTOR  
DR. T. BELAVICH, CLINICAL PSYCHOLOGIST

  
S. PETRAKIS, CDW (A)  
CHAIRPERSON

  
R. BRAU, CCH  
RECORDER/jac

Number: C-68801      Name: Morales      Assignment: Grade-A      CS: 59      CDC 128-G  
WG/PG: D2/D      Custody: MAX-R      Yard: Grade-A Reintegrated Mixed-I      Housing: 3EB92


**ICC Summary: 120 day program review – Continue Grade-A status- Continue Reintegrated Mixed (R/M) -I Exercise Yard – Remove from North Segregation housing list – Restrict visitation with minors**

**ICC Comments:** Inmate Morales appeared before Unit-I, ICC, for a 120 day program review. ICC members were introduced and Morales was advised of the reasons for his appearance at ICC. In accordance with CCR Title 15, Section 3375 (f)(1), he was afforded a CDC 128-B1, 72 hour due process notice of classification. Dr. Belavich, Clinical Psychologist, interviewed Morales and determined that he is not a participant in the MHSDS program.

ICC notes that Morales has conducted himself positively within the Grade-A Program and elects to continue him on Grade-A status. He is assigned to the Grade-A R/M Yard-I and is programming positively. ICC reviewed Morales for North Segregation housing placement. The RVR dated 1-4-00 for delaying yard recall was reviewed. ICC notes that this is not Grade-A behavior/North Segregation placement. ICC elects to remove Morales from the North Segregation waiting list. Morales was informed of ICC's decision. ICC reviewed Deputy Director's memo dated 6-11-03, DD97/03, CCR Title 15, Sections 3173.1 and 3177(b)(1). ICC notes the memo is applicable to restricting Morales' visiting with minors pending receipt of arrest reports from Stockton P.D. ICC informed Morales that the temporary restriction is consistent with the Deputy Director's memo of 6-11-03, DD97/03. He was informed that this is a case-by-case review and should be completed ASAP after receipt of any police reports. Morales was encouraged to attend UCC to obtain the status of the visiting review and arrest reports.

**ICC Action:** Following a review of the C-file, case factors, disciplinary history, discussion with Morales, and input from ICC members, ICC elects to retain Morales in the Grade-A Program with assignment to the R/M-I. He will be removed from the North Segregation waiting list. Per Deputy Director's memo 97/03, subject is placed on restricted visitation with minors. Morales was advised of ICC's decision and of his right to appeal any part of ICC's action. ICC was held in compliance with CCR, Title 15, Section 3375. Next classification will be scheduled within 120 days.

**ICC MEMBERS:** J. NUNEZ, FACILITY CAPTAIN  
G. FULLER, LIEUTENANT  
C. GRANT, CCI  
L. CARRILLO, CCI  
DR. BELAVICH, CLINICAL PSYCHOLOGIST

  
S. PETRAKIS, CDW (A)  
CHAIRPERSON

  
R. BRAU, CCH  
RECORDER/jac

Date: 12-18-03

Classification: ICC

Inst: SQ

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**UCC 120 DAY REVIEW**

**NAME: MORALES**

**CUSTODY: MAX-R**

**C/S: 59**

**NUMBER: C68801**

**ASSIGNMENT: GRADE A**

**WG: D2 PG: D**

CDC 128-G (Rev 2/69)

**CELL: 3-EB-092S**

**YARD: GR A EB 1**


Subject declined to appear before UCC for his 120 day program review, as a result his case was reviewed in absentia. He was afforded the opportunity to appear at UCC. He indicated to the committee recorder that he has no questions regarding his present program and no committee issues to discuss. The CDC 128-B 1 UCC Notice is noted. Therefore, committee elects to continue "S" in his present program. Next classification will be scheduled in 120 days.

**COMMITTEE MEMBERS:**

**J. NUNEZ, FACILITY CAPT.**

Chairperson

**LT. G. FULLER**

  
**L. CARRILLO, CCI**

10/16/03

Classification: UCC

San Quentin

UCC 120 DAY REVIEW

NAME: MORALES

CUSTODY: MAX-R

C/S: 59

NUMBER: C68801

ASSIGNMENT: GRADE A CONDEMNED


WG: D2 PG: D

CDC 128-G (Rev 2/69)

CELL 3-EB-092S

Subject declined to appear before UCC for his 120 day program review, as a result his case was reviewed in absentia. He was afforded the opportunity to appear at UCC. He indicated to the committee recorder that he has no questions regarding his present program and no committee issues to discuss. The CDC 128-B 1 UCC Notice is noted. Therefore, committee elects to continue "S" in his present program. Next classification will be scheduled in 120 days.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

  
M. CRAMER, FACILITY CAPT.(A) SGT. J. Van Blarcom  
Chairperson

  
L. CARRILLO, CCI

06/19/03

Classification: UCC

San Quentin

**UCC 120 DAY REVIEW**

**NAME: JACKSON**

**CUSTODY: MAX**

**C/S: 59**

**NUMBER: C86901**

**ASSIGNMENT: GRADE A CONDEMNED**

**WG: D2 PG: D**


CDC 128-G (Rev 2/69)

**CELL S-NS-029S**

Subject declined to appear before UCC for his 120 day program review, as a result his case was reviewed in absentia. He was afforded the opportunity to appear at UCC. He indicated to the committee recorder that he has no questions regarding his present program and no committee issues to discuss. The CDC 128-B 1 UCC Notice is noted. Therefore, committee elects to continue "S" in his present program. Next classification will be scheduled in 120 days .

**COMMITTEE MEMBERS:**

\_\_\_\_\_  
J. Nunez, Facility Captain  
Chairperson

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
R. Brau, CC II  
Recorder

\_\_\_\_\_  
Sgt. Woolridge

5/29/03

Classification: UCC

San Quentin

UCC 120 DAY REVIEW

CDC 128-G (Rev 2/69)

NAME: MORALES

NUMBER: C68801

CELL 3-EB-092S

CUSTODY: MAX-R


ASSIGNMENT: GRADE A CONDEMNED


C/S: 59

WG: D2 PG: D

Subject declined to appear before UCC for his 120 day program review, as a result his case was reviewed in absentia. He was afforded the opportunity to appear at UCC. He indicated to the committee recorder that he has no questions regarding his present program and no committee issues to discuss. The CDC 128-B 1 UCC Notice is noted. Therefore, committee elects to continue "S" in his present program. Next classification will be scheduled in 120 days .

COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

  
D. GRAHAM, Facility Captain(A)  
Chairperson

  
SGT. J. VAN BLARCOM

L. CARRILLO, CCI

02/20/03

Classification: UCC

San Quentin

UCC 120 DAY REVIEW

CDC 128-G (Rev 2/69)


NAME: MORALES  
CUSTODY: MAX-R  
C/S: 59

NUMBER: C68801  
ASSIGNMENT: GRADE A CONDEMNED  
WG: D2 PG: D


CELL 3-EB-092S

Subject declined to appear before UCC for his 120 day program review, as a result his case was reviewed in absentia. He was afforded the opportunity to appear at UCC. He indicated to the committee recorder that he has no questions regarding his present program and no committee issues to discuss. The CDC 128-B 1 UCC Notice is noted. Therefore, committee elects to continue "S" in his present program. Next classification will be scheduled in 120 days.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

  
K. J. WILLIAMS, Facility Captain  
Chairperson

J. VAN BLARCOM  
Sergeant

  
L. CARRILLO, CC

10/24/02

Classification: UCC

San Quentin

**UCC 120 DAY REVIEW**

**NAME: MORALES**

**CUSTODY: MAX-R**

**C/S: 59**

**NUMBER: C68801**

**ASSIGNMENT: GRADE A CONDEMNED**


**WG: D2 PG: D**

CDC 128-G (Rev 2/69)


**CELL 3-EB-092S**

Subject declined to appear before UCC for his 120 day program review, as a result his case was reviewed in absentia. He was afforded the opportunity to appear at UCC. He indicated to the committee recorder that he has no questions regarding his present program and no committee issues to discuss. The CDC 128-B 1 UCC Notice is noted. Therefore, committee elects to continue "S" in his present program. Next classification will be scheduled in 120 days.

**COMMITTEE MEMBERS:**

  
B. Christensen, Facility Captain(A)  
Chairperson

J. Van Blarcom  
LT. (A)

  
L. Carrillo, CC I

06/27/02

**Classification: UCC**

**San Quentin**

**Number: C-68801**  
**Housing: 3EB92**  
**Classification Score: 59**

**Name: Morales**  
**Assignment: Condemned Grade-A**  
**WG/PG: D2/D**

**CDC 128G (Rev. 2/69)**  
**Custody: Max-R**  
**Yard: Grade-A East Block-I**

Inmate Morales appeared before Unit III, UCC, this date for a 120 day Program Review. Morales stated that he wished to attend committee to request to go to North Segregation housing. Morales is currently not a participant in the Mental Health Treatment Population. Today UCC discussed with Morales his request to go to North Segregation housing. UCC notes a CDC-115 dated 1-4-99 for delay of yard recall. Although the CDC-115 is within three years, it is noted that all inmates on the yard on that day received CDC-115's and the matter has been rectified. It is noted that Morales' other CDC-115 was in 1990. UCC notes that Morales has programmed successfully many years in East Block Grade-A. A review of Morales' confidential file and confidential folder was done. It is noted that Morales qualifies for North Segregation housing. Based on this, UCC advised Morales that he will be placed on the North Segregation Waiting List. UCC asked Morales if he had any other issues or concerns, and Morales stated "No". Committee elects to continue Morales in the Grade-A program. He will continue to be assigned to the Condemned Grade-A East Block Exercise Yard-I. Morales was advised of his right to appeal any and all of UCC's action and/or decisions. Morales was advised by UCC that when it is time for him to move to North Segregation he would be called to ICC for the housing change. Morales stated he understood and had no other questions. This case is scheduled for review in 120 days.

**ICC MEMBERS: B. WOODFORD, LIEUTENANT (A)**  
**L. CARRILLO, CCI, RECORDER/jac**

*K. Brandon Cpt. CA*  
**K. BRANDON, FACILITY CAPTAIN (A)**  
**CHAIRPERSON**

**Date: 3-7-02**

**Classification: UCC**

**Inst: SQ**

**CHRONO SHEET—CLASSIFICATION**

**UCC 120 DAY REVIEW**

**NAME: MORALES**

**CUSTODY: MAX-R**

**C/S: 59**

**GRADE A CONDEMNED**

**NUMBER: C68801**

**ASSIGNMENT: CONDEMNED**

**WG: D2**


**PG: D**

CDC 128-G (Rev 2/69)

CELL 3-EB-092L

Subject declined to appear before UCC for his 120 day program review, as a result his case was reviewed in absentia. The inmates decision not to appear indicates to committee that he has no questions regarding his present program and no issues to discuss. Therefore, committee elects to continue Subject in his present program. Next classification will be scheduled in 120 days.

**COMMITTEE MEMBERS:**

  
K.J. Williams, Facility Capt.  
Chairperson

D. Grant  
Lieutenant (A)

C. Grant, CCI  
Recorder

Classification: UCC

11/08/01

San Quentin

UCC 120 DAY REVIEW

CDC 128-G (Rev 2/69)


NAME: MORALES  
CUSTODY: MAX-AR  
C/S: 59  
GRADE A CONDEMNED

NUMBER: C68801  
ASSIGNMENT: CONDEMNED  
WG: D2 PG: D

CELL 3-EB-092L

Subject declined to appear before UCC for his 120 day program review, as a result his case was reviewed in absentia. The inmates decision not to appear indicates to committee that he has no questions regarding his present program and no issues to discuss. Therefore, committee elects to continue Subject in his present program. Next classification will be scheduled in 120 days.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

  
K. J. Williams, Facility Captain  
Chairperson

\_\_\_\_\_  
G. Fuller  
Lieutenant

  
C. Grant, CCI  
Recorder

07/19/01

Classification: UCC

San Quentin

**UCC 120 DAY REVIEW**

CDC 128-G (Rev 2/69)

**NAME:** MORALES  
**CUSTODY:** MAX-AR  
**C/S:** 59  
**GRADE A CONDEMNED**

**NUMBER:** C68801  
**ASSIGNMENT:** CONDEMNED  
**WG:** D2                      **PG:** D

**CELL 3-EB-092L**

Subject declined to appear before UCC for his 120 day program review, as a result his case was reviewed in absentia. The inmates decision not to appear indicates to committee that he has no questions regarding his present program and no issues to discuss. Therefore, committee elects to continue "S" in his present program. Next classification will be scheduled in 120 days .

**COMMITTEE MEMBERS:**

  
M. PEREZ, Facility Captain(A)  
Chairperson

  
G. FULLER  
Lieutenant

  
C. GRANT, CCI  
Recorder

03/29/01

Classification: UCC

San Quentin

**UCC 120 DAY REVIEW**

**NAME:** MORALES

**CUSTODY:** MAX A

**C/S:** 59

**GRADE A CONDEMNED**

**NUMBER:** C68801

**ASSIGNMENT:** CONDEMNED

**WG:** D2

**PG:** D

CDC 128-G (Rev 2/69)

CELL 3-EB-092L

Subject declined to appear before UCC for his 120 day program review, as a result his case was reviewed in absentia. The inmates decision not to appear indicates to committee that he has no questions regarding his present program and no issues to discuss. Therefore, committee elects to continue "S" in his present program. Next classification will be scheduled in 120 days.

**COMMITTEE MEMBERS:**

\_\_\_\_\_  
S. Armoskus, Facility Captain  
Chairperson

\_\_\_\_\_  
G. Fuller  
Lieutenant

  
R Chraft, CCI  
Recorder

11/30/00

Classification: UCC

San Quentin

NAME: MORALES

NUMBER: C68801

CELL 3-EB-092L

CDC 128-G (Rev. 2/69)

CUSTODY: MAX-AR

ASSIGNMENT: Grade A

WG/PG D2-D

MORALES appeared before the Unit III, ICC for a program review. He received / waived 72 hours notice per CCR 3375 (f)(1). His case is being reviewed today to address any documented enemy concerns and/or identify new enemy concerns; reassess his exercise yard assignment and to discuss his East Block visiting program placement. The visiting program was suspended 3/31/00. Committee discussed and reviewed the CDC 812, confidential documents and case factors affecting his exercise yard and visiting program placement.

COMMITTEE ACTION: Following a review of the Central file and all case factors, ICC elects to:

- continue him in his present exercise yard assignment.
- reassign him to the \_\_\_\_\_ yard # \_\_\_\_\_

He will be assigned to the following visiting program:

- Full Contact
- Non-Contact
- Controlled Contact Visits

MORALES was advised of ICC's decision, the reasons for decisions and of his right to appeal committee's decision, which he indicated he understood. ICC was held in compliance with CCR, Title 15, section 3375.

*[Handwritten signature]*

Chairperson: D. Wooten, CDW (a)

*[Handwritten signature]*  
Correctional Counselor I, Recorder

Committee Members *S. ARMOSKUS, PC*

*[Handwritten signature]*

DATE: 10-18-00 Institutional Classification Committee Program Review

CSP-SQ

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

**UCC 120 DAY REVIEW**

CDC 128-G (Rev 2/69)

**NAME:** MORALES  
**CUSTODY:** MAX-AR  
**C/S:** 59  
**GRADE A CONDEMNED**

**NUMBER:** C68801  
**ASSIGNMENT:** CONDEMNED  
**WG:** D2                      **PG:** D

**CELL 3-EB-092L**

Subject declined to appear before UCC for his 120 day program review, as a result his case was reviewed in absentia. The inmates decision not to appear indicates to committee that he has no questions regarding his present program and no issues to discuss. Therefore, committee elects to continue "S" in his present program. Next classification will be scheduled in 120 days .

**COMMITTEE MEMBERS:**

\_\_\_\_\_  
S. Petrakis, Facility Captain  
Chairperson

\_\_\_\_\_  
G. Fuller  
Lieutenant

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
R. Chraft, CCI  
Recorder

8/3/00

Classification: UCC

San Quentin

**UCC 120 DAY REVIEW**

CDC 128-G (Rev 2/69)

**NAME:** MORALES  
**CUSTODY:** MAX-AR  
**C/S:** 59  
**GRADE A CONDEMNED**

**NUMBER:** C68801  
**ASSIGNMENT:** CONDEMNED  
**WG:** D2                      **PG:** D

**CELL** 3-EB-092L

Subject declined to appear before UCC for his 120 day program review, as a result his case was reviewed in absentia. The inmates decision not to appear indicates to committee that he has no questions regarding his present program and no issues to discuss. Therefore, committee elects to continue "S" in his present program. Next classification will be scheduled in 120 days .

**COMMITTEE MEMBERS:**

\_\_\_\_\_  
S. Petrakis, Facility Captain  
Chairperson

\_\_\_\_\_  
G. Fuller  
Lieutenant

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
R. Chraft, CCI  
Recorder

8/3/00

Classification: UCC

San Quentin

**UCC 120 DAY REVIEW**


CDC 128-G (Rev 2/69)

**NAME: MORALES**  
**CUSTODY: MAX-AR**  
**C/S: 59**  
**GRADE A CONDEMNED**

**NUMBER: C68801**                      **CELL 3-EB-092L**  
**ASSIGNMENT: CONDEMNED**  
**WG: D2**                                      **PG: D**

Subject declined to appear before UCC for his 120 day program review, as a result his case was reviewed in absentia. The inmates decision not to appear indicates to committee that he has no questions regarding his present program and no issues to discuss. Therefore, committee elects to continue "S" in his present program. Next classification will be scheduled in 120 days .

**COMMITTEE MEMBERS:**

  
G. Fuller, Facility Captain(A)  
Chairperson

\_\_\_\_\_  
M. Thompson  
Lieutenant

  
R. Chraft, CCI  
Recorder

4/6/00

Classification: UCC

San Quentin

No: C-68801

MORALES, MICHAEL

CDC 128G (Rev. 2/69)

Custody: MAX A

WG/PG: D2/D

CPP. DENY REQUEST FOR NS PLACEMENT

Assignment: GRADE A

CS: 59

Inmate Morales made a personal appearance on this date before UCC for a 120 day program review. Morales indicated that he wished to request that the UCC consider him for placement on the North Segregation waiting list. UCC reviewed the file and noted that on 1/4/99 Morales received a CDC-115 for the specific act of Delay of Yard Recall. Morales was found guilty of this Division F RVR. UCC discussed Grade A behavior expectations with Morales. He was advised that a substantial term of disciplinary free behavior would be required prior to consideration for NS housing. Morales stated that he fully understood this. UCC acts at this time to deny the inmate's request for placement on the NS waiting list. Morales stated that he understood the UCC's decision, and the reason for it. Morales indicates that he remains satisfied with his yard assignment and other elements of his program. Morales was advised of each of today's UCC actions and his right to appeal them. This case will be reviewed in 120 days.

S. Petrakis, Facility Captain Chairperson

G. Fuller, Lt.

M. Francis, CCII (a)

R. Chraft, CCI, Recorder

Date: 12/16/99

Classification: UCC

Inst.: SQ

**CHRONO SHEET — CLASSIFICATION**

**UCC 120 DAY REVIEW**

**NAME: MORALES**

**NUMBER: C68801**

**CELL 3-EB-092L**

**CUSTODY: MAX-AR**

**ASSIGNMENT: CONDEMNED**

**C/S: 59**

**WG: D2**

**PG: D**

**GRADE A CONDEMNED**

Subject <sup>failed</sup> declined to appear before UCC for his 120 day program review, as a result his case was reviewed in absentia. The inmates decision not to appear indicates to committee that he has no questions regarding his present program and no issues to discuss. Therefore, committee elects to continue "S" in his present program. Next classification will be scheduled in 120 days. *Subject received 72 hour advanced notice of committee.*

**COMMITTEE MEMBERS:**

*[Signature]*  
M. Perez, Facility Captain(A)  
Chairperson

*[Signature]*  
G. Fuller  
Lieutenant

*[Signature]*  
J. Jessup, CCI, Recorder

8-19-99

Classification: UCC

San Quentin

**UCC 120 DAY REVIEW**

CDC 128-G (Rev 2/69)

**NAME: MORALES**  
**CUSTODY: MAX-AR**  
**C/S: 59**  
**GRADE A CONDEMNED**


**NUMBER: C68801**      **CELL 3-EB-092L**  
**ASSIGNMENT: CONDEMNED**  
**WG: D2**      **PG: D**

Subject declined to appear before UCC for his 120 day program review, as a result his case was reviewed in absentia. The inmates decision not to appear indicates to committee that he has no questions regarding his present program and no issues to discuss. Therefore, committee elects to continue "S" in his present program. Next classification will be scheduled in 120 days.

**COMMITTEE MEMBERS:**

\_\_\_\_\_  
S. Petrakis, Facility Captain  
Chairperson

\_\_\_\_\_  
P. Johnston  
CC II

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
T. Klyce, CCI  
Recorder

4/21/99

Classification: UCC

San Quentin

**UCC 120 DAY REVIEW**

CDC 128-G (Rev 2/69)

**NAME:** MORALES  
**CUSTODY:** MAX-AR  
**C/S:** 59  
**GRADE A CONDEMNED**


**NUMBER:** C68801  
**ASSIGNMENT:** CONDEMNED  
**WG:** D2                      **PG:** D

**CELL** 3-EB-092L

Subject declined to appear before UCC for his 120 day program review, as a result his case was reviewed in absentia. The inmates decision not to appear indicates to committee that he has no questions regarding his present program and no issues to discuss. Therefore, committee elects to continue "S" in his present program. Next classification will be scheduled in 120 days .

**COMMITTEE MEMBERS:**

\_\_\_\_\_  
S. Petrakis, Facility Captain  
Chairperson

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
R. Brau  
CC II

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
T. Klyce, CCI  
Recorder

12/23/98

Classification: UCC

San Quentin

**UCC 120 DAY REVIEW**

**NAME:** MORALES  
**CUSTODY:** MAX-AR  
**C/S:** 59  
**GRADE A CONDEMNED**

**NUMBER:** C-68801  
**ASSIGNMENT:** CONDEMNED  
**WG:** D2  
**PG:** D  
**CELL:** 3-EB-92

CDC 128-G (Rev 2/69)

Subject declined to appear before UCC for his 120 day program review, as a result his case was reviewed in absentia. The inmates decision not to appear indicates to committee that he has no questions regarding his present program and no issues to discuss. Therefore, committee elects to continue "S" in his present program. Next classification will be scheduled in 120 days.

**COMMITTEE MEMBERS:**

\_\_\_\_\_  
S. Petrakis, Facility Captain  
Chairperson

\_\_\_\_\_  
R. Brau  
CC II

\_\_\_\_\_  
*T.Klyce*  
T. Klyce, CCI  
Recorder

9/9/98

Classification: UCC

San Quentin

**UCC 120 DAY REVIEW**

**NAME: MORALES**  
**MAX-A**  
**C/S: 59**  
**GRADE A CONDEMNED**

**NUMBER: C-68801**  
**ASSIGNMENT: CONDEMNED**  
**WG: D2**                      **PG: D**


CDC 128-G (Rev 2/69)

Subject declined to appear before UCC this date for 120 day program review, therefore his case was reviewed in absentia. The inmates decision not to appear indicates to committee that he has no questions or problems in his present program. Therefore, committee acts to continue "S" in his present program. Next classification will be scheduled in

**COMMITTEE MEMBER:**

\_\_\_\_\_  
S. Petrakis, Facility Capt.  
Chairperson

\_\_\_\_\_  
R. Brau  
CC II

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
R. Chraft, CCI

5/13/98

Classification: UCC

San Quentin

**UCC 120 DAY REVIEW**

CDC 128-G (Rev 2/69)

**NAME: MORALES**

**NUMBER: C-68801**

**MAX-A**

**ASSIGNMENT: CONDEMNED**

**C/S: 59**

**WG: D2**

**PG: D**

**GRADE A CONDEMNED**

Subject declined to appear before UCC this date for 120 day program review, therefore his case was reviewed in absentia. The inmates decision not to appear indicates to committee that he has no questions or problems in his present program. Therefore, committee acts to continue "S" in his present program. Next classification will be scheduled in

**COMMITTEE MEMBER:** \_\_\_\_\_

B. Welch, AW  
Chairperson

R. Brau  
CC II

  
R. Chraft, CCI

1/14/98

Classification: UCC

San Quentin

**UCC 120 DAY REVIEW**

CDC 128-B(Rev. 4/74)

NAME: MORALES NUMBER: C68801

CUSTODY: MAX-A C/S: 59 WG/PG: D2 D PROGRAM: GRADE A - CONDEMNED

Subject declined to appear before UCC this date for the 120 day program review, his case was reviewed in abstentia. The inmates decision not to appear indicates to committee that he has no questions or problems in his present program. Therefore, committee acts to continue "S" in his present program. Next classification will be schdualed in January 1998

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: \_\_\_\_\_  
R. Brau, CC II  R Craft CCI Recorder \_\_\_\_\_  
S Petrakis, FC Chairperson

DATE: 9.17.97

Unit Classification Committee

San Quentin

UCC 120 DAY REVIEW  
(Rev 2/69)

Morales C-68801

CDC 128-G

NAME:

MAX-A

C/S: 59

GRADE A CONDEMNED EB

NUMBER:

ASSIGNMENT: CONDEMNED

WG: D2

PG: D

Subject declined to appear before UCC this date for 120 day program review, therefore his case was reviewed in absentia. The inmate's decision not to appear indicates to committee that he has no questions or problems in his present program. Therefore, committee acts to continue "S" in his present program. Next classification will be scheduled in 9/97

COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

  
S. K. Cherry, Facility Capt.  
Chairperson

  
RJ Chraft CC I  
UCC Recorder

RJ Campbell, CCII

DATE: 5 / 21 / 1997

Classification : UCC

Inst: San Quentin

**UCC 120 DAY REVIEW**

CDC 128-G (Rev 2/69)

**NAME:** MORALES  
**MAX-A**  
**C/S:** 59  
**GRADE A CONDEMNED**

**NUMBER:** C 68801  
**ASSIGNMENT:** CONDEMNED  
**WG:** D2 **PG:** D

Subject declined to appear before UCC this date for 120 day program review, therefore his case was reviewed in absentia. Committee feels that inmate's decision not to appear indicates that he has no questions or problems in his present program. Committee acts to continue "S" in his present program. Next classification will be scheduled in 5/97 \_\_\_\_\_.

**COMMITTEE MEMBERS:** \_\_\_\_\_  
Chairperson - D. Wooten, AW

\_\_\_\_\_ R. Campbell, CC II

*R. Flores Brau*  
R. Flores Brau, CCI

**DATE:** 1 / 29 / 1997

**Classification :** UCC

**Inst:** San Quentin

UCC 120 DAY REVIEW

CDC 128-G (Rev 2/69)

NAME: MORALES  
MAX-A  
C/S: 59  
GRADE A CONDEMNED

NUMBER: C 68801  
ASSIGNMENT: CONDEMNED  
WG: D2 PG: D

Subject declined to appear before UCC this date for 120 day program review, therefore his case was reviewed in absentia.  
Committee feels that inmate's decision not to appear indicates that he has no questions or problems in his present program.  
Committee acts to continue "S" in his present program. Next classification will be scheduled in 2/97.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

\_\_\_\_\_  
R. Campbell FC, (a)

\_\_\_\_\_  
R. Jensen, CCI

R. Flores Brau  
R. Flores Brau, CCI

DATE: 10 / 2 / 1996

Classification : UCC

Inst: San Quentin

UCC 120 Day Review

CDC 128-G (Rev 2/69)

NAME: *morales*  
MAX-A *R*  
CS: 59  
WG: D-1  
PG: D  
Grade A Condemned

NUMBER: *E-68801*  
ASSIGNMENT: CONDEMNED

Subject declined to appear before UCC this date for program review therefore his case was reviewed in absentia. Committee feels that inmate's decision not to appear indicates that he has no questions or problems in his present program. Committee acts to continue the present program. Next classification will be scheduled in *10-96*.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: *R. Reese, Capt, C. Holmstrom, CCI, L. Carl, CCI*  
Date: *6-5-96* Classification: UCC  
*M. CCI*  
Inst: SQ

UCC 120 Day Review

CDC 128-G (Rev 2/69)

UCC 120 Day Review

CDC 128-G (Rev 2/69)

NAME: Morales  
MAX-A R  
CS: 59  
WG: D-1  
PG: D  
Grade A Condemned

NUMBER: C-68801  
ASSIGNMENT: CONDEMNED

Subject declined to appear before UCC this date for program review therefore his case was reviewed in absentia. Committee feels that inmate's decision not to appear indicates that he has no questions or problems in his present program. Committee acts to continue the present program. Next classification will be scheduled in 6-96.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: R. Reese, FC, C. Holmstrom, CII, L. CARLCCI

Date: 2-28-96

Classification: UCC

Inst: SQ

UCC 120 Day Review

CDC 128-G (Rev. 2/69)

NAME: Morales

NUMBER: C68801

MAX-A

ASSIGNMENT: CONDEMNED

CS: 59

WG: D-1

PG: D

Grade A. Condemned

Subject declined to appear before UCC this date for program review therefore his case was reviewed in absentia. Committee feels that inmate's decision not to appear indicates that he has no questions or problems in his present program. Committee acts to continue the present program. Next classification will be scheduled in 2-96.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: R. Reese, L. Carl, C. Holmstrom

Date: 10-4-95

Classification: UCC

Inst: SQ

UCC 120 Day Review

CDC 128-G (Rev 2/69)

NAME: Morales

NUMBER: C68801

MAX-A

ASSIGNMENT: CONDEMNED

CS: 59

WG: D-1

PG: D

Grade A Condemned

Subject declined to appear before UCC this date for program review therefore his case was reviewed in absentia. Committee feels that inmate's decision not to appear indicates that he has no questions or problems in his present program. Committee acts to continue the present program. Next classification will be scheduled in JUNE 14, 1995.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: R. Reese, P.A., L. Weister CCI, L. Can CCI

Date: 2-8-95 Classification: UCC

Inst: SQ

UCC 120 Day Review

CDC 128-G (Rev. 2/69)

NAME: *MORALES*  
MAX-A  
CS: 59  
WG: D-1  
PG: D  
Grade A Condemned

NUMBER: *C-68807*  
ASSIGNMENT: CONDEMNED

Subject declined to appear before UCC this date for program review therefore his case was reviewed in absentia. Committee feels that inmate's decision not to appear indicates that he has no questions or problems in his present program. Committee acts to continue the present program. Next classification will be scheduled in *2-95*.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: *R. Hernandez PA, C. Holmstrom CCB, M. J. [unclear]*

Date: *10-5-94*

Classification: UCC

Inst: SQ

# CHRONO SHEET—CLASSIFICATION

UCC 120 Day Review

CDC 128-G (Rev 2/69)

NAME: *Morales*

MAX-A *R*

CS: 59

WG: D-1

PG: D

Grade A Condemned

NUMBER: *C-68801*  
ASSIGNMENT: CONDEMNED

Subject declined to appear before UCC this date for program review therefore his case was reviewed in absentia. Committee feels that inmate's decision not to appear indicates that he has no questions or problems in his present program. Committee acts to continue the present program. Next classification will be scheduled in *10-94*.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: *R. Hernandez PA, C. Holmstrom CCT, M. [unclear] CC/*

Date: *6-1-94* Classification: UCC

Inst: SQ

UCC 120 Day Review

NAME: *MORALES*

MAX-A *R*

CS: 59

WG: D-1

PG: D

Grade A Condemned

CDC 128-G (Rev 2/69)

NUMBER: *C68807*  
ASSIGNMENT: CONDEMNED

Subject declined to appear before UCC this date for program review therefore his case was reviewed in absentia. Committee feels that inmate's decision not to appear indicates that he has no questions or problems in his present program. Committee acts to continue the present program. Next classification will be scheduled in *6-94*.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: *R. Hernandez RA, C. Holmstrom cell, M. OCCI*

Date: *2-9-94*

Classification: UCC

Inst: SQ

UCC 120 Day Review

CDC 128-G (Rev 2/69)

NAME: *MORALES*

NUMBER: *C-68801*

MAX-A *R*

ASSIGNMENT: CONDEMNED

CS: 59

WG: D-1

PG: D

Grade A Condemned

Subject declined to appear before UCC this date for program review therefore his case was reviewed in absentia. Committee feels that inmate's decision not to appear indicates that he has no questions or problems in his present program. Committee acts to continue the present program. Next classification will be scheduled in *2 94*.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: *M. St. Clair, C. Holmstrom cell, R. Hernandez PA*

Date: *10-13-98*

Classification: UCC

Inst: SQ

*10/1*

UCC 120 Day Review

CDC 128-G (Rev 2/69)

NAME: *morales*  
MAX-A *R*  
CS: 59  
WG: D-1  
PG: D  
Grade A Condemned

NUMBER: *C-68801*  
ASSIGNMENT: CONDEMNED

Subject declined to appear before UCC this date for program review therefore his case was reviewed in absentia. Committee feels that inmate's decision not to appear indicates that he has no questions or problems in his present program. Committee acts to continue the present program. Next classification will be scheduled in *10-93*

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: *R. Campbell PIA(A)*, *C. Holms + rom CCI*, *R. Jensen CCI*, *M. [unclear] CCI*

Date: *6-2-93*

Classification: UCC

Inst: SQ

UCC 120 Day Review

CDC 128-G (Rev 2/69)

NAME: *MORALES*  
MAX-A  
CS: 59  
WG: D-1  
PG: D  
Grade A Condemned

NUMBER: *C-68801*  
ASSIGNMENT: CONDEMNED

Subject declined to appear before UCC this date for program review therefore his case was reviewed in absentia. Committee feels that inmate's decision not to appear indicates that he has no questions or problems in his present program. Committee acts to continue the present program. Next classification will be scheduled in *6-93*.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

Date: *2-3-93*

Classification: UCC

Inst: SQ

*R. Hernandez P.A., C. Holmstrom c.c.H., ~~W.C.C.I~~*

UCC 120 DAY REVIEW

NAME Morales, M

CDC 128-G (2/69)

NUMBER C-68801

MAX-AR

CS:59

WG:D

PG:D

GRADE A CONDEMNED

ASSIGNMENT: GRADE A

Subject declined to appear before UCC this date for program review; therefore, his case was reviewed in absentia. Committee feels that inmate's decision not to appear indicates that he has no questions or problems in his present program. Committee acts to continue the present program. Next classification will be scheduled on 10/92.

R.J. HERNANDEZ, PA/ BH B. HAM, CCII/ NOYB H.L. HAMMOND, CCI DL

Date 4-3-92

Classification: UCC

Inst. San Quentin

UCC 120 DAY REVIEW

CDC 128-G (2/69)

NAME McNiles, M

NUMBER 2-68801

MAX-A R

ASSIGNMENT: GRADE A

CS:59

WG:D

PG:D

GRADE A CONDEMNED

Subject declined to appear before UCC this date for program review; therefore, his case was reviewed in absentia. Committee feels that inmate's decision not to appear indicates that he has no questions or problems in his present program. Committee acts to continue the present program. Next classification will be scheduled on 6/92

B. H.  
R. J. HERNANDEZ, PA/ B. HAM, CCII/ H. L. Hammond, CCI

Date 2-5-92

Classification: UCC

Inst. San Quentin

UCC 120 DAY REVIEW

CDC 128-G (2/69)

Name Morales

Number C-68801

MAX A R

Assignment: GRADE A

WG: D

PG: D

CS: 59

GRADE A CONDEMNED

Subject declined to appear before UCC this date for program review; therefore, his case was reviewed in absentia. Committee feels that inmate's decision not to appear indicates that he has no questions or problems in his present program. Committee acts to continue the present program. Next classification will be scheduled in 2/92.

R. Hernandez, PA <sup>Bd</sup> / B. HAM, CCII/D. A. DACANAY, CCI/pmv <sup>ld.</sup>

Date 10/16/91

Classification UCC

Inst. SQ

UCC 120 DAY REVIEW

CDC 128-G (2/69)

Name

Morales

Number

C-68801

MAX A R

WG: D

PG: D

CS: 59

GRADE A CONDEMNED

Assignment: GRADE A

Subject declined to appear before UCC this date for program review; therefore, his case was reviewed in absentia. Committee feels that inmate's decision not to appear indicates that he has no questions or problems in his present program. Committee acts to continue the present program. Next classification will be scheduled in 1991.

R. Hernandez, PA <sup>Bd</sup> /B. HAM, CCII/D. A. DACANAY, CCI/pmv <sup>pd.</sup>

Date

6/19/91

Classification UCC

Inst. SQ

UCC 120 DAY REVIEW

Name M. scales

CDC 128-G (2/69)

Number C-68801

Assignment: GRADE A

MAX A R  
WG: D  
PG: D  
CS: 59

GRADE A CONDEMNED

Subject declined to appear before UCC this date for program review; therefore, his case was reviewed in absentia. Committee feels that inmate's decision not to appear indicates that he has no questions or problems in his present program. Committee acts to continue the present program. Next classification will be scheduled in 6/91.

S. SWANSON, PA/B. HAM, CCII/D. A. DACANAY, CCI/pmv

Date 2/20/91

Classification UCC

Inst. SQ

UCC 120 DAY REVIEW

CDC 128-G (2/69)

Name Morales

Number C-68801

MAX A R

Assignment: GRADE A

WG: D

PG: D

CS: 59

GRADE A CONDEMNED

Subject declined to appear before UCC this date for program review; therefore, his case was reviewed in absentia. Committee feels that inmate's decision not to appear indicates that he has no questions or problems in his present program. Committee acts to continue the present program. Next classification will be scheduled in 2/91.

S. SWANSON, PA/B. HAM, <sup>B4</sup> CCII/D. A. DACANAY, CCI/pmv <sup>Ad.</sup>

Date 10/4/90

Classification UCC

Inst. SQ

UCC 120 Day Review

CDC 128 G (Rev 2/69)

NAME *Morales*  
MAX A *R*  
CS 59  
WG D  
PG D  
Grade A Condemned

NUMBER *C-68801*  
ASSIGNMENT GRADE A

*Morales* declined to appear before UCC this date for program review therefore his case was reviewed in absentia. Committee feels that inmate's decision not to appear indicates that he has no questions or problems in his present program. Committee acts to continue the present program. Next classification will be scheduled in *6/90*.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: *ls.* S. SWANSON, PA; R.J. CAMPBELL, B. HAM, OCLI; D.A. DACVAY, OCI

Date *6/29/90*

Classification: U.C.C. Inst: San Quentin

UCC 120 Day Review

CDC 128 G (Rev 2/69)

NAME *Morales*  
MAX A  
CS *54*  
WG  
PG  
Grade A Condemned

NUMBER *C68801*  
ASSIGNMENT

*Morales* declined to appear before UCC this date for program review therefore his case was reviewed in absentia. Committee feels that inmate's decision not to appear indicates that he has no questions or problems in his present program. Committee acts to continue the present program. Next classification will be scheduled in *6/90*.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: *S. Suarez, P.A. C. West Lt (A) J. Nienhuis CCI*

Date *2/6/90*

Classification: U.C.C. Inst: San Quentin

UCC 120 Day Review

CDC 128 G (Rev 2/69)

NAME *Morales*  
MAX A *R*  
CS *59*  
WG *02*  
PG *D*  
Grade A Condemned

NUMBER *C68801*  
ASSIGNMENT *YD1*

*Morales* declined to appear before UCC this date for program review therefore his case was reviewed in absentia. Committee feels that inmate's decision not to appear indicates that he has no questions or problems in his present program. Committee acts to continue the present program. Next classification will be scheduled in *2/90*.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: *Sims, Ham, Stokes*

Date *10-17-89* Classification: U.C.C. Inst: San Quentin

CHRONO SHEET — CLASS

UCC 120 (REV 2/05)

UCC 120 (REV 2/05)

NAME Morales  
MAX A R  
CS 59  
WG D2  
PG D  
Grade A Condemned

NUMBER C68801  
ASSIGNMENT yd 1

Morales declined to appear before UCC this date for program review therefore his case was reviewed in absentia. Committee feels that inmate's decision not to appear indicates that he has no questions or problems in his present program. Committee acts to continue the present program. Next classification will be scheduled in 10/89.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Hubbard, Ham, Stoker

Date 6-30-89

Classification: U.C.C.

Inst: San Quentin

UCC 120 Day Review

CDC 128 G (Rev 2/69)

NAME *Morales*

MAX A *R*

CS *99*

WG *02*

PG *0*

Grade A Condemned

NUMBER  
ASSIGNMENT

*C68801*

*4D1*

*Morales* declined to appear before UCC this date for program review therefore his case was reviewed in absentia. Committee feels that inmate's decision not to appear indicates that he has no questions or problems in his present program. Committee acts to continue the present program. Next classification will be scheduled in *6/89*.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

*Spitford*  
*Campbell*

*Stokes*

Date *2/15/89*

Classification: U.C.C.

Inst: San Quentin

UCC 120 Day Review

NAME *MORALES*

MAX A *R*

CS *99*

WG *D*

PG *D*

Grade A Condemned

NUMBER *C68801*  
ASSIGNMENT *5E96*

CDC 128 G (Rev 2/69)

*Morales* declined to appear before UCC this date for program review therefore his case was reviewed in absentia. Committee feels that inmate's decision not to appear indicates that he has no questions or problems in his present program. Committee acts to continue the present program. Next classification will be scheduled in *2-89*

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: *Hubbard, Campbell, King*

Date *10-24-88*

Classification: U.C.C.

Inst: San Quentin

UCC 120 Day Review

CDC 128 G (Rev 2/69)

NAME MORALES  
MAX A R  
CS 99  
WG B  
PG B  
Grade A Condemned

NUMBER C68801  
ASSIGNMENT  
5E96

MORALES declined to appear before UCC this date for program review therefore his case was reviewed in absentia. Committee feels that inmate's decision not to appear indicates that he has no questions or problems in his present program. Committee acts to continue the present program. Next classification will be scheduled in 10-88.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Campbell, Hamilton, Bradley

Date 6-8-88

Classification: U.C.C.

Inst: San Quentin

UCC 120 Day Review

*Morales C68801*

NAME Number

Max A

CS

WG

PG

Grade A Condemned

Assignment *unit II Pen II*

*Morales* declined to appear before UCC this date for program review; therefore his case was reviewed in absentia. Committee feels that inmate \_\_\_\_\_'s decision not to appear indicates that he has no questions or problems in his present program. Committee acts to continue the present program. Next classification will be scheduled in *6-89*.

*John J. [unclear] [unclear]*

NO. C-68801 NAME MORALES

CDC-128-G (Rev. 2/69)

Custody: MAX A-R, GRADE A

Comments:

CS: 99

WG: D

PG: D

Assignment: SHU III  
ROW II

Morales declined to appear for review. His case was reviewed in absentia. Committee views Morales refusal to appear as an indication he is experiencing no problems in his current placement, and has no issues he wishes to discuss. A review of file indicates Morales has remained disciplinary-free since his last review. Committee notes no significant case factors. Committee, therefore, elects to retain Morales in his current placement. He will be reviewed 2/88 for progress in program.

*A. Gerritsen*  
A. GERRITSEN, PA(A) T. FREITAS, LT. M. LYONS, STAFF PSYCHIATRIST *LB* L. BRADLEY, CC I/bc

Date: 10/29/87

Classification: UCC

Inst: SQ

UCC 120 day review  
No: C-68801

Name: MORALES

CDC-128-G (Rev. 2/69)

Custody: MAX A R  
Comments: CS: 99

Assignment: Condemned

WG: D

PG: D

HEARD IN ABSENTIA

Grade A/Condemned

I/M MORALES declined to appear before UCC, this date, for program re-  
view; therefore his case was reviewed in absentia. Committee feels that  
MORALES's decision not to appear indicates that he has no questions or  
problems and is programming well. Committee acts to continue the present  
program. Next classification will be scheduled, 12-87.

*A. Gerritsen*  
A.W. GERRITSEN, P.A.(A), L. BRADLEY, CCI, C. HOLSTROM,

CCII(A)/bw

Date: 8-26-87 Classification: UCC

Inst: SQ

NO. C-68801 NAME MORALES

CDC-128 (Rev. 2/69)

Custody: MAX. AR  
Comments: CS: 99  
WG: D  
PG: D

Assignment: GRADE A CONDEMNED CR II

Inmate MORALES declined to appear before UCC this date for program review; therefore his case was reviewed in absentia. Committee feels that MORALES decision not to appear indicates that he has no questions nor problems and is programming well. Committee acts to CPP. Next class. will be scheduled in 8/87 for program review.

*J. Rodgers*  
J. Rodgers, PA(A)/L. Bradley, CCII(A)/C. Holmstrom, CCII(A)/kd

Date: 4/14/87

Classification: UCC

Inst: SQ

NO. C-68801 NAME MORALES

CDC-128-G (Rev. 2/69)

Custody: MAX. A  
Comments: GRADE A  
CS: 99  
WG: D  
PG: D

Assignment: CONDEMNED

Inmate MORALES elected not to appear before committee this date for review. Committee feels that this indicates that Inmate MORALES is comfortable with his present placement and he has no problems or issues that he wishes to discuss at this time. A review of the inmate's Central file and discussion of case factors indicates that it is appropriate to continue present program and review 4/87 for progress and program.

G. Watkins, PA(A)/R. Townes, Lt.(A)/L. Bradley, CCII(A)/kd

*[Handwritten signature]* PA(A)

Date: 12/11/86

Classification: UCC

Inst: SQ

NO. C-68801 NAME MORALES

Custody: MAX AR  
Comments: WG: A  
PG: A  
CS: 99

CDC-128 (Rev. 2/69)

Assignment: SHU III-Carson Sec.  
Condemned-Grade A

Inmate MORALES refused to appear before UCC, this date, for review. His case was, therefore, reviewed in absentia. His refusal to appear is an apparent indication that he is experiencing no problems. However, noted is receipt of a CDC 115, dated 2-21-86, for Being Involved in a Physical Assault on an Inmate. The disciplinary denotes a fist fight on Yard No. 1 between Inmates identified as REILLY, C-81001; MORALES, C-68801; CHAVEZ, C-31401, and PINHOLSTER, C-87601. Continue current program. Next classification to be scheduled 10-86.

G. WATKINS, P.A. (A)/V. CLEVELAND, CCI/T. SIMMONS, CCII (A)/bw

*IS DENIES ENEMY SITUATION WITH ANY OF THESE INS. CHRAF 9-2-97*

Date: 6-6-86

Classification: UCC

Inst: SQ

NO. C-10801 NAME: MIRALFS

CDC-128-G (Rev. 2/69)

Custody: MAN. AP

Assignment: PHU III, Carson Section  
Condemned

Comments: WG: A

PG: A

IS: 00

GRASP & EXERCISE YARD

Inmate MIRALFS refused to appear before UCC this date for review. This case was therefore reviewed in absentia. Committee feels that his refusal to appear is a clear indication that he is experiencing no problems and is programming very well. Continue present program. Next class. to be scheduled 4/86.

V. Amos, Lt./W. Cleveland, COL/T. Simmons, COL(A) 40

Date: 1/24 68

Classification: UCC

Inst.: SQ

NO. C-68801 NAME MORALES

CDC-128-G (Rev. 2/69)

Custody: MAX. AR

Comments: WG: A-1

PG: A

CS: 96

GRADE A EXERCISE YARD

Assignment: CONDEMNED-Carson Section

Inmate MORALES refused to appear before ICC this date for normal review. Therefore committee reviewed Subject's case in absentia. Inmate MORALES is currently assigned to Grade A exercise yard and committee took the fact that he refused to appear to show that he is apparently satisfied with his current program, therefore no changes will be made in his assignment or yard group. Next regularly scheduled sub-classification will be 8/85.

J. Coffman, AW(A)/T. Simmons, PA(A)/J. Tambellini, CCII(A)/klk

NOTED AND APPROVED

*J. Coffman*  
D. Vasquez, Warden

Date: 4/18/85

Classification

ICC

Inst.: SQ

NO. C-68801 NAME MORALES

CDC-128-G (Rev. 2/69)

Custody: Max AR-6

Assignment: Condemned Carson Section

Comments: WG: A-1

CS: 96

Grade A Exercise Yard

Inmate Morales refused to appear before Carson Section Sub-Classification Committee for his 120-day review. Therefore, Committee reviewed subject's case in absentia. Committee took the fact that Morales failed to appear to show that he is apparently satisfied with his current program, therefore, no changes will be recommended. He is currently assigned to the Grade A Exercise Yard and receiving Grade A privileges. Next regular scheduled sub-classification will be 2/85.

Simmons/Kimmel/Tambellini/mh

Date: 10/10/84

Classification: SUB

Inst.:SQ

NO. C-68801

NAME: MORALES

CDC-12B-G (Rev. 7/69)

Custody: Max AR-6

Assignment: Condemned

Comments: WG: A

PG: A

CS: 96

Grade A Yard

Inmate Morales appeared before Carson Section Sub-Classification Committee this date for his 120-day review. Subject is currently assigned to the Grade A exercise yard with no apparent problems. No changes will be made in Subject's current program. CDC 812 and Next of Kin are current. Next regular scheduled Sub Classification 10/84.

Simmons/Massey/Tambellini/mh

Date: 6/22/84

Classification: SUB

Inst.: SQ

NO. C-68801 NAME MORALES

CDC-128-G (Rev.

Custody: MAX AR-6

Assignment: CONDEMNED

Comments: WG: A  
GRADE A YARD

Inmate Morales refused to appear before Carson Section sub-class this date for his 120 day class review. Committee took "S"'s refusal to appear before classification as that Morales is currently satisfied with his current program. He is currently assigned to the Grade A exercise yard and PG-A. No changes in "S"'s current status. Next class: 5-84.

Case was reviewed in absentia due to "S!" refusal to attend committee.

Martinez/Harris/Everly/Tambellini/cjo

DATE: 1-12-84

Classification: SUB

Inst.:SQ

CDC-128-G (Rev. 2/69)

NO. C-68801 NAME MORALES

Custody: MAX AR  
Comments: CONDEMNED  
WG: A  
PG: A  
CS: 96

Assignment: Confirm Condemned Status

*Boyer*  
10/13

"S" appeared before ICC for confirmation of his condemned status. "S" is Grade A status with Grade A visiting and yard privileges. "S" states he is experiencing no problems and this committee confirms his condemned status.

Dainard/Tambellini/Santa Cruz/cjo

NOTED AND APPROVED: R.B. Dainard, M.D., C.P.O.  
for: R.L. Pulley, Warden

DATE: 9-8-83

Classification:

ICC

Inst.:

SQ

NO. C-68801 NAME MORALES CC: ICC RX: CONFIRM. OF STATUS CDC 128-G (Rev. 2/69)  
 Custody: MAX AR Assignment: CONDEMNED  
 Comments: GRADE A

Inmate Morales appeared before SHU III sub classification committee this date for his initial classification review. Subject was received on 6/30/83 from Ventura County under sentence of Death, these special circumstances were: the strangulation, hitting with a hammer, Rape, stabbing and murder of Terry Lynn Winchell. Morales is a 22 year old Mexican American 1st timer. After interview and review of subject's central file, committee acts to place Morales on Grade A status with Grade A yard and return him to ICC for confirmation of status. Morales states he will have no problem on grade A exercise yard. File reflects no documented enemies. Subject is a member of the Little Unity, a Stockton street gang. Morales was informed of the reason for his placement in SHU, he acknowledged an understanding of the above and expressed a willingness to comply with unit procedures. His assigned counselor is Mr. Tambellini. CDC 812 CDC 840 and next of kin are current. Next classification 11/83.

Everly/Larry/Tambellini/jo

DATE: 7/8/83 Classification: SUB Inst.: SQ

**CHRONO SHEET — CLASSIFICATION**

**EXHIBITS IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR  
EXECUTIVE CLEMENCY**

**MICHAEL A. MORALES**

**Exhibit 33: Letter from Michael Morales to His Family – April 6, 1981**

Dear Family,

How are things going with you guys? I'm sorry about not being able to talk to you last week, so I hope you come this week. But I was surprised when I saw Eddie & Jim out there, I thought Jim would have left by then, and then Melody told me Eddie was coming down, but it made me happy to see the both of them.

I just got down calling Ruby, Eddie was gone and there were all ready out the door to go to Joe's house so I didn't talk that long. I would have called you, but the long distance phone over here is broken right now so I'm going to have to wait until they fix it and no telling how long it will be.

So did Theresa finally pick up the boys, I heard they were driving you crazy. Just that short time I seen them in the visiting room they were all over, but you know, I wish I could be around it, I feel that I'm missing an important part of my life.

Oh Chris I receive your letter and your article, did pretty good huh? You sure seem to be doing good in that, I know you sure can be proud of winning 3 first places. Keep it up and maybe just maybe you'll be as good as me (G)

Say Mommy, were was you last Wed. i didn't see you, don't tell me you stayed home and talked to your girl friend on the phone. Did you finish making that tray?

I haun't heard from Lisa yet ; haun't get around to writing her but I'm going to make it a point to do so some time this week.

As for me, I'm doing just great days are getting happier for me, I'm still studying, but like i said before it sure is hard being in here, but i pray for help & for forgiveness, and i just try and do my best. Some of the different thing i read as hard to understand though, but i pray and try my best. Mom send Dick some of the books you sent me i don't know why Jennie hasn't but he said he doesn't have anymore, and I'd like to keep mine as references, but you might write him and ask which one he needs.

That's about it for now Mommy I'll tell you about court when you come Weds. don't forget to bring my monsters if you can.

Love  
You,

*[Signature]*

MIKE MORALES

999 MATHEWS

French Camp, CA

95231



John & Josie Morales

1445 Cardinal

Lodi, Calif

95240